

=====

littlehammer's
Weekly Tax Exempt Newsletter
with
Questions and Answers
and
Conference Call Reminder

Copyright (except quotations) © 2000, 2001, 2002 by Paul Leinthall

=====

Tuesday, June 11, 2002

- [1] Welcome & Editorial: That's All She (I) Wrote, Today.
- [2] Conference Call Reminders: **“Question & Answer “Call - for New Folks**
Wednesday NIGHTS - 9 pm EASTERN
1-620-584-8202, Pin 2974#
"*6" (Star 6) MUTES and UN-MUTES your line
ALSO
Corporation Sole (specific) Conference Call
Friday MORNINGS - 10:00 AM EASTERN
Same Number and Pin as Above
PLUS
A CLIENT'S ONLY CALL
Call Your Representative for Number and Time

[3] Contact Information, Legal Notice & Notice of Copyright explanation.

In this section (below), I explain why I use the bracketed phrases [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] to refer to the founder and his company, who achieve the 100% effective results of having the IRS change their internal records to reflect the fact that each client is exempt from income taxes on any income, regardless of amount or source, unless the source of the income is the federal government itself or a trade or business under the sovereign jurisdiction of the government. [THE COMPANY] accomplishes this fully (and only) in accord with the Internal Revenue Code, and thus, none of their clients ever experience adverse IRS confrontation or court proceedings.

-----NOTICE-----

In compliance with Title 17 U.S.C. section 107, this material is distributed freely, without profit or payment, for educational purposes only.

=====

To **SUBSCRIBE/UNSUBSCRIBE**: If you have received information DIRECTLY from the author (Paul Leinthall), you are already and automatically subscribed. If you are not already subscribed, you may send an email to "TaxExempt@primemail.com," with the word "subscribe" in the subject heading AND your name in the body of the email. I do NOT provide your private information to ANYONE else. To unsubscribe, simply put the word "unsubscribe" in the subject heading.

[1] [Welcome & Editorial](#)

Dear Friends,

I'm going to change my tack this week and address some questions and concerns which have come to me by means other than email. The advantage of receiving questions by email, of course, is that I can simply respond to a question without having to rewrite the question itself, but not everyone has email, and not everyone asks his questions via email. My change of tack this week simply means that I'll write, and in the process of writing answer some questions. I'll also do away with the "question and answer section" and "the news and comments section" this week.

What we'll look today pertains to the overall question of: "How does this whole thing actually work?". In other words, some clients, who've chosen to become clients of [THE COMPANY], and once they've been a client for three to six months and have only seen one filing (or even no filings) having taken place on their behalf, begin to wonder just how "getting out of the system works".

Let's first address the idea, embodied in the words, "getting out of the system". I don't think you'll find me writing or saying words that actually say that we get out of the system, although that phraseology is often used by folks when they think of being free from State and federal income taxes. But being free from income taxes does NOT equate to being out of the system; and being out of the system does not equate to freedom and joy in many cases.

I realize there are some voices in our country today who believe that one must be totally out of the system to be effective. From my perspective, however, being totally out of the system is not only extremely difficult, but it entails too much struggle and resistance and hassle to even get to the point where one supposes himself to be out of the system. In addition, it's completely unnecessary.

I'm not trying to take anything away from someone who really wants to have nothing to do with "the system", and I certainly don't want to deprive them of their opportunity to battle their way out, but from where I stand, I continue to believe that the gain is not worth the pain. I'm not sure where one thinks he will stand if he's "out of the system", but I would ask one question: What's on the other side of being out of the system? I mean, are you still dealing with people? Are you still wanting to live a normal life? Are you really free if you're out of the system? Do you have no one with whom to interact, or do you find, instead, you've just had to replace one system for another, because you're still having to deal with other human beings - EACH OF WHOM has his own idea of what system would work best for him. As soon as you begin to work, associate, or in any other way relate with only one other human being, some kind of "system" emerges as the context in which your continued interaction takes place.

So, I don't use the idea of getting out of the system. AND, while not using (or believing) in that idea, I'm free from the tax man - already - now - before having to "get out of the system". That's what I wanted, after all.

But some of our clients are still not as free as they would like, and that's certainly understandable in the case where a taxing agency is grabbing a large percentage of one's paycheck - and I won't talk today about the "rights and wrongs" of most situations of that type, as I've done before.

What we all don't like about ANY system is when it appears that the system wants us to serve IT, rather than having the system serve us and the furtherance of our "life, liberty and the pursuit of [and enjoyment of greater and greater] happiness." And to THAT degree, I agree with anyone who says they want to get out of THAT system - the one that appears to keep them bound - at least to the greatest degree possible. But THAT system - and the freedom therefrom - begins in one's THINKING.

