



November 13, 2008

EXCLUSIVE: Did Next Commander-in-Chief Falsify Selective Service Registration? Never Actually Register? Obama's Draft Registration Raises Serious Questions

Printer Friendly

By Debbie Schlusssel


**** Copyright 2008, Must Cite Debbie Schlusssel and link to DebbieSchlusssel.com ****

*** SCROLL DOWN FOR UPDATES ***

Did President-elect Barack Hussein Obama commit a federal crime in September of this year? Or did he never actually register and, instead, did friends of his in the Chicago federal records center, which maintains the official copy of his alleged Selective Service registration commit the crime for him?

It's either one or the other, as indicated by the release of Barack Obama's official Selective Service registration for the draft. A friend of mine, who is a retired federal agent, spent almost a year trying to obtain this document through a Freedom of Information Act request, and, after much stonewalling, finally received it and released it to me.

But the release of Obama's draft registration and an accompanying document, posted below, raises more questions than it answers. And it shows many signs of fraud, not to mention putting the lie to Obama's claim that he registered for the draft in June 1979, before it was required by law.



join the e-mail list!

Join

Be Seen!

Bare Naked Islam



It isn't Islamophobia when they really ARE trying to kill you

[Read more...](#)
[Advertise here](#)

SELECTIVE SERVICE SYSTEM
Registration Form
READ PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT ON REVERSE
PLEASE PRINT CLEARLY

1 DATE OF BIRTH: August 4, 1961 (Name of Month, Day, Year) 2 SEX: MALE FEMALE

4 PRINT FULL NAME: OBAMA, BARACK HUSSEIN (Last, First, Middle)

5 CURRENT MAILING ADDRESS: 1617 S. BERETANIA APT. 1008, HONOLULU, HAWAII 96826 (Number and Street, City, State or Foreign Country, Zip Code)

6 PERMANENT RESIDENCE: SAME AS ABOVE (Number and Street, City, State or Foreign Country, Zip Code)

7 CURRENT PHONE NUMBER: 808 949 2317 (Area Code, Number)

8 Check here if we may give your name, address and telephone number to Armed Forces recruiters.

9 I AFFIRM THE FOREGOING STATEMENTS ARE TRUE. Today's Date: July 30, 2008 (Today's Date) Signature of Registrant: Barack H. Obama (Signature of Registrant)

Postal Date Stamp & Clerk Initials: [Stamp: JUL 30 2008, Initials: E]

SSS Form 1 (Feb 00) (Previous Editions Will Not Be Used) OMB APPROX 1847-0002

RIMS HISTORY INQUIRY SCREEN

PAGE 001 OF 002
DATE: 09/09/08

SSS NO: 61 1125539 1 TRANS-CODE/TYPE: 110 /

LAST NAME: OBAMA

FIRST NAME: BARACK HUSSEIN

CURRENT ADDRESS: 1617 S BERETANIA APT 1008
CITY/STATE/ZIP: HONOLULU HI 96826

PERMANENT ADDRESS: 1617 S BERETANIA APT 1008
CITY/STATE/ZIP: HONOLULU 96826

DATE OF BIRTH: 08/04/61

SSAN: [REDACTED]

TELEPHONE: 808 000 0000

DLN: A 8089 708 0632 REASON:

TRANSACTION DATE: G 09/04/80 ID INDICATOR: 2

LAST ACTION DATE: 09/04/80

RELATED SSN: 00 0000000 0

DebbieSchlusssel.com

PRESS: CLEAR ==> END PF7 ==> PAGE BACKWARD PF8 ==> PAGE FORWARD

The official campaign for President may be over. But Barack Obama's Selective Service registration card and accompanying documents show that questions about him are not only NOT over, but if the signature on the document is in fact his, our next Commander-in-Chief may have committed a federal crime in 2008, well within the statute of limitations on the matter. If it is not his, then it's proof positive that our next Commander-in-Chief never registered with the Selective Service as required by law. By law, he was required to register and was legally able to do so until the age of 26.

But the Selective Service System registration ("SSS Form 1") and accompanying computer print-out ("SSS Print-out"), below, released by the Selective Service show the following oddities and irregularities, all of which indicate the document was created in 2008 and backdated:

*** Document Location Number Indicates Obama Selective Service Form was Created in 2008**

First, there is the Document Location Number (DLN) on the form. In the upper right hand corner of the Selective Service form SSS Form 1, there is the standard Bates-stamped DLN, in this case "0897080632," which I've labeled as "A" on both the SSS Form and the computer printout document. On the form, it reflects a 2008 creation, but on the printout, an extra eight was added in front of the number to make it look like it is from 1980, when it was actually created in 2008.

As the retired federal agent notes:

Having worked for the Federal Government for several decades, I know that the standardization of DLNs have the first two digits of the DLN representing the year of issue. That would mean that this DLN was issued in 2008. The DLN on the computer screen printout is the exact same number, except an 8 has been added to make it look like it is from 1980 and give it a 1980 DLN number. And 1980 is the year Senator/President Elect Obama is said to have timely registered. So, why does the machine-stamped DLN reflect this year (2008) and the DLN in the database (which was manually input) reflect a "corrected" DLN year of 1980? Were all the DLNs issued in 1980 erroneously marked with a 2008 DLN year or does the Selective Service use a different DLN system than the rest of the Federal Government? Or was the SSS Form 1 actually processed in 2008 and not 1980?

It's quite a "coincidence" . . . that is, if you believe in coincidences, especially in this case.

Far more likely is that someone made up a fake Selective Service registration to cover Obama's lack of having done so, and that the person stamping the form forgot (or was unable to) change the year to "80" instead of the current "08". They either forgot to fake the DLN number or couldn't do so.

And guess where the Selective Service registrations are marked and recorded? Lucky for Obama, it's his native Chicago. From an article entitled, "Post Office Registration Process", on the Selective Service website:

How I Lost 30 Pounds In 3 Months

Read how I lost
35 pounds in
just 3 months
with no dieting.

AS SEEN ON:



BrianasWeightLoss.com
Ads by Gooanooole

Blogroll

26th Parallel
A Tangled Web
AfroNerd
Am I Annoying?-Schlusssel
American Center for
Democracy
American Imperialist
American Patriots FTE
American Thinker, The
Amy Alkon
Atlas Shrugs
Australian Islamist Monitor
Bare Naked Islam
Barry Popik
Bill Warner
BizzyBlog
Black & Right/Bob Parks
Booker Rising
Boycott Watch
Brain Shavings
Braunstein Speaks
Brett Winterble's Covert Radio
Show
Carl in Jerusalem/Israel
Matzav
Cassandra Page, The
Christians Standing w/ Israel
Christians Standing w/ Israel
Blog
Common Cents
Common Sense Junction
Connect the Dots 2006
Conservatarian
Conservative Blogger
Conservative Pixels
Conservatives Against Fred
Thompson
Crusade Media
Customs Law
Daf Notes
Dan Stein Report, The
David Horowitz

When a young man reaches 18 he can go to any of the 35,000 post offices nationwide to register with Selective Service. There he completes a simple registration card and mails it to the Selective Service System. This begins a multi-step process which results in the man's registration.

Each week approximately 6,000 completed registration cards are sent to the **Selective Service System's Data Management System (DMC) near Chicago, Ill.** At the DMC these cards are grouped into manageable quantities. **Each card is then microfilmed and stamped with a sequential document locator number.** The processed microfilm is reviewed to account for all documents and to ensure that the film quality is within strict standards. After microfilming, the cards are keyed and then verified by a different data transcriber.

The Document Locator Number (DLN) is an automatic function (Selective Service record-keeping, specifically the DLN is described on pages 7-8 of [this Federal Register document](#)), with the first two digits comprising the year, and it was not changed to "08" in error. So if the form was filed and processed in 1980, how did it get a 2008 DLN?!

* Obama's Selective Service Registration Form is Apparently 1990 Form Altered to Appear Like 1980 Form

On the SSS Form 1, in the lower left hand corner is the form number (SSS Form 1) and the month and year version of the form, labeled as "B". On this particular Form 1, it clearly shows the month as "FEB" (February), and the year is either "80" or "90". The retired federal agent investigated further:

Magnification of the form both physically (with a 10x glass) or with different image software does not reflect a clear cut result of either a "80" or a "90".

But, checking the history of SSS Form 1 (see http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=198002-3240-001#), it's apparent that in February 1980, the Selective Service agency **withdrew a "Request for a new OMB control number" for SSS Form 1** (see also, [here](#))--meaning the agency canceled its previous request for a new form, and one was never issued in "FEB 1980".

Since under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. No. 96-511, 94 Stat. 2812 (Dec. 11, 1980), codified in part at Subchapter I of Chapter 35 of Title 44 a federal agency can not use a form not approved by OMB (Office of Management and Budget), it's nearly impossible for Senator/President-Elect Obama's SSS Form 1 to be dated "Feb 1980." And since that makes it almost certainly dated "Feb 1990," then how could Barack Obama sign it and the postal clerk stamp it almost ten (10) years before its issue?! Simply not possible.

The lower right hand corner reflects that the Obama SSS form 1 was approved by OMB with an approval number of 19??0002, labeled as "C". The double question marks (??) reflect digits that are not completely clear.

* Barack Obama's Signature is Dated After Postal Stamp Certifying His Signature

Barack H. Obama signed the SSS Form 1's "Today's date" as **July 30, 1980**, labeled "D". But the **Postal Stamp** reflects the PREVIOUS day's date of **July 29, 1980**, labeled "E". Yes, Obama could have mistakenly written the wrong date, but it is rare and much more unlikely for someone to put a future date than a past date. (Also note how Barry made such a "cute" peace sign with the "b" inside the "O" of his signature. Touching.)

* Postal Stamp is Incorrect, Discontinued in 1970

Then, there is the question as to whether the Postal Stamp is real. The "postmark" stamp--labeled "E"--is hard to read, but it is clear that at the bottom is "USPO" which stands typically for United States Post Office. However, current "postmark" validator, registry, or round dater stamps (item 570 per the Postal Operations Manual) shows "USPS" for United States Postal Service. The change from Post Office to Postal Service occurred on August 12, 1970, when President Nixon signed into law the most comprehensive postal legislation since the founding of the Republic--Public Law 91-375. The new Postal Service officially began operations on July 1, 1971.

