Three Grooms and a Bride

by Eric Moebius

Attorney Eric Moebius served as an assistant attorney general for Texas for five years and went on to eight years of private practice. In Volume 6 Nos. 1 & 2, the AntiShyster reported on Mr. Moebius' extraordinary allegations concerning the murders of adults and children perpetrated by coalitions of insurance company executives, lawyers, and judges for the purpose of defrauding millions of dollars from insurance companies. These allegations include the rape, murder and evisceration of four girls, aged 13 to 17, in a Texas yogurt shop insured for \$12 million and the murder of a 5-year old boy by the driver of a pickup truck insured for \$19 million dollars. The details are sometimes gory, always fantastic, and reproduced more fully in "Hot News" on our website (www.antishyster.com).

What follows are edited and reordered excerpts from a January 27, 1997 radio interview of Eric Moebius conducted by Rick

Donaldson, and Alfred Adask on the "Christian Patriot Connection" (KPBC, Dallas, 770AM 8-9pm Mondays). Mr. Moebius' comments are in regular type; Adask & Donaldson's comments are in blue text.

The interview relates Mr. Moebius' growing understanding that these murders are motivated by the money laundering of today's drug dealers and also those who looted the Savings and Loan associations in the 1980's.

I am particularly intrigued by the role allegedly played by the Texas State Bar in implementing the money laundering, especially the use of the lawyers' trust accounts. Once again, I'm seeing anecdotal support for "Trust Fever" (this issue) and the idea that We The People are being systematically assaulted by government and gangsters hiding behind trusts.

We are in a historical epoch. A time when there is more cash from drug money and from the Savings & Loan bank fraud money outside of the Texas bank system than ever before in history.

After the 1980's Savings & Loan collapse, the government's Resolution Trust Fund succeeded in recovering about \$25 million of the \$200 BILLION stolen from the S&Ls. The recovery effort failed miserably. As a result, there's an army of people that defrauded the government and got away with 99% of the money.

However, by looting the S&Ls, they got the cash *out* of the banking system. Now, in order to spend it, they have to get the money laundered back *into* the banking system.

Billions of stolen dollars is not money to buy a new Cadillac or take your girlfriend out for expensive dinners every night. We're talking about real "power" money that can invest in skyscrapers, stocks and bonds — but not as cash. Billions in cash are almost worthless unless you can move it back into the banking system and "legitimize" it so you can write a check to buy that skyscraper.

It's also a risk to possess so much cash that's been shrink-wrapped and hidden. People stole this money because money represents power; but it's only *latent* power unless it gets back *into* the banking system.

Another big problem is the introduction of the new hundred dollar bills since they threaten to devalue the old hundreds — and the S&L looters have billions of dollars in old hundred dollar bills stockpiled. Government's are notorious for suddenly shutting down a currency. If our government suddenly declared those old hundred's worthless, the value of those billions of stolen dollars will suddenly be zero. Therefore, threatened by the devaluation of all their old hundreds, these gangsters face a sudden urgency to "legitimize" their stolen cash by somehow moving it back into the banking system. Because time may be short and the stakes enormous, they are willing to use extraordinary violence to preserve their stolen money.

"Income stream" money laundering

Money launderers like money that's "in motion". Consider a typical money laundering transaction: If you own a business and deposit \$20,000 every month, you may be approached by a money launderer who says, "Listen, if you agree to 'blend' an additional \$8,000 in drug money into your \$20,000 deposit, we'll give you 12%." That's "income streaming" -- mixing illicit money with an existing "stream" of lawful income.

Any business can do it. Motels, theaters, and baseball stadiums are great because they can report many more rooms rented or seats filled, than really were.

Then, to "explain" the additional income that's being "blended" into his income stream, a motel owner might report renting 100 rooms when he only rented 50. This false reporting essentially "legalizes" the additional illicit income.