So - let's clear up one thing that is sometimes misunderstood by some of [THE COMPANY's] clients: We don't remove you from the system; we simply (regarding income taxes) allow the system to serve you (in the sense of being free from most of the heaviness and struggle with and against the system), and we create the context wherein you can be free from the burden of improperly "supposed" liability pertaining to income taxes; and we do that by holding the "system administrators" (in our context: the taxing agencies) to the laws and rules of the system, which laws and rules we are willing to obey, to the degree they apply to us, and which we expect them to be willing to do as well. In this system, we want to, and believe we are, free from any SUPPOSED liability, particularly when the laws don't actually impose that liability. Of course, we're talking here specifically about the supposed liability for PAYING income taxes.

To make myself perfectly clear - we are NOT trying to get people "out of the system," per sé, but rather, using the system, and demanding that it serve us as it was intended.

With that in the background, let's talk more about "how this works". Essentially, it's quite simple - at least it should be simple - from the client's point of view. The client, before becoming a client, gets the idea that whatever it is [THE COMPANY] does, it appears to have worked in allowing over 1600 clients at this point to be tax free - at least for the MOST PART. I say "the most part," because if you're having an employer taking 25% to 80% of your paycheck each pay period, on a levy/garnishment, it certainly doesn't feel like "freedom".

And that brings us to the subject of all the contrasting (and some downright contrary) ideas about this whole subject - because we are all (or most of us, at any rate) a part of the "system" which allows for something like this to happen; but it goes without saying that it certainly feels worse for the person who is being ripped-off, without much apparent choice in the matter.

There's no question about it; it's a lot easier to believe in freedom when the circumstance of your life EVIDENCE the freedom; and it's not so easy to believe when an employer is giving a big chunk of your paycheck to a federal or State taxing agency which really isn't a federal agency, and it's owner, motivator and system boss (the Federal Reserve) is no more "federal" than Federal Express.

But I'm not here to rant and rave or rally against those powers that be; rather what [THE COMPANY] is about is achieving our income tax freedom by using the very structures that seem to bind us to reveal the hidden underpinnings of our liberty, which structures are STILL based in the foundation of law.

So, how does [THE COMPANY] do that? And why does it NOT appear to be a "cut and dried" method? Why can't [THE COMPANY] tell us exactly how long the process will take in any particular client's case? If there is no law actually requiring a person to file a tax return in the first place, and if [THE COMPANY] does not file "a return" for any client (but files an annual statement and declaration of material facts, why does [THE COMPANY] say the following in it's "Request for Determination of Status":

"If and when I receive a determination that [NAME] is liable for any given tax imposed by internal revenue laws of the United States within Internal Revenue Service venue and subject matter jurisdiction, I will file the applicable return"?

Here's why: If and when the IRS CAN SHOW US (or any client) that she is LIABLE for any specific tax that is imposed on her By THE LAW, AND over which the Internal Revenue Service has subject matter jurisdiction, and which particular tax is within their venue (place or position of authority) - THEN [THE COMPANY] will file the appropriate RETURN (the kind they would like to, and generally do, see from most everyone). Until then, what [THE COMPANY] files is NOT that kind of return. They FILE - but they do NOT file "a return". They file a "Statement and Declaration of Material Facts". And they file it WHEN it's appropriate, and the appropriateness of ANY filing, at any particular time, can vary, from client to client. Because each client's tax situation and relationship with the IRS and his State taxing agency is unique, there can be no "cut and dried" time limits for determining how long the processes will take in any one case. On top of all that, [THE COMPANY] has the vagaries of the taxing agencies with which they deal, not to mention the sometimes capricious moods, attitudes, and beliefs and actions of the people employed by the taxing agencies.

So, when, as they did a couple months ago, [THE COMPANY] sends clients a copy of an automatic "Request for Extension" to file, we're not asking for more time to file "a return," but asking for more time to meet the requirements of the law of the "system" - and we're using the system to be free from the system...BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT THE SYSTEM ALLOWS (and calls for, in this example of filing an automatic extension).

But why did [THE COMPANY] file automatic extensions this year for just about every client? Because, this year, [THE COMPANY] has prepared some additional material for the filings, including some further information for each client to present to his employer or 1099 provider (if applicable), which information indicates that ACCORDING TO THE LAW, it is inappropriate for the income provider to be sending the W-2's or 1099's to the IRS (in every case EXCEPT where the person is a NON CITIZEN), because the W-2's and 1099's are saying to the IRS that the employee had "taxable income," when, in fact, that is actually NOT the case (in most instances).