Why was an old, obsolete postmark round dater stamp used almost ten (10) years after the fact to validate a legal document . . . that just happened to be Barack Obama's suspicious Selective Service registration form?

* Form Shows Barack Obama didn't have ID

The SSS Form 1 states "NO ID", labeled "F". Since that's the case, then how did

David Lunde
 Davids Medienkritik
 Dean's World
 Dearborn Underground
 Debbie Schlusssel
 DetroitWonk
 Diggers Realm
 Dirty Harry's Place
 Donkey Cons
 Dougout, The
 Echo-1
 Ed Driscoll
 Elder of Zion
 Emet m'Tsiyon/Truth From Zion
 Esser Agaroth
 Evaluation
 Expose the Left
 Faith Freedom/Ali Sina
 Fiftieth Star, The
 Flaggman's Canada
 For My Kountry
 Front Page
 Fundamentally Freund
 Garbanzo Toons
 Gay Patriot
 Gray Tie, The
 Hawaii Surf Session Report
 Hill Chronicles, The
 Hollycrud
 Hot AZ It Gets
 Ian Schwartz
 Independent Conservative
 Independent Media Research & Analysis
 Infidels Are Cool
 Intellectual Conservative
 Interested-Participant
 Internet Radio Network
 Irish Pennants
 Islamic Threat, The
 Islamo-Nazism
 Island Thoughts
 IsraPundit
 Jeremayakovka
 Jewish Internet Defense Force
 Jihad Watch
 Julia Gorin
 La Shawn Barber's Corner
 Laura Mansfield
 Liberal Quicksand
 Liberty Conspiracy
 Little Concrete Piranhas
 Little Green Footballs
 Loyalist Party
 Menorah Blog
 Michael Johns
 Michelle Malkin
 Militant Islam Monitor
 MilTracker
 Motown Blog, The
 Ms. Underestimated
 Muttawa/Religious Policeman
 My Not-So Random Thoughts
 My Right Word
 Neils The Poet
 Neocon Express
 Neoconservative Madrassa, The
 Nose on Your Face, The
 Operation Thank You
 Oraculations
 Out-Of-The-Box Thinker
 Outraged Patriots
 Pat Campbell
 Pedestrian Infidel
 Pendleton 8
 Politburo Diktat, The
 Politically Incorrect
 PoliticalUSA
 Prophet Mohammed's Official Website
 Queen of All Evil
 Queer Conservative
 Raging Rabbits

the Hawaiian postal clerk know that the submitter was really Barack H. Obama, who may have been on summer break from attending Occidental College in California. How would they determine whether the registrant was truly registering and not a relative, friend, or other imposter?

*** The Selective Service Data Mgt. Center Stonewalled for Almost a Year on Obama Registration, Until Right Before the Election.**

The retired federal agent who FOIA'd Barack Obama's Selective Service Registration Form notes:

Early this year, when I first started questioning whether Obama registered I was told:

Sir: There may be an error in his file or many other reasons why his registration cannot be confirmed on-line. However, I did confirm with our Data Management Center that he is, indeed, registered with the Selective Service System, in compliance with Federal law.

Sincerely,

Janice L. Hughes/SSS

Then, they suddenly found the record on September 9, 2008 (prior to my October 13, 2008 request), and stated that his record was filed on September 4, 1980. Did they temporarily change the date on the computer database?

On the previous FOIA response, they stated that it was filed on September 4, 1980. In my second request I mentioned that Obama could not have filed it in Hawaii on September 4, 1980 as he was attending Occidental College in California, the classes of which commenced August 24, 1980.

*** Other Questions: Missing Selective Service Number, FOIA Response Dated Prior to FOIA Request, Missing Printout Page**

Where is Obama's Selective Service number (61-1125539-1) on the card?

And the retired federal agent notes that the Selective Service Data Management Center prepared its response to his FOIA request prior to the request having been made:

The last transaction date is 09/04/80 [DS: labeled "G"], but the date of the printout is 09/09/08 [DS: labeled "H"]. My FOIA was dated October 13 so why did they prepare the printout BEFORE I submitted my FOIA? I gave them no "heads up" that I was sending it. In fact it was not mailed until late October--around the 25th.

Also, notice the printout was page 1 of 2 [DS: labeled "I"].

Hmmm . . . where is the other page, and what's on it?

A lot of questions here. And a lot of huge hints that this government-released, official Barack Obama Selective Service registration was faked. Either he signed the fake backdated document, or someone else faked his signature and he never registered for the draft (and lied about it).

Which is it?

It's incredible that our impending Commander-in-Chief either didn't register for the draft or did so belatedly and fraudulently.

The documents indicate it's one or the other.

*** **UPDATE:** Here's another irregularity that points to fraud, as spotted by reader Joyce:

My husband printed the information provided on your web site regarding Barack Obama's Selective Service registration discrepancies. I noticed that the DLN number in upper right corner (labeled "A") has only ten (10) digits with the first two being 08, but the DLN number shown on the computer screen printout has eleven (11) digits with the first two being 80. It clearly indicates that the "8" was added at the beginning of the DLN number, in order to appear that it was issued in 1980 and wasn't simply a reversal of the first two digits as the retired federal agent noted. This in itself appears questionable. I would think there is a standard number of digits in all DLN numbers.

Reb Chaim HaQoton
Red States USA
Refugee Resettlement Watch
Release the Hounds
Release the Hounds
Right As Usual
Right We Are
Rocky Mountain Neo-Con
Sea Eight
Seraphic Secret
Shawarma Mayor
Six Meat Buffet
Small Town Veteran
Social Foundations of
Education
Stiletto in the Sand
Sue Bob's Diary
Sultan Knish
Tammy Bruce
Tasty Infidelicacies
Tel-Chai Nation
The Dutchmeister
The Jews of Lebanon
The Religion of Peace
Tombstone Tumbleweed
Tundra Tabloids
U.S. Veteran Dispatch, The
Undaunted
Urban Grind, The
View From Minas Morgul
View From the Nest, The
Winds of Jihad/Sheik
Yer'Mami
Wolfgang-Dorfer
Women's Lens
WuzzaDem
Yid With Lid
You Heard It Here
Zombie

*Powered by
BlogRolling*

**** **UPDATE #2, 11/14/08:** Retired Federal Agent Source Reveals Himself:

The recently retired federal agent has requested that I disclose his identity so that there is no question as to the source of the information.

His name is Stephen Coffman. He retired last year from the position of the Resident Agent in Charge of Immigration and Customs Enforcement's (ICE) Galveston, Texas office. He has over 32 years of government service and has held a Secret or higher security clearance for the majority of those years.

He filed the FOIA with Selective Service and has the original letter and the attachments. He first notified the Selective Service of his findings and they ignored the questions.

He can be reached via email at retirediceagent@sbcglobal.net.

Posted by Debbie at November 13, 2008 01:56 AM

Comments

I think you are right about this. Besides the form being wrong, I don't believe he was really born in 1961. I say this because he continually claims he was 8 years old when his buddy Bill Ayers bombed the Pentagon in 1968. Had he been born in '61 that would make him 6 or 7 depending on the half of year he is referring to and seeing that his objective by his claim is to say he was very young at the time, one would think he would get it right (as in the date he claims to be born on).

Posted by: BobOnStatenIsland  at November 13, 2008 02:33 AM

Bob,

When Obama says he was 8, he's referring to 1969 when Ayers participated in his first bombing.

As for Obama's SSR, I'm gonna wait until someone in the know responds.

Posted by: Norman Blitzer  at November 13, 2008 03:03 AM

Bob,

Scratch my last post to you. I'm probably wrong.

Posted by: Norman Blitzer  at November 13, 2008 03:12 AM

The digits in the DLN are not transposed. The second DLN is the same as the first with an 8 added at the beginning, 0897080632 vs 80897080632. So much for the sharp eyes and the keen insight of that anonymous "retired federal agent". Could it be that the big blue A photoshopped on top of the form is covering up the first digit of the DLN to turn the "808" at the start into "08" to make the case that it is a 2008 number? It should be real easy to find out if the DLN on a real Selective Service form from the 1980 time frame is supposed to have a 10 or an 11 digit DLN to see which one is correct.

Also, <http://www.sss.gov/FSbenefits.htm> says that anyone who doesn't register is not eligible for Federal student loans. Obama got student loans, as should be easy to verify and is mentioned among other places at <http://elections.foxnews.com/2008/04/09/michelle-obama-baracks-book-sales-paid-off-our-student-loans/>

[B: NICE TRY, BUT THE "A" IS NOT COVERING UP ANYTHING. THIS IS THE DOCUMENT EXACTLY AS WE GOT IT, BUT FOR THE ADDITION OF THE COLORED LETTERS TO INDICATE THINGS AND NOTE MY SITE.

APPARENTLY YOU DON'T READ VERY CLOSELY, EITHER. THE EXTRA "8", AS I NOTED, WAS INDEED, ADDED ON THE PRINTOUT FORM--WHERE IT COULD EASILY BE DOCTORED--TO MAKE IT LOOK LIKE A 2008 FORM WAS ACTUALLY FROM 1980, WHEN IT WAS NOT. THE FRAUD ARTIST--EITHER OBAMA OR ONE OF HIS FRIENDS AT THE CHICAGO-BASED SSS DATA MGT. CENTER OR BOTH--WERE ABLE TO ADD THE EIGHT VERY EASILY ON A COMPUTER PRINTOUT, BUT EITHER COULDN'T OR FORGOT TO DO SO ON THE BATES-STAMPED DATA LOCATOR NUMBER.

IN THOSE DAYS, THEY DIDN'T YET CRACK DOWN ON NON-COMPLIANCE ENOUGH AND THE LAW ON FEDERAL LOANS WASN'T YET IN EXISTENCE. BUT YOU KEEP TRYING FOR EXCUSES. DS]


Posted by: bugstomper  at November 13, 2008 09:43 AM

I checked my Selective Service card and mine has an 81 designator, which is appropriate with the year I registered. Although I was in the Air Force Reserve at the time, I still was required to register (I enlisted at 17, and turned 18 while in the Reserves). I thought it was stupid to register for the Draft while I was serving in a reserve component, but I complied with the law. Now, oddly enough, when I separated from the active Air Force, I had to RE-register (which I did do), and then I received a letter from the Selective Service folks informing me that since I had done my military service (duh) I was no longer liable for conscription. My number didn't change, though, as it still had an 81 designator on it.

