Money-Media Complex

by Alfred Adask

We're constantly reminded that this is the "Information Age". Nations and corporations compete in life-ordeath struggles to gather, possess, record, use, conceal, spin and sell information, because (as you know) information is *power*!

Maybe so, but I have my doubts. I agree that knowledge of *truth* is power, but the waterfall of information that soaks me each day is not comprised exclusively of truth. We watch the Evening News and wonder if "Information Age" leaves us better informed or better deceived.

I listen to the TV News every night and find the various opinions and information fascinating, but within hours I can't remember what those opinions were. Can you remember last night's news? I can't. So is this the Age of Information? Of Gossip? Or a second Age of Babel?

Today, thanks primarily to the telephone, radio, TV, Internet and other forms of electronic communication, each of us has access to quantities of information that were inconceivable just ten or twenty years ago. Today, the average janitor has access to more information than the average university professor in 1950. So is this the Information Age? No. It's the *Opinion* Age.

What seems to have increased in the Information Age is not truth, but *opinion*. There's an old joke that opinions are like an unsavory human orifice: everybody's got one. Little did they know – today, everybody's got scores of opinions – we've got as many opinions as hairs on our heads. Joe Brown thinks this, Jane Smith thinks

that, and Alfred Adask thinks something else. Not everyone's become a commentator, columnist, or publisher, but within another four or five years, we just might.

Today's political struggle is not to find information – it's as ubiquitous as coat hangers – but to control and restrict the distribution (communication) of information. Did Bill Clinton really "inhale" when he tried marijuana (once) decades ago? Does he cheat on his wife with White House interns young enough to be his daughter? Of course. The information is not only available, it's widely *published* and communicated.

But while we titter over Bill's peccadillos, how many Americans know that, as Governor of Arkansas, Bill Clinton was allegedly involved in massive drug smuggling through the Mena, Arkansas airport and perhaps several homicides? Frankly, I don't care if Bill inhaled in college or Monica inhaled in the White House. What I want to know is whether Bill's primary party affiliation is with the Democrats or organized crime, whether he helped kill Vince Foster, and if he's committed treason with Red China for political campaign contributions.

The mainstream media's answers to my questions aren't denial – it's silence. They don't deny the most serious allegations concerning Bill Clinton, they ignore 'em. In the "Information Age," the mainstream media doesn't deny facts, they deny questions. They don't deny truth, they drown it in a torrent of "nightly news" that leads Americans to forget that the quantity of our

information is no substitute for its quality (truth).

In denying my questions, the media denies me, isolates me. I rage in the night but (judging by the mainstream media) I make no sound. Since I depend on the mainstream news for my sense of "community," my mute isolation makes me doubt my perceptions and question my sanity. Seemingly unable to succeed in "publishing" (raising public awareness) that the President may be a psychotic irresistibly drawn to organized crime, lust, murder and treason – I finally concede maybe I'm the one who's nuts.

Guess which headline the mainstream media is more likely to run: "Clinton's a Psycho!" or "Adask's a Nut!"? But who cares if *I'm* nuts? What difference does it make if some eccentric roofer publishes opinions that might reach 40,000 or 50,000 people? In a population of 265 million, my audience seems insignificant.

Yet, if the President of the United States is psychotic, it seems to me that all Americans share an interest in not only keeping him away from knives and pointy scissors, but also from the buttons that launch nuclear missiles, international trade negotiations and domestic health care plans. Perhaps President Clinton's just another overambitious politician with too little ethics and too much ego. However, Bill's persistent pattern of behavior over several decades suggests his tendency to "do wrong" may be *compulsive*. And that's why a responsible mainstream media should conduct a thorough, public investigation of Clinton's behavior. A lot of lives could depend on whether Bill's motivated by a big ego or a big psychosis.

Does the mainstream media conduct that investigation? No. Why not? Because the underlying questions and allegations are too absurd to consider? Or because a thorough investigation of Clinton would precipitate investigations of the people around him in Washington (and not only among Democrats or Republicans, but also members of organized crime, Red Chinese spies, and wealthy political campaign contributors)? In the final analysis, is Clinton misunderstood, correctly understood but an aberration, or the tip of an iceberg?