[THE COMPANY] does not have the right to send this information to the employer directly; it must be the employee who gives it to the employer. Can [THE COMPANY]

force an employee to give this information to his employer to let the employer begin to understand the truth of law and HIS responsibility under that law? Of course not?

Wouldn't it be nice, though, if employers and other W-2 and 1099 providers, began to realize that the LAW actually FREES them from some of the burden they've been convinced they must endure - all that bookkeeping and expense, keeping the financial records FOR the Government? And wouldn't it be even more thrilling if more folks began to realize the foundation of the law actually ALLOWS for way more freedom than that to which most folks have become accustomed?

(So, if we're afraid of what our employers will think of us for standing on the Law...at least we know we don't have to give them the information; and we'll no doubt begin to understand how error and untruth have crept in over the years, and how many before us have allowed the induced fear of "what will people think" to override the law and the freedoms we claim we want).

One of the things that tends to confuse some clients is the tremendous range of contrasting information that's "out there," pertaining to this subject. There seems to be no end to the number of folks who are spouting their latest ideas about how to be free. Even more, some folks appear to have all sorts of explanations as to HOW we got where we are.

So - here's the scenario that sometimes occurs: A person becomes a client - perhaps after spending several weeks or months reading this newsletter and getting on conference calls. Several months later, not actually having seen much of anything transpire...perhaps a filing was made, perhaps nothing seems to have occurred, yet - and not understanding how it all works, and since it's being done by someone else - i.e., [THE COMPANY] - and having acquired a new focus of attention on this subject (just becoming a client required that), that new attention focus draws other voices, expressing their particular point of view pertaining to these matters. Some of it sounds intriguing; some of it not so; but especially intriguing are the remarks that seem to indicate that what [THE COMPANY] is doing will probably get the client into trouble. Here are some of the ideas floating around that appear, and I'll give my reply to each one:

- **The only way you can get out of the system is to give up everything, primarily one's social security number and benefits, drivers license, social security benefits, any other government benefits, etc., because if you can't prove you've done all that, they can pull you back in the system with all penalties enforced.**

I've already addressed this in part earlier in this newsletter when I said I don't believe one can actually "get out" of the system. I realize, however, that there are probably as many viewpoints about this as there are people with points of view about this topic.

So, let me understand what the folks who say this are actually saying. Are they saying that if one obeys the law, one can absolutely count on getting into trouble? And, unless I get out of every contract, that I'm still bound by them all?

All I can say to that is that [THE COMPANY's] and their clients' experience indicates otherwise.

- If you continue to file returns, you stay in the system, and it negates the whole revocation process.

In which case, I'm apparently justified in my belief that one really doesn't actually get out of the system, and that it's not worth it to go through all the struggle to do so. Again, the fact that almost EVERY tax case that goes to court, includes the idea of "failure to file". But, "negating the whole revocation process"? Which (or whose) revocation process are we speaking about? [THE COMPANY's]? I think not.

The person who says this is simply expressing a "belief". My belief differs somewhat. The important thing to me - and THIS, I think, should be the important thing to anyone -- Does my belief manifest in what I want? Do I truly receive "according to my faith"? My experience says I do. And as long as a person is happy in his belief and in what his faith brings him/her - and the Universe (God, if you will) undoubtedly honors one's faith - it's really doesn't matter about who else, besides me, thinks what about whatever, does it? If I'm free from income taxes (and that IS the topic of discussion here), and if I find support in the law itself as the ground for that freedom - am I going to say someone else's choices don't work for him, if he, too, is happy? There really is more than one way to skin a cat (no harm to cats intended) - and the Universe is absolutely unlimited in it's ability to give you (and anyone else) everything your heart desires. And it will ALWAYS be according to your faith, belief and expectation.

Some folks these days, for example, believe the way to get out of the system is to give up their whole citizenship and leave the country. That's another way to "skin the cat". That way is neither "right" nor "wrong," either; and it's certainly not the way most Americans will choose. Will it work? Again, I think the question is: Does it contribute to the happy, excited moving forward in that person's life? If it does, then it works (from my perspective).

- If you ask for a refund, you're going to jeopardize your social security (supposedly because no one can figure out how much of your taxes went to social security, and how much went for "taxes").

So - My paycheck stubs know how to tabulate this, my employer knows how to tabulate it, my bank knows how, but somehow, it all gets lost in the shuffle, and everything they suppose they lost, they're going to take from my Social security? I'd love to see the "ground for belief" in that one; it's certainly contrary to the law.