In short, Obama's a fraud and civil serpents are covering for him. What's the penalty for fraudulent registration, because some folks (Obama, especially) need to pay up!

Posted by: Sharps Rifle  at November 13, 2008 09:45 AM

I'm wondering how I can get a copy of my Selective Service Registration. I was born in July 1961, my form should surely represent what Obama's form looks like.

Posted by: Mark  at November 13, 2008 10:09 AM

So this document is a fake...

What next? Have another election?

Presidents are supposed to try and cover up all kinds of things (and then get caught)... like oral sex from chubby interns, like Iran-Contra, like getting drunk and shooting an old guy in the face (okay, technically a VP)... like Weapons of Mass Destruction.

Other than giving right wingers something to harp on, what should be the end game of this forged document? Overtum the decision of the American electorate in the 2008 Presidential Electorate?

Posted by: James Scearce  at November 13, 2008 10:37 AM

To Mark: you can retrieve your selective service number at <https://www.sss.gov/RegVer/wfVerification.aspx>

I'm such an old coot that I would have to do it via a mail request. I probably still have my "draft card" around, but I am too lazy to hunt it up.

Posted by: Shr_Nfr  at November 13, 2008 10:49 AM

Nothing surprises me about Barack Hussein Obama anymore ... now how about we get ahold of his birth certificate?!

Jimmy Lewis
SCS, Michigan
Blog: <http://rougerevival.blogspot.com/>

Posted by: Jimmy Lewis  at November 13, 2008 10:55 AM

Debbie, this is a fascinating story and I'm sure that every responsible journalist in America will be knocking your door down any moment now to cover in detail. Say howdy to Olbermann and Matthews for me when they interview you.

The mystery behind this man is astounding. It pains me to think he will have the title, Commander-in-Chief. I wonder how the military will react to this story?

Posted by: SydB  at November 13, 2008 11:07 AM

Any reason that you don't name your source for the FOIA material?

Posted by: KingSlav  at November 13, 2008 11:20 AM

Don't forget to wear your tin foil hat, Debbie.

Posted by: sirkowski  at November 13, 2008 11:26 AM


DS -- outstanding work. Thank you.

You don't think anything will come of this though do you?

As much as I hate to admit it, even if someone dug up a past murder conviction

(NOT SAYING THERE IS ONE...just using an extreme example), pretty certain all the lefties would STILL look the other way and shrug the shoulders.

They got one of theirs in there and they will soon be in power. We're going to have to suck it up and gut this out one year, one month, one week, at a time. Loyal and fervent opposition.

Posted by: soccerdad  at November 13, 2008 11:32 AM

My mom didn't want me to register, she being a big anti-war type. I finally did so, however, realizing that student loans would be a problem. This was in 1980, I believe. I don't recall if I had to submit proof of registration with the student loan application, or not.

In any case, I imagine that his apparent failure to register may have been because of maternal pressure or some such. I don't necessarily hold it against him, although if he was directly involved in the creation of a fake, that's another story.

Posted by: sonomaca  at November 13, 2008 11:40 AM

The Democrat Party hierarchy both inside and outside the government created this candidate, Barack Obama. They altered, hid or destroyed any document that would expose the real Obama to public scrutiny. University administrations were involved because no record of Obama's writings at ANY school could be found. His official Hawaii birth certificate does not exist, because there never was one, only the "created" birth certificate exists, just like this draft registration.

The election of 2008 will someday be exposed as the most corrupt election in the history of the United States. 2008 is the year we elected an African born marxist-muslim, to be the President of the United States of America.

The Democrat Party should be banned as a subversive organization bent on the OVERTHROW of the United States Government, because folks, they have almost accomplished just that. The military may have to save us from the marxists presently controlling our government!

Posted by: PatrickHenry  at November 13, 2008 11:46 AM

To PatrickHenry,

I agree with you. And to James Scearce...it's obvious by your response that moral character, substance and true leadership were not a prerequisite in your decision to support Obama. You ask, "...what should be the end game of this forged document?" I believe the "end game" should be to expose Obama for what he truly is...a liar and a radical revolutionary hell-bent in turning America into a socialized welfare state at the expense of others. You, sir, are a mindless fool if you think the accusations, investigations, and ongoing search for the real truth behind this man. There are so many questions about Obama left unanswered. Could you please help me understand why we should support such an individual whose past is riddled with controversy?

Posted by: Kurier Radek  at November 13, 2008 12:22 PM

It's probably useless but you ain't seen nuthin yet! The inmates now run the asylum and all we can do is hold our noses and wait. We will give this administration as much leeway as they gave to Bush. Clinton and his antics did not affect us and now no use to wonder why Obomer got elected. Hold your noses and go on.

Posted by: arejaymack  at November 13, 2008 12:34 PM

Just curious why this didn't come out until AFTER the election? Why we still haven't seen a birth certificate?

I don't think it would have affected the outcome. ACORN was determined to vote the same way no matter what came to light about The Commander in Thief.

What can we do about it? Pitchforks and torches. Let's march on Washington January 20 and storm the bastille!!

Right. We're effed. As one of the other posters said, all we can do is go along for the ride at this point. Half of Americans voted for this putz and still think he's the One.

Our only hope from a complete marxist/communist takeover is that the troops take their vow seriously -- "all enemies, foreign and domestic" and protect us from the evil triumvirate of Obama/Pelosi/Reid.

Posted by: ConnectTheDots2006  at November 13, 2008 12:44 PM

Just checked with the selective service link posted above. My number begins with my birth year and has a total of TEN digits. Also posted is the date that I registered.

Posted by: laser--*52609  at November 13, 2008 01:44 PM

There is something you can do. Go to www.democratic-disaster.com and volunteer!

Posted by: stormyweather  at November 13, 2008 01:48 PM

Google says the phone number belongs to M L Dunham (grandmother) with correct address. Does anyone have access to Hawaiian records that show she owned this number in 1980? Grandma could have had the same number for a long time (28 years) or this could be another current info issue versus older records?

Posted by: tompur  at November 13, 2008 01:54 PM

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Barack_Obama_signature.svg

Here is a copy of his signature - doesn't look anything like that!

You can find it in many locations and always the B looks like a bubble rather than the way it shows here. In general you can't read the letters after the B. I would say forged, but I'm no expert!

Posted by: jcsjcm  at November 13, 2008 02:00 PM

Well researched, and exhaustive. I'm curious if you could identify the federal law that the alleged forgers broke here and its maximum penalty.

Thanks!

Posted by: CTN  at November 13, 2008 02:14 PM

Obama's signature on the SSS Registration Form form does not match his actual signature (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Barack_Obama_signature.svg). Now, I know the signature on the SSS Registration Form is supposedly from 1980, and people signatures change over time, but they do not change as drastically as the 1980 version above and the 2008 version at the link I provided. The contrast is so stark that indicates that they are most likely not the same person did not sign both documents.

Posted by: waupee  at November 13, 2008 02:23 PM

Interesting research,

kinda reminds me of the research into George Bush's National Guard records, you know the missing records, the failure to actually complete his national guard service, etc.

Of course you probably think that was all bull right.

But then I guess all you conspiracy theorists really like the way the country has been run over the last eight years.

Posted by: krsaz  at November 13, 2008 02:35 PM

I'm not defending Obama, but your DLN document analysis is way off.

The first two digits are a location code, not year. Here is the link to the IRS training manual:

[http://books.google.com/books?id=6hGBJBo7Jv0C&pg=PA1016&lpg=PA1016&dq=%22first+two+digits%22+of+Document+Locator+Number+\(DLN\)%3B&source=web&ots=aC658pgzru&sig=d3_81R5KgSDjC_k6jTX-9WgkT3U&hl=en&sa=X&oi=book_result&resnum=1&ct=result](http://books.google.com/books?id=6hGBJBo7Jv0C&pg=PA1016&lpg=PA1016&dq=%22first+two+digits%22+of+Document+Locator+Number+(DLN)%3B&source=web&ots=aC658pgzru&sig=d3_81R5KgSDjC_k6jTX-9WgkT3U&hl=en&sa=X&oi=book_result&resnum=1&ct=result)

The final digit is the year.

[TKC: WOW, YOU'RE REALLY GRASPING AT STRAWS. SINCE WHEN IS THE IRS THE SAME AS THE SSS? THEY HAVE DIFFERENT PROCEDURES.

HELLO ... ? THE IRS ONLY USES ONE DIGIT FOR THE YEAR IN THE DOCUMENT LOCATOR NUMBER B/C IT IS ONLY REQUIRED TO KEEP TAX RECORDS ON FILE FOR THE PREVIOUS SEVEN YEARS. BUT THE SSS IS REQUIRED TO KEEP SELECTIVE SERVICE REGISTRATION DOCUMENTS UNTIL THE REGISTRANT'S 85TH BIRTHDAY, SO IT USES TWO DIGITS FOR THE YEAR IN THE DOCUMENT LOCATOR NUMBER.

GET A CLUE AND QUIT USING RED HERRINGS THAT ARE IRRELEVANT IN YOUR ATTEMPT TO DEFEND THE FRAUD OBAMA. HE LIED ABOUT REGISTERING FOR THE DRAFT, AND THIS IS A FAKE, SINCE HE NEVER REGISTERED.

YES THERE IS AN "ANALYSIS" HERE THAT IS WAY OFF: YOURS. IF YOU CAN CALL THAT "ANALYSIS." DS]


Posted by: [TheKansasCitian](#)  at November 13, 2008 02:43 PM

krsaz,

The real issue not about this one document, its that Obama's entire past is riddled with, at the very least, very questionable activities and repeated failure to speak the truth. Hell, he won't even provide a copy of his birth certificate or any of his college records. Everything about his past is shrouded in secrecy and any document in his past that can speak anything about him has either been lost or has been sealed by his legal team.