That's right. But this kind of "blending" creates an extended relationship between the money launderer and the businessman that lasts months or even years. Let's say you're laundering money through a motel that has an average occupancy rate of 45% but you're going to report an 85% occupancy rate on your income stream because you're money laundering. You've got to come up with extensive paperwork.

To protect yourself from a serious audit, you might have to hire more maids to change the bedding for the extra fifty rooms you're claiming to rent and account for more sheets and toilet paper that should be used by the phantom "occupants" of the extra rooms.

And your accountants will start asking why your business is doing better. Maintaining a plausible income stream is a very labor intensive, highly detailed project -- and it doesn't really move that much money. There's also a tax burden on your new "profits" which may "red flag" the movement of money to the IRS.

When Congress passed the Bank Secrecy and Money Laundering Suppression Acts, they assumed that all money laundering would be done through an *income* stream. This is important. Therefore, these Acts create reporting requirements but *only* for money that moves from *outside* the banking system *into* the banking system.

We think S&L bank fraud people looked at the Bank Secrecy and Money Laundering Suppression Acts and realized that while you can't easily move money from *outside* a bank into a bank, you can move \$100 million "account to account" *within* the banking system without any reporting requirements.

Loss streaming

Most people don't realize that money "moves" in response to both income and *losses*. And though it's very difficult to create even a \$100,000 income for conventional money laundering, it's easy to create a multimillion dollar *loss*.

In other words, money not only "moves" because its gener-

\$75 for 5,000 downloads \$200 for 20,0000 downloads (1 cent/ download) Current ad sizes & prices at http://www.antishyster.com under the "advertising" link Send ad and check to: AntiShyster POB 540786 Dallas, Texas 75354-0786 The United States of America or email to: adask@gte.net MC, Visa, & Amex call: 972-418-8993



ated by a legitimate business income -- it also "moves" if I make a mistake, get sued, and suffer a "loss".

We differentiate this type of money laundering from "income streaming" — we call it "loss streaming". We believe that Savings & Loan bank fraud people, who are very educated — most of them are lawyers who understand the banking system better than anything you can imagine. They understand the courts, the insurance industry and the legislature. We believe these people came up with a money laundering scheme that we call "loss streaming."

"Loss streaming" is a one night stand — no extended relationships, detailed record keeping or income taxes [personal injury claims paid by insurance companies are nontaxable]. To do "loss streaming", you take a site like a yogurt shop and "create" a loss. Money launderers set up and insure the site and then arrange for murders on that "groomed" site.

You have to remember something about money laundering. It's an illusion. It's like an empty stage and they bring everything to the stage. If you have a \$19 million coverage, that means within days of the death \$19 million starts moving.

But you're saying is it's not just the \$19 million that's moving. They mix in another \$10 to \$20 million of stolen or drug money with that \$19 million?

Yes.

"Loss streaming" also avoids the messy "income stream" of legitimate businesses, extended paper trails, paying taxes, etc.

Right. Nonlawyers may not recognize what happens on an insured site. It can be a yogurt shop, E-Z Mart, cowboy ranch, home, or a store. But once there's an insured loss, there is movement of money from bank account to bank account. All insurance companies keep their money in "general" accounts. However, when a claim is filed, insurance companies must put money into "reserve" accounts in response to claims. Therefore, money "moves" (that's important to the money launderer) from a "general" bank account to a "reserve" bank account.

Suppose I have an accident and file an insurance claim for \$10,000. Just because I filed a claim doesn't mean the insurance company is going to pay. However, because they might have to pay, they are required to take \$10,000 from their general account and place it in the reserve account where it is "reserved"

strictly for me until the validity of my claim is determined. Then, once my claim is validated or rejected, the \$10,000 will be given to me or returned to their general account.

Right. The reserve account is something like an insurance company's "trust account".