- If you don't get a correction to your Individual Master File (IMF) or Business Master File (BMF), everything else is lost and a waste of time.

So - let me understand the thinking here. If I am the client of a company who not only addresses the IMF issue - but a company who realizes that a one time correction of the IMF (that is, a single year's change) is NOT a permanent change in the IMF, because the IRS ADDS to that file EVERY YEAR in which a person receives any 1099 or W-2 or K-1,

or any other "proof" of income -- (are you beginning to see the wisdom in "educating employers about this matter?) -- is the person espousing this point of view saying it's a waste of my time to not have to be paying federal or State income taxes in the interim? Especially, since I don't have to do the work required to enable that enjoyment in my life?

(Of course, I may have SOME work to do; but mostly it has to do with ferreting out (and through) ideas of fear which appear to run contrary to what I want in terms of present, powerful enjoyment of freedom. THAT, however, is not the responsibility of [THE COMPANY] to their clients. The only person who can do that for me is "me".)

On the contrary, what we're dealing with, in [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER], is the knowledge (based on over 32 years of experience in this realm) that there's a lot more to this issue than meets the eye of folks with other and often lesser experience. In no way, however, would I want to disallow any person from following the way that seems best to him.

And, yes, [THE COMPANY] pursues cleaning up the IMF. But, as I said, they know that a SINGLE change in the IMF doesn't mean a whole lot these days. Two, or three, or four years in a row - then we've got something to hang a hat on. In the meanwhile, we're free - not only from income tax liability and the paying of income taxes, but we're free from having to handle it by ourselves.

And finally, to the last one I'll cover today:

- Sometimes in my dealing with [THE COMPANY], I find that people make mistakes, and sometimes they don't communicate very well. And sometimes, people make promises and don't keep their word.

Amen! and Amen! and Amen!. Now, we've touched on the human condition. My father, the Pastor of several churches before he passed on, used to refer to this as "human cussedness". I'm not talking about "cussing" - that, too, happens occasionally; I'm talking about the imperfections that most of us have, in spite of our inherent tendency to believe we're perfect and don't ever "miss the mark".

What I've discovered for myself that is more important than everyone else being perfect, is for me to do my best (in spite of my failures and misses), and to treat people (both in action AND in my attitude towards them) as I would desire to be treated. Do I sometimes irritate myself when others don't meet my designs? Of course. But I find it infinitely more valuable to believe (in spite of it all, sometimes) that most folks really are doing the best they know how to do in any moment - and we all have off moments. (I just wish you didn't have as many as I do when you're doing business with me)!

I would invite ANY reader, whose had the experience of ANY company that totally pleases you in absolutely every detail, in every moment of every interaction with them, to write me and let me know. (And let me know what planet it's on).

I'm more interested in the intent of someone's heart. If I'm in the kind of business relationship I feel comfortable with, it's not because the other folks are perfect, but because we can iron out the difficulties and issues. My experience of [THE COMPANY] and most of the folks involved is that I find that to be my experience in the last two+ years.

PLUS - I'm still getting what I've paid for: Freedom from income taxes, and someone else doing the hard work for me. I can't imagine a cleared up IMF making that aspect any better.

I'll be back next week.

Your Friend

Paul Leinthall

661-822-7889, 9am-8pm, Mon-Fri., PACIFIC time

email: littlehammer@primemail.com

=====
[2] Call Reminder
=====

The **TAX EXEMPT Conference Call**, for “new” folks, takes place **EVERY Wednesday NIGHT at 9 PM EASTERN** time. The number is: **620-584-8202, pin 2974#**.

The **CORPORATION SOLE (specific) Conference Call**, is on **Friday MORNINGS**, at **10 AM EASTERN** time. The number is the same as above

Also, there is a **CLIENT'S ONLY Conference Call** available (obviously) for Clients Only. If you're already a client, and you would like to be on that call, **CALL YOUR REPRESENTATIVE for the phone number and time,]**

I want to mention something to new readers and to folks who have never been on the [THE COMPANY] Conference Calls. The calls are NOT what you may be expecting from a typical “conference call” these days. A lot of people are used to big sales-hype conference calls, with a lot of “Rah-Rah-Rah”. The conference calls are NOT “sales” calls. No one is trying to get you to enroll in something, or asking or suggesting that you try to get your friends to enroll. These are ALL TEACHING calls. They consist almost entirely of questions and answers, after a brief introduction. They're a great place to hear other folks ask all sorts of questions and get any questions of your own answered, and they provide you the opportunity to get a pretty well-rounded understanding of what this is all about in 60 to 90 minutes. I think you'll find they're

one of the best \$3 to \$5 values you can find today. (The telephone long distance charges for most people).