As for the GW National Guard reference, that whole story was proven to be a farce, and didn't "Rathergate" eventually lead to the end Dan Rather's career at CBS?

Yeah, Bush is definately not the best president we've ever had. But McCain/Palin would not have been four more years of the same. At least we know who they are and we can verify their records and past accomplishments.

Posted by: [waupee](#)  at November 13, 2008 02:58 PM

I looked up my SSS # on the provided link, mine shows Selective Service Number: 75-13XXXXX-X

The X's are numbers I replaced for privacy. I was born in 75 but registered in 94. The first two digits have nothing to do with year. They, as stated in the IRS DLN specifications are a location. On IRS forms only 1 digit is used to denote the year. Not sure how that works, but that's what the manual says.

I would have loved nothing more for this to be a true forgery and catch Obama red handed, but its not. The facts just don't support it.

Posted by: [TheKansasCitian](#)  at November 13, 2008 03:05 PM

Good work Debbie.

Unfortunately I think you have just reserved a spot on the "Obama enemies list". Prepare to have a detailed audit of your income taxes every year along with any other way they can harass you.

Posted by: [I_am_me](#)  at November 13, 2008 03:14 PM

Kansas Citian:

You may be correct, but I'm not sure why you would use IRS standards to interpret a Selective Service form, or why you would use 1994 standards to define a 1980 document.

Can you explain?

Posted by: [CTN](#)  at November 13, 2008 03:27 PM

Outstanding reporting. I know the MSM and much of the 'conservative' media will ignore this, but sooner or later, this information will seep through. When it does, it will open the door to all your other exposes; it is just a question of time, and I have tremendous respect for your efforts to bring the truth to a public that would rather believe lies than the truth.

Posted by: [c f](#)  at November 13, 2008 03:38 PM

Debbie, same sentiments as [I_am_me](#). We know you do diligent work and you are very intelligent so if you put it out there I expect it to have legs to stand on.


But if anyone will take on COBRAMA it will be Patriots like Debbie. I wouldn't doubt KING HUSSEIN COBRAMA would do something like this. He has lied about everything in his past. The Nowhere Man.

Posted by: californiascreaming  at November 13, 2008 03:41 PM

I wonder why "S.Beretania" looks to have been added after everything else has been filed out? note the elevation of the print? why would he not know where he lived? It's as if he (or someone) was writing it in pieces insted of flowing as it should have looked?
I don't trust a thing this liar has said or done.
RATM

Posted by: 25eight  at November 13, 2008 03:41 PM

Good catch Debbie -- looks legitimate to me!

Posted by: ob3  at November 13, 2008 03:48 PM

Wow, Debbie--this is dyn-o-mite!
The problem is that the mainstream media will cover it up.

I still want to know why he went to Hawaii before the election. It wasn't because his grandmother was dying as he had long since thrown her under the bus.
The government of Hawaii (a one party Democrat state, BTW) is covering for Barry. Let us see a valid (as in not a fake or not a doctored) birth certificate. It's that simple. Only a moron would not produce the Hawaii birth certificate. Unless it doesn't exist.

And for types like Blitzer, a birth announcement in the Honolulu Advertiser is not a legal document.

Posted by: lexi  at November 13, 2008 03:52 PM

Since no one has committed on it yet, am I the only one here wondering why the form asks if you are male or female in block 2 when the selective service act expressly prohibited females?

I am sure somewhere there is a bureaucrat whose entire job consists of compiling statistics on the number of males and females signing up for selective service.
Current female count: 0

Posted by: rbb  at November 13, 2008 03:58 PM

DS, If you would read your own writing closely, you would see that you did not say, and I'll copy and paste here, "THE EXTRA "8", AS I NOTED, WAS INDEED, ADDED ON THE PRINTOUT FORM--WHERE IT COULD EASILY BE DOCTORED"

All your post says about that is a quote from the "retired federal agent" who said (another copy and paste)

"The DLN on the computer screen printout is the exact same number, except the 0 and 8 have changed positions"

and later where you say

"Far more likely is that someone made up a fake Selective Service registration to cover Obama's lack of having done so, and that the person stamping the form forgot (or was unable to) change the year to "80" instead of the current "80" [sic]"

If you are going to prove something like this you should at least try to be precise. It is discrepancies like this that could lead people to claim that your scan of the registration form is doctored because the A is covering up a digit, a claim no more farfetched than the claim that what some might see as a data entry error adding an extra digit in a manually entered and unchecked DLN on the computer screen printout is part of an attempt to cover up a forgery by entering a forged 11 digit number in a 10 digit field, an implausibly clumsy forgery.

Anyway, my point was to raise the easily answered question as to what is the correct format of the DLN, 10 or 11 digits, as the answer to that would indicate which of the two numbers to trust more. And we got the answer from Kansas Citian's comment: The DLN on his form is ten digits and began with a number other than the year that he registered. That would indicate that 1) The DLN on the document is the correct one of the two (which is consistent with your theory but doesn't prove it), and 2) The first two digits do not necessarily correspond to the year of registration (which blows that part of your proof out of the water).


CTN is correct that the IRS standards for a DLN don't necessarily apply to Selective Service documents. But Kansas Citian cited his own Selective Service form DLN, so that part of his post is applicable. Perhaps SS changed the format of the DLN between 1980 and 1994 to use birth year instead of registration year. That argument is really reaching to make excuses and doesn't explain how they handled people born in 1980 whose DLNs would look just like those of people born between 1960 and 1962 who registered in 1980.

The real bottom line is that the questions about the Selective Service form can easily be answered by the Selective Service department who can tell you the proper format of their DLNs and standards for postmarks and requirements for IDs and so forth more reliably than an anonymous retired federal agent who may or may not be familiar with SS DLNs (which are apparently different from IRS DLNs and who knows what other federal departments).

So take off the tinfoil hat, ask the questions of some civil servant at the Selective Service department (you might even be able to find a Republican there to be sure of your answer), and then let the chips fall where they may once you find out the truth about the document. Maybe you'll get lucky and the DLN really will prove that Obama never registered.

Posted by: bugstomper  at November 13, 2008 04:26 PM

In 1980 wasn't Obama residing at Occidental College, which doesn't appear on his record.

Posted by: Mel  at November 13, 2008 04:26 PM

Kansas Citian: The IRS has more than one standard for listing a citizen's social-security number on documents. When I've looked up my IRS info, I've seen anywhere four to six digits x'd out, depending on the year and document I'm trying to access. It seems like it's random and not really a standardized system. Moreover I agree with the other post that said we can't apply IRS standards to Selective Service Procedures in which standard conventions may have varied several times between now and 1980.

Obama has a problem.....but maybe our wonderful GWB will toss him one of the pardons.

Also, isn't Selective Service registration mandatory for college scholarships and financial aid ??????

Posted by: Maxine Weiss  at November 13, 2008 04:44 PM

And before someone else notices, I'll point out my own mistake: Kansas Citian apparently confused his SSS number, which as you can see in Obama's printout begins with his birth year, with the DLN. So Kansas Citian's comment still does not answer the question about the proper format of the DLN.

But as I said, that question is best answered directly from the Selective Service Department by asking them a) what is the proper format, and b) if it is supposed to begin with the registration year what is their explanation for Obama's form's DLN. Better to go to the source than engaging in endless speculation.

Posted by: bugstomper  at November 13, 2008 04:49 PM

what's concerning is the date stamp. Can anyone find anything stamped with 'USPO' instead of 'USPS'? It appears online to be a isolated incident.

Are there any stamp collectors out there that can quantify the value of an error like this on a US postal stamp? Wouldn't this be a rare find? In more ways than one anyway.

Posted by: MissTickly  at November 13, 2008 05:04 PM


I think the search is on to fond another registration out of the same office close to sept 1980 and compare the postal stamps. Case solved if they do or don't match.

Posted by: MissTickly  at November 13, 2008 05:08 PM


Re: Kansas Citian. You are confusing the DLN and Selective Service numbers. The first two digits on your Selective Service number are "75", the same as your birth date. Likewise, Obama's SSN starts with "61", same as his birth year. Mine starts with "61" too, as I was born in 1961 and actually had my card processed on the same day as Obama- 09/04/1980.

As far as I can tell, Obama's Selective Service number is not found on either document. A previous poster claims Obama's # is 61-1125539-1. I wonder if the middle series of numbers is sequential? If so, Obama would be the 1,125,539th

registrant. As noted previously, my card was processed the same day as his- 09/04/1980. The middle numbers on my SSN are 1,07x,xxx-4. This would make me approx. the 1,070,000th applicant. Those two numbers are fairly close- within 50,000 of each other. I wonder what the last number signifies?

Posted by: paigenalex  at November 13, 2008 05:08 PM

paigenalex: what does your date stamp look like? Is is out of Chicago, too?

Posted by: MissTickly  at November 13, 2008 05:10 PM

What I am Curious about is that if there is 2 different DLN's, who owns the one from 1980?

Also isn't all Microfiche dated when created?

I would assume that BHO's SS form would have been processed according to it's arrival at the Agency that handled the Processing. So wouldn't that leave a paper trail?


Is there any proof that the original Microfiche that would have held this information has ever been tampered with?

Is there any other Documentation that BHO would have supplied to the Selective Service that proved that BHO provided an Indonesian Passport to prove Citizenship in another Country?


This is Far fro Over in my Eyes!!!

Posted by: SirJaxx  at November 13, 2008 05:11 PM

Profanity is still the crutch of a weak mind. Whenever I see any kind of profanity in a comment, I immediately stamp it with my "WEAK MIND" stamp and pass right over it. Obamaism should be officially classified as a cult. Its follower are all brainwashed by hopey changey. They can't handle the truth about their "messiah". That he is a dope on a rope with no hope. He is going to go down in the flames of abject FAILURE. Oh, and did I fail to mention that he has BIG EARS!

Posted by: Jayke Feltz  at November 13, 2008 05:16 PM

One more thing, I believe Obama was still using the last name Soetoro until he transferred from Occidental to Columbia. Perhaps he was going by the book and using his birth name, but he used the name Soetoro for all his school records.