Three grooms and a bride

Loss streaming is making Texas the premier place for drug trafficking. If you're moving a lot of cocaine, you're moving in the hundreds of millions. Do you really want to settle for these \$200,000 income streaming schemes? No. You want to go to a state where in one night, with the murder of four children, you can move \$100 million into an account and then shoot it right back. So loss streaming is part of an overall picture. It's like a wheel. Big drugs come in. Big money launders out.

We call these schemes "three grooms and a bride". The three "grooms" are the site, the follow-up investigation, and the insurance claim — but the "bride" is the drug or stolen S&L money waiting *outside* the bank.

Because the launderers are moving enormous amounts of money, it's important this murder be accomplished reliably. So they "groom" the site before the murder. In Diana Havner's case, over the months before her murder. the convenience store owners took out the store's burglar alarm and phone, and put big signs over the windows so no one could see what was going on inside. They took out the drop safe but added check cashing which signaled that there might be several thousand dollars in unprotected money on site. The possible presence of this money created a "pseudo motive" for murder.

When Diana was murdered, we naturally assumed some thug killed her to steal money from the convenience store.

The reason these murders persist is it took forever to figure out the real motive. Who would ever imagine that killing a girl, or four girls, on a site could be connected to a money laundering scheme? It took so many of us lawyers traveling around the state, meeting with other lawyers, comparing notes, looking at the cases, looking at the disbarment activity against us, and finally, we came to an unmistakable conclusion that they were "blending" or laundering money into the loss streams that they were creating.

However, you don't just groom the site for the murder, you often times groom the follow-up investigation. You induce corruption in the police department. If you schedule the murder properly, you can often determine which homicide detective gets control of the case. If he's corrupt, there won't be a meaningful investigation.

Once the death claim arises, money starts moving from account to account. Keep your eve on that because money launderers like money that is "in motion", moving into a bank account. Within minutes, hours or days of the death claim, money moves from the insurance company's general account to their reserve account and then from the reserve account to the bank trust account of the lawyer claiming to represent the beneficiaries of the insurance policy. The best place to blend or launder in the drug money is as it's moving from the reserve accounts to the lawyer's trust accounts.

Most people assume the lawyer handling the money represents the heirs of the dead person, but I want to shock everyone out there. It's very, very easy to set up someone's murder and then move the money through the accounts and download it into a lawyer's trust account in the name of the family of the murdered people when that lawyer does NOT in fact represent those people.

I'll tell you how we lawyers found out about this. If you kill someone on the site or you set off an arson, money moves through the accounts, and the money laundering is done. But suppose an honest lawyer comes along a year or two later, and represents the real heirs of the dead person who have no idea that their names were already used to facilitate a money laundering transfer. The lawyer's suit threatens to set off a second disbursement through the same insurance account for the same death. That could expose the murder scheme since the insurance company's fraud units would pick up the second claim and/or payout.

Lawyers trust accounts

The only downside to loss streaming is that it's easy to determine where the money went. It goes into the lawyer's trust account. That's why lawyers like Roy Q. Minton and Jerry Gibson, control, and I mean *control*, the Texas State Bar. The Texas State Bar has become a Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organization.

But here's another kicker. The State Bar collects all the interest that accrues on the lawyer's trust accounts but refuses to account for how much that interest is.

The interest from lawyer trust accounts is supposed to go to the State Bar to pay for legal services for poor people.

Right. Which is one of the big-

"Never FEAR the IRS Again"

A 272 Page Defensive Handbook For Dealing With **IRS**

Learn how to stop levies, remove liens, abate penalties and interest, and understand Regulations

Only \$29.95 plus \$4.00 shipping & handling

M/C, VISA, AmEx and Discover: **888-321-2979**

Visit our homepage at: www.neverfearirs.com

Or E Mail us at: sales@neverfearirs.com

T. JEFFERSON PRESS 10300 N. Central Expy # 530 Dallas.TX 75231

gest lies you've ever heard. In fact, if I kill four children on the yogurt shop site and download \$100 million into my lawyer's trust account, the annual interest on that \$100 million would be about \$5 million. That would cause the bank to send a 1099 report of interest earned to the IRS which would cause the IRS to ask *me* how I got hold of the \$100 million.