I'd bet Clinton's the tip of an iceberg of politicians, bureaucrats, special interests and bankers who are at least unethical, probably criminal, possibly psychotic, and remotely, satanic. To deeply expose Clinton could expose an entire system that's built on little more than smoke, mirrors and barely disguised corruption.

We can debate whether government in general and Clinton in particu-

Secret Tools for Post-Conviction Relief

by Joe Allan Bounds

1998 Edition, 314 pages, 13 page Table of Contents with over 440 quick reference topics with favorable supporting federal case law. "The Research Reference Book for Lawyers and Post-Conviction Litigants for Prevailing on Ineffective Assistance of Counsel Claims, and Methods of Establishing 'Cause' for Procedural Default."

Topics: Preparing for Post-Conviction Relief; Ineffective Assistance of Counsel; Conflict of Interest; Cause Procedural Default; Actual Innocence; Fundamental Miscarriage of Justice; The "Ends of Justice"; Novelty Issues of Law; Intervening Change in Law; Retroactive Application of the Law; and much more! 1999 Edition will be released in March, 1999. Please specify which edition beginning 3/1/98.

Regular price \$69.95 plus \$6.00 shipping and handling (inmate discounted price \$49.95 plus \$6.00 shipping and handling). Texas residents please add 7.75% sales tax. Send check or money order to:

Zone DT Publishing, P.O. Box 1944 Dept. AS, Vernon Texas, 76384 lar are corrupt. But one thing is sure: If either entity were "massively" corrupt, that corruption could not survive (let alone prosper) unless the mainstream media were controlled to restrict the publication of the damning evidence.

Conversely, if there were evidence that the mainstream media routinely refuses to report news that government finds damning, we'd have to conclude that not only is the media controlled, but government must be corrupt. After all, why would an honest government that cherished the First Amendment allow the media to be controlled?

Government corruption (if any) goes hand in hand with media control (if any). They are two sides of the same coin. One could not exist without the other. To find either proves the other's existence.

I have no doubt that the mainstream media is at least influenced and possibly controlled to deprive us of truth concerning our apparent leaders. Why? Because this System is built on belief (public misinformation and "political correctness") rather than objective truth and substance. Because this System can't stand without "public confidence". Insofar as our System depends on our confidence/ belief, this system is somewhat like a secular religion.

But what, exactly, might we be worshipping?

I'm not quite sure. But I can tell you this: Over the years, I've seen one sure way to get yourself jailed – mess with the money system. You can conspire to kill the President or blow up New York's World Trade Center and maybe the government will come for you and maybe not (they may even send some people to help you). In the end, Presidents (and skyscrapers) are as disposable as light bulbs; if Clinton flickers, they'll pop him out and screw Al Gore in. If Gore burns out, no big deal, they'll just screw another dim bulb into the Oval Orifice. So any threat to harm a President is likely to be regarded by folks at the top as almost comical ("Ohh, nooo! Not the President!").

But if you create your own

"comptroller warrants," open your own bank, or issue some sort of money that offers a real alternative to Federal Reserve Notes – the only question is how many years you're gonna get in the slammer. Mess with the money system, and you *will* be arrested, indicted, convicted, and sentenced.

Oh, you'll get a trial, of course. The judge will appear attentive as your lawyer presents your defense. But the appearance of "due process" will be window dressing to conceal an absolute certainty recognized long before they kicked in your door – you're going bye-bye.

I've seen this process take place several times, and judging by the system's *virulent* attack against anyone who offers an alternative to the existing money system, there's no doubt that money is our System's "third rail" – touch it and die. Judging by government's determination to protect the money system at all costs, I am convinced that money is our System's "heart of darkness."

Those who doubt my opinion might want to search all available sources in this "Information Age" for concise, comprehensible information on money in general and our money system in particular. It's almost impossible to find.

In fact, Baron Rothschild declared, "Not one man in 1,000 understands money." Even though money is as essential as oxygen to our economic survival, I'd bet that today, the number of people who fully understand the concept of money is even lower. Today, the average American understands more about DNA, genetics, and cloning sheep embryo's than he does about the "money" in his pocket. Here, in the Information Age, I'd bet the number of Americans who truly understand money – and therefore economics, politics, and social structure – could be less than 5,000.

Can that degree of public ignorance concerning a topic as fundamental to our survival as money be accidental? Not a chance. Therefore, this issue of the *AntiShyster* will begin to explore money/ media complex.