Posted by: paigenalex  at November 13, 2008 05:17 PM

MissTickly, I don't have the card. I used the link earlier in the post to look up my number. I was struck by the fact our registrations were recorded the same day. All I remember about the card was it was postcard sized and aqua blue in color.

Posted by: paigenalex  at November 13, 2008 05:22 PM

Good find Debbie...
LOL, here we go again. First, alleged forged birth certificates posted on Obama's and two other web sites, and now these apparently doctored documents. I checked the image headers with a hex editor, and just like Obama's alleged forged BC's, both have "Ducky" and "Adobe" embedded in each of these selective service images also.

Question is now, who do we get in OUR government to investigate this. They wouldn't touch his birth certificate, so how in the hell, and whose arm needs to be twisted to get this looked at???


Posted by: Jackson Pearson  at November 13, 2008 05:28 PM

Jackson: I can't verify the hex data, but assuming you are right, howcan this be, these came from the selective service I thought and not Obama? Am I misunderstanding how the foia request works?


Posted by: MissTickly  at November 13, 2008 05:34 PM

Verifying info on form... Reverse telephone shows up as grandmother: ML Dunham (808) 949-2317 1617 S Beretania St, Honolulu, HI 96826 . Building was built (permitted) on 09/12/1964. Is there anyway to verify if the telephone number was attached to Barry's grandmother back in 1980? I know it happens, but 28 years is a

LONG time to have the same telephone number... especially when your grandson is running for President of the US...

Posted by: RobertR  at November 13, 2008 05:38 PM


Are there old phone books or CD-ROM telephone directories that would verify if (808) 949-2317 even existed, and was assigned to ML Dunham in 1980?

Posted by: RobertR  at November 13, 2008 05:44 PM

Ha! Haaa! Ha! Ha! Ha! Great work, Deb!

Between you and Pam Gellar's work on Osama/Obama's COLB; this thug may never see the White House again!


Now, I think I'll hit the hay...

Posted by: bhparkman  at November 13, 2008 06:14 PM

Does anyone have a way to determine what year that particular ZIP code was put into service? Since 808 has always been the sole area code for HI, the phone number is probably going to check out.

Also, has anyone already gone to a U.S. Post Office and gotten a *current* copy of the registration form for a back and forth comparison of DLN and the form issue date?

As far as the page 001 of 002, that is coming of a screen print from a mainframe environment consistent with an IBM architecture. Getting a printout of the other screen would have taken a couple more manual steps (pressing the "PF8" key and then the "Print Screen"). That second screen probably had little useful information on it anyway, otherwise the useful parts would have been put into the whitespace on the first screen.

Posted by: Ray Scheel  at November 13, 2008 06:21 PM

The signature appears to be Obama's judging from other samples of his handwriting found across the web. For instance, the unique "peace sign" within the O is found in this 2007 Oath of Presidential Transparency here:
<http://www.reason.org/oath/Barack%20Obama%20Oath%20of%20Presidential%20Transparency.JPG>


One very interesting item in this Oath of Transparency is the number 7 shown in the date with a line drawn through it. Normally, if a person writes this number in this way, he continues writing it in this way. The "peace sign" is the letter b, the second letter of the name Obama, which he appears to continue to enclose in the first letter, the letter O, of his surname. Another sample here:
<http://www.netweed.com/prohiphop/graf/barackautograph.jpg>

Posted by: tbontiq  at November 13, 2008 06:26 PM

The Library of Congress keeps old telephone directories on microfiche.

From the LOC genealogy website:
"Anyone who is interested in U.S. telephone directories dating from 1976 through 1995 should make use of Phonefiche, a University Microfilms microfiche product housed in the Microform Reading Room (LJ 139B). This self-service collection consists of yellow and white pages telephone directories for most U.S. cities and towns. For most years, there is a published guide to the collection titled Community Cross-Reference Index. These guides, shelved on top of the cabinets housing the microfiche, identify the directory in which a specific community's listing can be found."

http://www.loc.gov/rr/genealogy/bib_guid/telephononcurr.html#ustm

Posted by: Mitch Rapp  at November 13, 2008 06:27 PM

Verifying info on form... Reverse telephone shows up as grandmother: ML Dunham (808) 949-2317 1617 S Beretania St, Honolulu, HI 96826 . Building was built (permitted) on 09/12/1964. Is there anyway to verify if the telephone number was attached to Barry's grandmother back in 1980? I know it happens, but 28 years is a LONG time to have the same telephone number... especially when your grandson is running for President of the US...

Posted by: RobertR

=====
This from Ancestry.com
public records

Name: Madelyn L Dunham
Birth Date: 1923
Street address: 1617 S Beretania St
City: Honolulu
County: Honolulu
State: Hawaii
Zip Code: 96826
Phone Number: 808-949-2317
Record Number: 27083735
Associated Persons: Name Est. Age Birth Year
S Beselinoff
Madelyn L Dunham 85 1923

Posted by: tbontiq  at November 13, 2008 06:35 PM

I found this on a quick google search from Pajamas Media: The "proof" offered here does not jive with the information that Ms Schlusssel posts. The ellipses indicate brief edits from the original

Did Obama Actually Register for Selective Service?

A Pajamas Media investigation puts to rest another rumor claiming Obama is ineligible for the presidency.
August 12, 2008 - by Bob Owens

...

And there is another rumor that has floated for months on Internet message boards and blogs, in a variation of the technicality stories that would seek to end Obama's candidacy over an alleged mistake, that Barack Obama never registered for the Selective Service.

...

According to the Selective Service System, under the authority of the Military Selective Service Act (Act of June 24, 1948, c. 625, 62 Stat. 604, as amended; 50 U.S.C. App. 451 et seq.):

A man must be registered to be eligible for jobs in the executive branch of the federal government and the U.S. Postal Service. Proof of registration is required only for men born after December 31, 1959.

The registration requirement was suspended in April 1975. It was resumed again in 1980 by President Carter in response to the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan. Registration continues today as a hedge against underestimating the number of servicemen needed in a future crisis.

1-103. Persons born in calendar year 1961 shall present themselves for registration on any of the six days beginning Monday, July 28, 1980. (Source).

The obligation of a man to register is imposed by the Military Selective Service Act. The Act establishes and governs the operations of the Selective Service System.

Barack Obama, who states he was born in Aug. 1961, was required to register for the Selective Service in 1980. Did he?

It is a rumor that the Obama campaign has chosen to ignore despite numerous requests, and it is a rumor that even Snopes couldn't seem to confirm or deny definitively.

After contacting the Selective Service System for an answer several times since late June, Pajamas Media obtained official confirmation from the Selective Service System via email that Barack Obama did indeed register for the Selective Service as required by law, and is eligible to run for the presidency.

Mr. Owens,

Barack Hussein Obama registered at a post office in Hawaii. The effective registration date was September 4, 1980.

His registration number is 61-1125539-1.

Daniel Amon
Public Affairs Specialist

It is difficult to determine why no one had confirmed Obama's Selective Service registration until now. The mainstream media may have had no interest in pursuing the story for a multitude of valid reasons. New media sources aligned with the Obama campaign may have had no interest in conducting an investigation that may serve to impede their selected candidate, and new media opponents may have simply found confirmation of his registration too difficult to obtain — some have suggested that they had contacted the Selective Service, only to be told they would have to file a request under the Freedom of Information Act, which rather

notoriously may take months to complete. Perhaps others found it more useful to keep the rumor alive than put it to rest. But the conclusive answer is now known.

Barack Obama fulfilled his Selective Service obligation and has every legal right to run for the presidency of the United States.

If opponents wish to see him defeated, they'll have to see it done in the political arena.
Bob Owens blogs at Confederate Yankee.

Please note the discrepancy of the registration number and the date of filing.

Note also that the date on Schlusssel's document for the filing (7/29) is the day after the first day men from 1961 could file for selective service(after a five year hiatus from "the draft"). How patriotic!

Posted by: laser--*52609  at November 13, 2008 06:37 PM

IIRC if a person has failed to register with the selective service, they cannot hold a federal job.

Am I wrong? Sounds like more lawsuits on the horizon.

Posted by: CuF  at November 13, 2008 06:51 PM

Instead of searching for Obama's SSS, why not search for both the 08 and 80 numbers to see if either match Obama's? Surely there is a computer list of names and numbers that can be searched with either key somewhere. Even though most programs were written in COBOL or FORTRAN during that time (flat files), it is still possible to search on a specific column or set of columns.

It seems all too coincidental that two forms now appear to be falsified in some way. His birth certificate was supposedly an adaptation of a scan of his sister's birth certificate....

Posted by: Laura Schneider  at November 13, 2008 06:58 PM

"University administrations were involved because no record of Obama's writings at ANY school could be found."

That really does not surprise me. I teach at a University and it was not until a few years ago we had the technology to keep copies of students work. All work was returned to the student however the PHD tracts may have been different. Now we require all students to turn work in via e-mail and we keep copies of everything.

Posted by: Azygos  at November 13, 2008 07:00 PM

Please disregard my first "discrepancy": While scrolling back and forth I read the DLN as the SSS.

Interesting background info to the issue, though. Maybe Dan the Public Affairs Specialist could help explain? (Poor guy!)

Posted by: laser--*52609  at November 13, 2008 07:02 PM

Barry did not become "Barack" until 12/17/1980.*

Maybe nitpicky since form asks to print "full name." Wouldn't we expect him to at least sign his name as Barry Obama? Most people would likely sign with the name they regularly use(Barry) regardless of their full legal name. However, some might make an exception when signing documents of legal importance, i.e. wills, tax forms.

*www.newsweek.com/id/128633 "When Barry Became Barack" 3/31/08

Posted by: BillCare  at November 13, 2008 07:14 PM

"What a tangeled web we weave when we practice to deceive."
Why does this not surprise me? I remember having to show proof of my age when I registered. As stated in earlier comments it would be interesting to see another persons SSS information from the same time period/state. you can be sure that main stream media will toss this as well.

Posted by: Delta  at November 13, 2008 07:31 PM

Other questions that should be easy to answer and rule out or rule in a

questionable document.


Can you FOIA the next sequence of numbers associated with this "document" for comparison?