However, the State Bar is a "political subdivision of a state", and political subdivisions are exempt from the reporting requirements of the Bank Secrecy and Money Laundering Suppression Acts. Therefore, because the State Bar collects the lawyer's trust account interest, no 1099 is filed by the bank, the lawyer, or the Bar and therefore, that \$100 million doesn't show any interest to attract the IRS. *That's* why the State Bar collects the interest.

Under the tax laws, they can download a billion dollars into a lawyer's trust account, and if they don't claim a dime of it as income, they don't have to report any of it. A lawyer's trust account — don't ever forget it — is heaven for laundering. There is no better place. The law firm acts as a bank, does the bookkeeping, and from there, they can wire the money to the Cayman Islands or wherever.

So they're committing murders to generate insurance losses, put money "in motion", cause "loss streaming", and then blend illicit money with the apparently legitimate money. We believe that blending takes place as the money moves from insurance company reserve accounts to the lawyers' trust accounts.

These lawyers' trust accounts are starting to dominate the state.... There's a big problem with that. Honest lawyers that want to take on bad judges — if we can't run against them — if we can't have a party behind us, and we're out there, saying "hey, get rid of this judge" -- there goes our law license. We're going to be indicted. We're going to be arrested. We're going to be chased out.

In Austin, the trust account of the Minton, Burke, Foster & Collins firm, and in San Antonio the trust account of the Plunkett Gibson firm are dominant. They choose the judges.

It's hard for people to believe. We lawyers are in day-to-day contact with public officials. You people out there are working your lives and trying to stay away from these people, so when we tell you that we're seeing nightmares in this courtroom, that they're setting us up for arrest, or we see overt corruption where lawyers from dominant money laundering firms are in the courtroom signaling to the judge

how to rule, maybe that sounds wild, but guess what? That's where we work. We see it every day. We're dealing with people that murder people, murder *children*.

Fighting the fleet

Eric, give folks an idea of the kind of pressure that's been brought to bear on you, since you started to expose this information.

Because there's so much money moving, it's like an aircraft carrier surrounded by destroyers. When you fight these guys they come after you with everything. And not just me, but a lot of lawyers. They will destroy your life and when they've got that kind of money, they can do it.

This loss streaming "industry" has been around a while and it attracts psychopaths. Ted Bundy would be well employed in this system. And I'm not kidding about that. You are dealing with people that are sexually, emotionally and financially attracted to murder.

What's amazing about these people is they are masters at illusion. That yogurt shop was never a "yogurt shop". From the very moment it was put in place, from the moment those girls were hired, it was a *murder site*.

If you deal with people that can create illusions, they can create mental impairment illusions, they tried my wife for fraud, they tried me for fraud. Now they're trying me as a child molester. How do you fight this?

Public exposure.

Yes, you go high publicity — because they define you. As I was trying to litigate this arson case I was told by Roy Q. Minton — a name well known in Texas

— that if I filed a lawful appeal, I would be incarcerated in a mental asylum. Roy Minton has a very precise pattern. He tries to get a judgment issued - and by the way — your US District Judges don't you think that a US District Judge can't be the most corrupt animal in the world. These Article III judges like Prado or Knowlin or Magistrate Coppell or O'Connor -- these guys love money and there's nothing more corrupting. What they do is they hit you with some kind of judgment or decree and most people think that's the end of it. But guess what? No sooner does that decree come down, than they try to arrest you and kill you right afterwards. What they do is try to create a "suicide credible environment".

In other words, if they can cause a significant loss in your life and you die soon afterwards, people might dismiss your death as a suicide.

Exactly. And it's a repeating pattern. In 1993, I got hit with a \$70,000 sanction, I didn't even have a *trial* for my client. It'd be like going to a night softball game and they never turn on the lights but just announce the score at the end. Three days later I was found "mentally impaired" and they tried to get — without any medicals — they tried to get me incarcerated. I filed a jury demand. The jury declared me sane.