Pressing "*6" (Star 6) on your phone will mute your end of the line, so everyone can hear better; then, when you want to ask a question, you can press "*6" again to go off mute. If you're having a hard time hearing, with various noises in the background from other folk's lines, such as: conversations, kids-playing, dishes clanging, and phones & faxes ringing, then be assured, everyone else can hear the ambient sounds from your environment. It simply makes it much more difficult to hear whoever is speaking at the moment. Thanks for your consideration in this regard.

May I suggest, if possible, that when you call, you use a regular "connected-to-the-wall telephone", rather than a cellular phone (particularly when driving), or even a cordless phone. Also, please, not a speaker phone, either, unless it has a "mute" button, because speaker phones amplify the ambient sounds in your environment. And PARTICULARLY NOT an Internet phone, a true "killer" of conference call Quality.

If you like what you hear on the call, and you want to talk further to someone (including the call presenter) or ask more "personal" questions, remember how you heard about the call. No contact numbers are given out on the call, not because anyone is trying to hide anything, but because various representatives of [THE COMPANY] bring folks to the call. The call itself is not a "sales" forum and doesn't get involved in the sales "hierarchy".

See you on the call. Tell your friends about it, too.

=====
[\[5\] Contact Information](#)
=====

Paul Leinthall
Phone: 661-822-7889, Mon. - Fri. 9 AM to 5, PM (Pacific)
Email: littlehammer@primemail.com

You may notice that I refer to [THE COMPANY] or to the founder of the company [THE FOUNDER] in various places throughout the Newsletter. I choose those expressions, instead of providing the actual names of the company or it's founder, for a couple of reasons...reasons which you'll also find reflected in my explanation of the copyright notice (below). I want to insulate [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] from undue and unwarranted attention (especially negative attention or reaction), whether from a casual reader or from any taxing agency or authority, their attorneys, or representatives. Therefore, it is my desire that the reader be absolutely clear who is responsible for what appears in this newsletter. This newsletter is NOT sponsored directly by [THE COMPANY] or [THE FOUNDER], and while I believe I am being representative of [THE COMPANY's] and [THE FOUNDER's] philosophy, goals, ideals and the truth in law and in fact on which [THE COMPANY] stands to perform its valuable service for its clients (of which I am one), and while I may quote [THE FOUNDER], or someone else, I always seek to maintain each person's privacy, unless their words are already in the public (published) domain; thus I will take the heat for any negative attention, response or reaction.

Also, this allows anyone, including other representatives of [THE COMPANY], who find this information valuable, and who want to share it with others, to substitute their name and contact information for mine, and not have to worry about potential clients of the company going over their heads and bypassing them. Since [THE COMPANY] sponsored conference call follows this same philosophy of client protection for their representatives, the information in this newsletter can, then, be more widely disseminated for the value and education of others.

About the copyright notice: The copyright notice covers all the contents herein, except quotations, if any. I value my (and the reader's) freedom, integrity and responsibility, and I desire to maintain an environment where I (and the reader) can utilize and distribute this written material. From the point of view of copyright law, if I don't first copyright this material, someone else could; and then, by law, they could disallow me (and the reader) from using or distributing it. Given that fact, copyright is the best avenue I know to continue allowing freedom for all of us regarding this matter.

Therefore, the reader is free to copy, print, use and distribute this material by personal email, fax, or handout (including substituting her own contact information), as long as BOTH the copyright notice AND this explanation of the copyright notice remain in the material. **However, I do NOT, nor does [THE COMPANY], in its own philosophy and ideals, authorize or condone ANY mass media distribution of COMPANY writing or materials, including (and especially) posting to any web sit. However, material written solely by the herein named copyright owner MAY be posted to a web site or some other media - but ONLY with the copyright owner's express, written, prior permission, in each instance.** The responsibility for the words contained herein resides with the copyright owner. The copyright notice makes absolutely clear who is responsible for what appears here; that way, the buck stops with me, should anyone question or challenge what is written herein.

This material is not intended to be interpreted as legal or financial advice. The copyright owner is neither an attorney nor CPA and has no license to offer legal and financial advise. I encourage the reader to study and think for herself and to make her own informed decisions, based on her own desires and beliefs, in harmony with her own inner sense and self-interested, positive and comfortable, good-gut feeling. For THAT, each reader is, himself/herself, entirely responsible.