DLN: 0897060631 and 33
DLN: 80897060631 and 33
SSS NO: 6111255390 and 92

Why are we shown only page one when the RIMS HISTORY INQUIRY SCREEN shows page 1 of 2?

Why didn't Postal date Stamps from those days have the "19"? They didn't need to worry about y2k until 20 years later. Why is there enough space for the "19."?

Kenya show me your original?

Posted by: BillCare  at November 13, 2008 07:48 PM

Um, what is the source of this again? A friend of a friend who is good with Photoshop?

I'm sorry but if I were Obama with that kind of political machine and money behind me... I wouldn't have a forgery this bad.

I suggest if you want any kind of credibility, you provide a bit more evidence of your source.

Posted by: CuF  at November 13, 2008 07:51 PM

FWIW I found a blank SSS Registration form with a 1982 revision date:

<http://i33.tinypic.com/2mgk2ly.gif>

Posted by: PattyC  at November 13, 2008 08:01 PM


To Miss Tickly:

FYI...To view a JPG image's header, open it with any "hexadecimal editor," or "MS WordPad." A hex editor is better, but for a quick view, "WordPad" will work just fine.

I can't answer the second part of your question. I can only tell you what I found.

Posted by: Jackson Pearson  at November 13, 2008 09:32 PM

Jackson: I meant I was on an iPod touch and couldn't check it... Just bizarre that those same names would be found, eh?

Posted by: MissTickly  at November 13, 2008 10:01 PM

<http://www.alipac.us/ftopic-137238-.html> links to this site. Please read and comment.

MinutemanCDC_SC

Posted by: MinutemanCDC_SC  at November 13, 2008 10:54 PM

Obama got his High School Buddies to make his draft Card for him so when he got carded.

Posted by: Johnny V  at November 13, 2008 11:01 PM

Guard with jealous
attention the public
liberty. Suspect
everyone who approaches
that jewel. Unfortunately,
nothing will preserve it
but downright force;
Whenever you give up
that force, you are ruined...
The great object is that every
man be armed. Everyone
who is able might have a
gun.
Patrick Henry


Posted by: Hatrack  at November 13, 2008 11:22 PM

BillCare, page 2 is just instructions on how to fill out the form (page 1). Only page 1 is kept, and page 2 is thrown away.


Posted by: MinutemanCDC_SC  at November 13, 2008 11:48 PM

Ironically my son who turned 18 just got his selective service notice today, they send it to you now, and then if anything is wrong you change it, it's automatic when did that change?

My registration which I did in '83 Im gonna look it up, I dont think I have the document anymore, just curious to see what it looks like since my birth cert totally looks different from Husseins BC ie, its typewritten and has actual signatures of witnesses on it.

Posted by: eviscera  at November 14, 2008 12:21 AM

Ok so I am obsessing but so far, after looking at hundreds of "post marks" postage cancelation marks, and "postal date stamp" (the kind you see on a certified mail receipt, like E) I have yet to find even one postal date stamp that memorializes just the last two digits of the year, ie 80. I have only seen postal date stamps that mark a four digit year, ie 1980. Google it yourself and you will see. Strange?

Posted by: BillCare  at November 14, 2008 12:31 AM

This is worse than Watergate, when you add in the Kenya birth. Are we becoming the United States of Kenya?

Posted by: jwtsj  at November 14, 2008 01:15 AM

Birth Certificate: A lot of city halls have computerized their birth records, including the older typed (and signed copies). A couple years ago, I went to our local city hall to get a new copy of my husband's birth certificate (since his "original copy", over 30 years old) had fallen apart. I was given a computer printout (with an "award" frame), similar to the ones issued for our children (also born in the same city), also absent of the original's signatures, just the seal from the Records Department. Conspiracy theorists, try again if you want the pundits to take you seriously.

Selective Service: At least one other poster made note that the Dems were pushing this stuff about Bush and his military service. While the over-exaggeration ruined Rather's career, it came out that there was an actual kernel of truth to it.

University Papers: A friend of mine connected to ONE of Sarah Palin's alma maters (University of Idaho) had been amazed that Palin (a journalism major) was not a writer with the school paper (a requirement).

C'mon, get something new already. The other conspiracy theorists have been bouncing this around for months. Make up your minds! Which is he, born on foreign soil to an American who gave up her citizenship? (His mother was 19 at the time of his birth, which at the time made her a minor. I doubt she could have done that.) Or a "draft dodger"? Was he born in Kenya? Does he really have Indonesian citizenship? If foreign born, did he get special student aid? Did he register in Hawaii, Chicago, or in LA, the location of his first college, Occidental?

The key to being a good conspiracy theorist is finding a good one AND sticking to it.

Posted by: purple american  at November 14, 2008 03:20 AM

Debbie,

Have you obtained other registrations from around this same time period from other individuals. A nice sample would be extremely helpful for comparison.

Posted by: Bones  at November 14, 2008 03:24 AM


I have two points to make in regards to this matter of the alleged 'phony' Selective Service registration. (I believe it is phony.)

First, if Barack were not a citizen, would he be required to register just because he lived here in the U. S.? That could explain why there was no authentic registration from 1980. He needs to cover his tracks by producing one now to lend credence to the lie that he's a citizen.

As for the second matter of the incomplete or questionable 'postmark', I can speak with some authority on the subject as I was a postal employee for over 30 years from before 1970. This mark is created by a 'round dater' stamp. Each one was assigned to a specific employee and included an identifying number unique to the employee whose 'round dater' was used. That way, a 'postmark' could be traced back to its origin if a question of its authenticity ever came up. A 'postmark' was considered so reliable and sacrosanct that it could be used as evidence in court.

This was an accountable item and was kept under lock and key at all times when it wasn't in the possession of the individual authorized to have and use it and it was never allowed outside of the Post Office. It is a federal offense to postmark an item with any date other than the date on which the item was actually stamped. (There was a famous case where there was a contest to guess the final score for some athletic contest, a Superbowl or World Series, and the letters with the guesses had to be 'postmarked' prior to the event taking place. A group of postal employees conspired together and used a 'round dater' to illegally postmark their entries after the game was completed so they knew the score. They were caught and convicted.) Once the old 'Post Office Department' was reorganized into the new 'USPS' / U. S. Postal Service in the 1970's, new 'round daters' would have been issued. Apparently someone in the Chicago machine has been able to find one of these obsolete stampers that was spirited out of the system from years ago and probably uses it when the need arises. The missing '19' from the year '1980' is probably because they don't have the full set of numbers to change out the entire date or they weren't familiar with the way the 'round dater' was used. Also, the employee's identifying number is missing that would show to whom this particular 'round dater' was assigned.

It seems our only hope for a legitimate outcome to this travesty of justice is the Supreme Court or God himself. I'm not holding out much hope. We've been thwarted at every turn so far.

Posted by: Vicki551  at November 14, 2008 03:53 AM

Hey jwtsjp Obot,

What can we do if your Messiah has so many skeletons in his closet? That is the reason why there are many "conspiracy theories".

Unfortunately brainwashed Obots are part of the media and of government offices too (like the Obot that ILLEGALLY looked up info on Joe the Plumber) and nothing ever gets the attention it deserves.

If all these (very valid) "conspiracy theories" were against NObama's opposition, you and the other Obots would be all over it, but since they are about Nobama so, everything is ignored.

Obots would find excuses for NObama even if we had clear and verified unadulterated video of him shooting a child in the head. I am sure they would say the video was "out of context".

The stupidity and cult-like following is just amazing. I don't know how he did it or why half of the population was not affected by his spell, but he sure brainwashed and blinded millions of people.

I hope this at least gets investigated. If it is all just a conspiracy, then Nobama can be cleared. But why do the media and the authorities just ignore everything? Why the refusal to produce BC, college records, medical records, and everything that presidential candidates usually produce?


Only Obots don't see anything suspicious or wrong with that.
UNFREAKINGBELIEVABLE!

Posted by: Obamarxist  at November 14, 2008 04:53 AM

Sorry, my comment above should have been directed to Purple American (Obot), NOT jwtsjp.

By the way, the BIG "discovery" about Sarah Palin supposedly not writing for the school paper is REALLY serious. Wow, that could destroy her political career and send her to jail, LOL.

See how ridiculous you sound when you don't have any REAL dirt on someone?
GET A LIFE Obot!

Posted by: Obamarxist  at November 14, 2008 05:03 AM

First, I don't understand something. If he should've registered when 18 years old, should that have been in 1979, not 80?

Second, the entire section at the upper right corner is blotted out. We can discern its number, 3. What's that about?


Could that be a PLACE OF BIRTH?

Could that have been that he DID fill out the card, and later at data center it was discovered that he's not a citizen, so it was stored away but never processed through the system so didn't get ins DLN number, and THAT's what needs to be covered up now?


Posted by: Will48  at November 14, 2008 07:09 AM

"Registration of young men with the Selective Service System was resumed in 1980 after a 5-year suspension. Peacetime draft registration of young men born in 1960 and 1961 was conducted in a 2-week period in July 1980, and a subsequent registration of those born in in 1962 was carried out in a 1-week period in January 1981. Since then, the Selective Service has conducted continuous registration whereby young men are required to register at the post office within 30 days of the date they reach 18 years of age."

Source: July 28, 1982 Statement of Dr. Kenneth Coffey, Associate Director (Military), Federal Personnel and Compensation Division, before a subcommittee of the House Committee on the Judiciary

Posted by: JBean  at November 14, 2008 07:28 AM

My sons are twins, they each signed up for selective service on or near their 18th birthday in November 2001. Their numbers are close to each other and near to Obama's. It is a stretch of probability that the twin's numbers would be so close without the selective service number being a sequence. Thus I logically infer that Obama's number -- if the one given out so far is accurate -- was issued in or near November 2001.

Posted by: bww  at November 14, 2008 08:06 AM

I have been going over all of this info and I read the comments last nite...Did anyone notice that on the card portion that is hand-written there is a phone number and on the SSS it denotes zeroes except for the area code. I looked up my husband's card and he registered in 81. The postal mark is 4 digits and, as I was in the service, dug up old mail and there are indeed four digits denoting the year for letters rec'd in 1980.

And to all the COW (citizen of the World) lovers who are claiming that we are clinging to fallacy:
Is it not true that this does intimate questions that need answers?