So they came to my office and tried to arrest me and later tried to arrest me in a courtroom. That happened in November, 1993, and again when they disbarred me in November of '95—and by the way, the jury again found in my favor, so the judge voided the jury verdict. They hit me with an absurd sanction -- \$176,000 -- and next day tried to arrest me.

Now they've put something in my son's records at school and when we got it was all blacked out. They tried to paint my son as my accuser in a child molestation case. They're saying that I molested a boy as I and my wife were going up a crowded staircase at school, taking my son to class at 8 o'clock in the morning.

When they made the original allegation, they knew you were at the school if I understand correctly, but they didn't know your wife and son were with you.

Al, actually what they were going to do — is just get the indictment down — they don't care about the sloppiness of the facts, they try to arrest you as you walk into your State Bar courtroom. Nobody looks at the fine details. If you sidestep the arrest, and that's when I published my story on your website, now they have some problems.

So they generated a new time line. Now they put me in a Cub Scout camp. Their problem is neither my son nor the little boy they said I molested were even in the Cub Scouts that year, and I've never been to a Cub Scout camp.

This is the Bar acting against you?

Elements of the Bar. This is Roy Minton. This is the lawyer that controls the Bar. These racketeers. And I'm telling you these guys are psychopathic murderous racketeers.

Those are extraordinary allegations and I've got to do a little dance for the benefit of KPBC as well as myself. Again, ladies and gentlemen, Eric is making these allegations -- I am confident that Eric is making honest statements -- but again, we don't have a second side on this so we have to be cautious in what we believe.

Hey, if Roy Minton wants to call you, let's do it. I had to move my family out of the state *overnight*. To us, this is the end of democracy. Because guess what? The drug money will always come into this state and now that they're doing loss streaming, they're corrupting judges. I am saying some judges on the Texas Supreme Court are actively in this murder-based money laundering.

Silver linings and ominous clouds

After these seven years and the complete destruction of the lives of so many lawyers, we're actually getting somewhere. Where's the solution here? If I can give a number to the US attorney which is (512) 916-5858. There's a guy there named Dan Mills. He's a good US attorney. You need to call him or leave a message on his voice mail and tell them to move on this "loss streaming".

You're implying that part of the impetus to actually solve these cases is political. That without enough public pressure, solutions are unlikely.

Yes. We now have a major TV network affiliate that's assigned an investigative reporter. And we're talking with reporters. These reporters have determined through their calls that "loss streaming" is taking place.

There's also a guy named Jaime Lavarre with the House Banking Committee in Washington, DC; they're looking at the money surpluses in Texas. All they got to do is take out a calculator and say "you know something, this much money cannot be coming in through the *income* streaming transfers." When Jaime Lavarre and I talked — and I told

him about the *AntiShyster* website, he said, "you know something, we'd already concluded that the money launderers had started moving their schemes and mimicking the bank-to-bank transfers."

If you can "blend" stolen money into a quantity of apparently legitimate money that's moving bank-to-bank, the Money Laundering Suppression Act and the Bank Secrecy Act are meaningless.

And these money launderers have enormous power. We deal with IRS, CID agents and US attorneys that are frightened. I've got some hearings coming and I'm worried about these people killing me. Do I sound alive and vigorous to you?

You sound all right to me, Eric. As a matter of fact, you sound like you're getting better, less anxious and less frightened than you were several months ago.

I'm better because investigative reporters have come in, because we understand "loss streaming" and we're dealing with the House Banking Committee. Yes, I'm better, but I'm frightened.

I understand. What you're trying to convey is that you're not suicidal and if anything does happen to you, it's not going to be by your own hand.

Al, you don't fight something for seven years and be life-affirming and suddenly take your life. It doesn't happen and it's not going to happen.