On his own website he posted a birht cert that was a forged document. Does that not warrant questions?

On his change.gov website, he said he would "require" community service and then the same day he changed it to "encourage" and added some entitlements. I was called a liar, yet it was from his own site, the COW, himself. Now those pages are empty. Why no questions?

You certainly got behind Dan Rather and his fictitious story about the President and had this been GW Bush, you all would have taken to the streets and demanded investigations. Why the double standard?

The trouble is that there is a D behind his name and you close your eyes and plug your ears. I was told by another COW supporter that what I read was "Shit" because it came from Malkin or ATR.org. IF it had come from the Huffpo though, they would have turned on one of their own, truth be damned. It will come back to bite you all...don't worry.

We are asking legitimate questions, are we not? If you cannot at least admit that much, then it's lost on all of you because of your double standard way of looking at reality. You did it with BillyJeff and his meaning of the word IS, and what Sex really was. And when I hear an 11 year old say, "President Clinton did it," I cringe. Get a clue people. The See-and-Hear No evil approach with the COW is getting old.

Posted by: defendusa  at November 14, 2008 08:41 AM

Obamarxist,

I do not appreciate the insults from you. You have the right to disagree with other people's opinions but I draw the line at name-calling.

I have family in the field of journalism and others who happen to be conspiracy theorists. First of all, the obvious downside of our 24/7 news exposure between cable TV and the Internet is the plethora of non news. Anyone can put something up on a blog and within minutes, it's tossed around the world as "news", even something being bounced around for months. I am not attacking Ms. Schlusssel's discovery or her right to post it. [Debbie, have other people who registered around the time as Obama post their records for a direct comparison. Speaking as a historian, it's hard to find inconsistencies when there isn't anything to compare it to.]

I am asking all the people who are upset with the results of the election to realize that had the GOP won, there would be conspiracy theorists on the other end about McCain's health issues or Palin's qualifications. It works both ways.

I also have family directly connected with the federal government (in pretty high positions) and EVERYTHING you have ever done is checked on before you are granted any real security clearance, especially something as simple as citizenship or Selective Service registration (if male).

As for Palin, I never claimed to have any "dirt" on her. If you truly read through my comments, I am suggesting that one needs to stick with one conspiracy theory and work with that instead of patching one up from a bunch of them as some of these posters have been doing.

I'm saying that a lot of people are having difficulty accepting a black man as the president-elect (and not necessarily readers of this blog). SOME of the conspiracy theory I have seen bounced around the Net is thinly veiled racism. I have concerns about the same thing occurring if a Jew were to actually aspire to this office. If this were true, there would be a lot of people crying "foul" here instead of egging it on.

[Did you even read my point about the birth certificate? If a city computerized their records, it's all on a database now and they print it out for you. Therefore, a person born in 1970 in Detroit could get the same type of certificate now as someone born in 2008 in Detroit.]

Allow objective experts to compare similar records from that period for their legitimacy.

Posted by: purple american  at November 14, 2008 10:06 AM

purple 'American': The point of your post seems to have been to call the rest of us conspiracy theorists and racists. Wonderful!

I too have family in ultra high positions in the fedgov, and I have gone through clearance processes. Yes some things are checked, but not to all levels -- someone could have a fraudulently acquired selective service number exactly per the scenario suggested in re Obama, and it would not be easy to pick up on -- it would take an extra effort. Yet what makes you think Obama has had any vetting at all for a security clearance?

Still, you gave a great piece of advice -- get comparatives. Get selective service filings for a few men from around same purported registration day.

Thanks for that last, but work on your respect for others.

Posted by: bww  at November 14, 2008 10:16 AM

When one applies for a federal position, they are vetted. No one disagrees with that proposition I think. But usually that person is working for an agency, department, branch of service, etc. They have direct lines of authority and are responsible to other directors, agencies, etc. But who in the heck vets the POTUS? The FBI? CIA? All those agencies are responsible to the POTUS in some way or another--budgets, directors, appointments, Presidential Orders, and the list goes on. You don't let the eggs vet the rooster. If the FBI were to vet a potential POTUS of the opposite party to the current POTUS and something came out, imagine the cries of political use of a federal agency, lies, and so on and so on.

I am really interested if someone can point to a specific federal agency rule, law, regulation, whatever, that gives a federal agency the right and requirement to vet a potential POTUS.

Posted by: Sean  at November 14, 2008 10:37 AM

Purple American

What you are failing to recognize is that it is not about the GOP loss. These are legitimate questions that everyone should care about, not whether you are blue, red or purple, for God's sake.

As for your calim about Birht certificate's being in a data base...ot. I have a teeny tiny licensed size birth certificate that was sent to me from a microfished base. No matter what you think you know, there are always way around anything. Including forgeries or back dating of shit that was never filled out to begin with. Again, it has nothing to do with his race or what he wants to identify with. It is the law and the rule. If there were no discrepencies to hide, why not release it to begin with as a show of good faith? Kerry wouldn't do it with his SF180 but when Bush did, it wasn't good enough and Blather Rather had to get a forged story. See how that makes no sense? If you have nothing to hide, show it. yesterday.

Posted by: defendusa  at November 14, 2008 10:53 AM

purple --

"[Did you even read my point about the birth certificate? If a city computerized their records, it's all on a database now and they print it out for you. Therefore, a person

born in 1970 in Detroit could get the same type of certificate now as someone born in 2008 in Detroit.]"

I read it, and you obviously don't understand the distinction between a short form (COLB or Certification of Live Birth) and a "long form" -- the actual document image of a birth certificate. You may be able to obtain a COLB at the city level, but I don't know of any state that doesn't centralize vital records in a state department (usually the Dept. of Health). Most of those are accessible on line, and all that I'm familiar with offer the option of the (cheaper and faster) COLB, or the (more expensive, and slower to obtain) long form.

The COLB is simply the bare facts taken from the long form and entered into a central database. The original long form is always preserved either on microfiche or digitally, for legal reasons.

For example, the Dept. of Hawaiian Home Lands mentions both documents as follows:

"DHHL utilizes information that is found only on the original Certificate of Live Birth, which is either black or green. This is a more complete record of your birth than the Certification of Live Birth (a computer-generated printout). Submitting the original Certificate of Live Birth will save you time and money since the computer-generated Certification requires additional verification by DHHL."

Imagine that: The Department of Hawaiian Homelands requires additional verification for a COLB, but no agency or branch of the US Government has authority or responsibility to verify the computer-generated certification of birth of a candidate for the highest office in the land.

P.S. The reason the DHHL requires "further certification" is that birth certificates can be amended, sealed and re-issued under many circumstances, and the COLB does not always represent the facts on the original certificate.

Posted by: JBean  at November 14, 2008 11:15 AM

Just being picky here:

O-Busted: Selective Service Requirement Did Not Exist When Obama Says He Registered
Photo of Tom Blumer.
By Tom Blumer (Bio | Archive)
September 7, 2008 - 21:10 ET

It remains to be seen whether this turns out to be Barack Obama's "Christmas in Cambodia" untruth, his Dukakis-in-tank hilarity -- or both.

Regardless, what follows is a pretty obvious "misstatement" that would not possibly be ignored if it were uttered by a conservative or a Republican.

In his hilariously titled post ("Mighta Joined If He Coulda Capped Some Cong") on Barack Obama's interview in a barn this morning (not kidding) on This Week with George Stephanopoulos, fellow NewsBuster Mark Finkelstein reported on Obama's answer to a viewer's question about whether he ever considered military service. You can read Mark's post for his overall thoughts, but I want to focus on something the Illinois senator said that several commenters at the post took exception to (photo courtesy DayLife):

You know, I had to sign up for Selective Service when I graduated from high school. But keep in mind: I graduated in 1979.

There are only two "little" problems:
Story Continues Below Ad ↓

1. Selective Service Registration was not possible in 1979.
2. Bob Owens at Pajamas Media noted that Obama registered with the Selective Service with an effective date of September 4, 1980.

The Wikipedia entry on Obama's early life agrees with the candidate's memory of when he graduated (other verification will be obtained after this post goes up):

Obama returned to Honolulu to live with his maternal grandparents while attending Punahou School, a private college preparatory school, from the fifth grade until his graduation in 1979.

Wiki's Selective Service entry says the following about the registration requirements at the time:

On March 25, 1975, Pres. Gerald Ford signed Proclamation 4360, Terminating Registration Procedures Under Military Selective Service Act, eliminating the

registration requirement for all 18-25 year old male citizens. Then on July 2, 1980, President Jimmy Carter signed Proclamation 4771, Registration Under the Military Selective Service Act, retroactively re-establishing the Selective Service registration requirement for all 18-26 year old male citizens born on or after January 1, 1960. Only men born between March 29, 1957, and December 31, 1959, were completely exempt from Selective Service registration. The first registrations after Proclamation 4771 took place on Monday, July 21, 1980, for those men born in January, February and March 1960 at U.S. Post Offices. Tuesdays, Wednesdays and Thursdays were reserved for men born in the later quarters of the year, and registration for men born in 1961 began the following week.

+++++

Obama could not have registered "when I graduated from high school," as he claimed.

He actually registered roughly 45 days after the first post-Proclamation 4771 registrations took place in 1980.

Obama's statement, that "I had to sign up for Selective Service when I graduated from high school," is inarguably false.

Further, the correct timeline casts serious doubt on what Obama said between the ellipsed segments of the first excerpt above:

And I was growing up in Hawaii, and I had friend whose parents were in the military, there were a lot of Army, military bases there. And I always actually thought of the military as some ennobling and honorable option.

More likely: He signed up when he did because he had to. Nothing more, nothing less.

This would appear to be yet another example of resume enhancement.

Cross-posted at BizzyBlog.com.

—Tom Blumer is president of a training and development company in Mason, Ohio, and is a contributing editor to NewsBusters

Posted by: laser--*52609  at November 14, 2008 11:49 AM

I have a question for Debbie. Where are you going with this. Is the info being turned over to the DOJ or some other authority?

Posted by: MIDDLE CLASS GUY  at November 14, 2008 12:14 PM

I just wanted to say thanks for the amount of work to get this info out!

Also, FYI, the Wiki BHO signature link above has apparently been scrubbed.

Posted by: PortiaE  at November 14, 2008 12:36 PM

It would help readers a little if you could give us more information about these documents.

How did they arrive in your hands?

Does the owner still have the envelope with the sender's return address and the postmark?

Can he supply us with a timeline of the process that he went through, which resulted in receipt of these documents?

If I understand correctly what everyone has said so far, it appears that there is some connection between these computerized images and the COLB at Obama-supporting websites (Ducky, Adobe). How can that be? Can anybody tell us what "Ducky" means?

Was the FOIA request responded to via e-mail?

I have never sent a FOIA request, but I would expect that the data would arrive via snail mail and SOMEHOW that the documents would be certified as having come from the sending government agency. Otherwise, how could anyone know what the documents actually represent? The fact that the images of the COLB did not have an embossed seal illustrates this problem.

How is it that the second part of the documentation is a screen shot? Didn't they

send an actual printed document? Is it possible to pull data up on a screen and then insert a number in front of another number before printing (or transmitting via e-mail) the screenshot? How would that be done?

From my experience, back in 1980, IF this data was entered into a file so that it could later be printed out, it's likely that the DLN number had a fixed length. It would not be possible for a keypuncher or a data entry operator (depending upon when computerization took place) to enter 11 digits into a 10-digit field.

So how do you propose that someone entered that leading "8" into the screenshot or the printout that we see here?

I also spotted that the second document did not contain the full phone number. Why would that be?

The only explanation that I can think of is that whoever sent the document did not want Madelyn Dunham's home flooded with phone calls. Would it be likely that authorities would "redact" a phone number but NOT the identifying DLN number? They probably would not have made up a phone number, because people might then start calling that number, resulting in unwanted publicity and attention to the issue.

The big black smudge at the top right redacts the SS#, which would be the one item that we would need to confirm this information at the Selective Service website.

If the same person filled out this form, why did the person begin by using lowercase letters for the top date (August) and then switch to all uppercase letters for the rest of the text? It does appear as if someone wrote in the street name after the rest of the data, as if they weren't sure of the spelling. Wouldn't he know how to spell the street where he lived?

My point in asking about how the documents arrived is: How does the recipient know for sure that they came from the Selective Service office in Chicago?

[MGB: READ THE UPDATE ABOUT RETIRED FEDERAL AGENT STEPHEN COFFMAN, WITHIN THIS ENTRY. DS]

Posted by: MGB  at November 14, 2008 12:38 PM

Obama's SSS# is 61-112539-1, which is generated by the govt when the receive the registration.

Are you foolish enough to believe that all these registration forms sitting in desks across the country already contain the numbers which will be assigned the registrant?

The provided link on DLN, does not discuss what the numbers mean. Now your source says the standardization of Gov DLN's use the first two numbers for the year, yet the very IRS says the first two numbers refer to the district or service center. http://books.google.de/books?id=6hGBJBo7Jv0C&pg=PA1018&pg=PA1018&dq=IRS+DLN+regions&source=web&ots=aC658xfBrv&sig=a845IIPX7km-LKbtbvnRetKhAcw&hl=en&sa=X&oi=book_result&resnum=1&ct=result Pg 1018, first sentence. So either your source is talking himself up, or he don't really know a thing about DLN's.

Now if someone else could post their SSS# DLN it seems once again a swing and a miss...

The circular postal stamp has been in use atleast as late as 1999. http://www.linns.com/howto/refresher/postmarks_19990726/refreshercourse.asp

Posted by: TCom  at November 14, 2008 12:52 PM

Why is the "80" in the postal mark offset, as if there ought to be a "19" but it's not there? One would expect that if the year is supposed to have only 2 digits, the year would be nicely aligned beneath the rest of the information (i.e., centered).

Posted by: MGB  at November 14, 2008 12:58 PM

Hello Debbie! I had a bit of trouble signing in to leave this.

Daniel Amon is the contact Pajamasmedia claimed they received confirmation of Barack Obama's SSS from.

<http://pajamasmedia.com/blog/obama-did-obama-actually-register-for-selective-service/2/>

It is a rumor that the Obama campaign has chosen to ignore despite numerous

requests, and it is a rumor that even Snopes couldn't seem to confirm or deny definitively. After contacting the Selective Service System for an answer several times since late June, Pajamas Media obtained official confirmation from the Selective Service System via email that Barack Obama did indeed register for the Selective Service as required by law, and is eligible to run for the presidency.

Mr. Owens, Barack Hussein Obama registered at a post office in Hawaii. The effective registration date was September 4, 1980. His registration number is 61-1125539-1.

Daniel Amon
"Public Affairs Specialist"

Daniel Amon? Boy, you sure have a lot to say when it comes to draft issues. Who are you?

FROM:

<http://www.freerepublic.com/focus/news/2040486/posts?page=4920#4920Interesting>.

I can't seem to find a Daniel Amon with the SSS.gov. I see him quoted as a spokesperson often in KOS type forums and articles.

BUT, I was able to find a Daniel Amon, a Project Manager with Art-Z Graphics.

Art-Z Graphics also does contract work for the SSS.gov. See for example:

http://www.sss.gov/PDFs/SSS_Annual_ReportFY04.pdfhttp://www.sss.gov/PDFs/SSS_Annual_ReportFY04.pdfPage

2, Footer, in "invisible ink".

Make this graphic show Debbie: <http://goexcelglobal.com/images/DanAmon.gif>

[http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&q=Art-Z+Graphics+Daniel+Amon&btnG=Google+Search\[PDF\]](http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&q=Art-Z+Graphics+Daniel+Amon&btnG=Google+Search[PDF])

SSS Annual Report 2004FINAL.inddFile Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat - View as HTML ... Military Selective Service Act, Section 10(g). Cover Design and Layout, Laurie Zaleski and Neal Dallmer of Art-Z Graphics. Project manager, Dan Amon. ... www.sss.gov/PDFs/SSS_Annual_ReportFY04.pdf - Similar pages

Maybe someone can confirm Dan Amon's position with SSS.gov? Especially since I found him to be a graphics expert with SSS.gov and Debbie found a doctored SSS form for Barack Obama?


Selective Service System's Arlington, VA headquarters at 703-605-4100

Posted by: [CalperniaUSA](#)  at November 14, 2008 01:00 PM

good god calpernia and MGB nice work!

Posted by: [MissTickly](#)  at November 14, 2008 01:03 PM

Take some caution though. A project manager usually oversees the content and design and is not the artist him/herself.


Posted by: [MissTickly](#)  at November 14, 2008 01:08 PM

MGB,

Is it possible to pull data up on a screen and then insert a number in front of another number before printing (or transmitting via e-mail) the screenshot? How would that be done?

As a long-time mainframe programmer (that screen shot is from a mainframe), I can tell you that not only is it possible, but I did that all the time for work purposes. It became possible when we switched from mainframe-dedicated monitors to using PC workstations. You can use the same copy/paste features of a PC for some mainframe work. Here's how you could add a digit:

You bring up the display screen with the correct information, highlight the screen with the mouse, and copy it. Then go into the mainframe edit option, open an empty file, and paste. The screen shot is now in a file that can be modified. You type in the extra digit, adjust any alignment issues, and then print from the edited file. Simple. Anyone with editing access to mainframe files can do it.

Posted by: [SkyePuppy](#)  at November 14, 2008 01:51 PM

SkyePuppy --

Interesting. Could the edited file then be stored on the mainframe? If not, what would it take to add or edit a record permanently?

It seems that this record existed back in August, when Almon sent that email, and it still existed when Stephen Coffman sent his FOIA request in October.

There seems to be some sort of disconnect between the image file and the RIMS screen -- either that or both SSS employees realized there was a problem with the record and tried to avoid supplying the image.

Posted by: [JBean](#)  at November 14, 2008 02:20 PM


I still don't understand whether Mr. Coffman received these documents via e-mail or snail mail. Does anybody know? It makes a difference.

btw, thanks for the info about how to edit on the screen.

I'd like to see more documentation on these images, such as the mailing envelope or, if received electronically, then some kind of signature that indicates where it came from.

Posted by: [MGB](#)  at November 14, 2008 02:34 PM

If you go to Wikipedia and verify the signature of Obama with the signature on the SS form you will see they are entirely different. Which signature is correct...can anybody explain.

Posted by: [MdDeeDa](#)  at November 14, 2008 03:00 PM

The site below records some fairly level headed reporting and discussion regarding this issue as it was first posted on pajama media in sept 08.

Several men (vets included) about the same age as Obama record their own experiences and memories of registering for Selective Service.

WE seem to be at a point where we should be comparing SSS#s and DLNs from about that time. Anyone know how to contact these men? I don't.

Also this question looms. Where did Obama spend his summer after his freshman year at Occidental? Any proof he returned to Hawai'i? Or did he register by mail? (as brought up as possibility in the discussion below).


Apologies: I also don't know for sure how to post a live link.

<http://volokh.com/posts/1220855825.shtml>

Posted by: [laser--*52609](#)  at November 14, 2008 03:32 PM

MGB,
Regarding the signature and writing on the card: As a left-hander, I can tell you the person who filled out the form is a leftie (probably in more ways than one!). Notice how the letters slant to the left? This is a tell-tale sign. Regarding the unusual switching from regular spelling to all caps, I do this ALL THE TIME (see?). Left-handers are notorious for poor penmanship. I use all caps (especially when I'm writing for someone else) because it's easier for the recipient to decipher. The signature doesn't bother me either, because I'm the same age as Obama and my signature is radically different than when I was 18. The "O" looks the same, most of the lower case letters are fairly similar. The one thing that leaps out is the 1st "B". I think Obama got that "B" from his stepfather, Lolo, or whomever filled out his school info. in Indonesia.

I think the document is a forgery, but I think Obama's handwriting is all over it.

Posted by: [paigenalex](#)  at November 14, 2008 03:34 PM

Post a comment

You are not signed in. You need to be registered to comment on this site. [Sign in](#)

[home](#) - [columns](#) - [bio](#) - [blog archives](#) - [fan club](#) - [media](#) - [discussion](#) - [contact](#)

Copyright 2000 - 2007 www.debbieschlusssel.com

