

=====

littlehammer's
Weekly Tax Exempt Newsletter
with
Questions and Answers
and
Conference Call Reminder

Copyright (except quotations) © 2000, 2001, 2002 by Paul Leinthall

=====

Tuesday, January 15, 2002

- [1] Welcome & Editorial: ClearingHouse For Confusion
- [2] News Briefs & Comments: IRS, Levies & Bank of America ON TRIAL
- [3] Conference Call Reminders: **Wed., January 16th, 1-620-584-8202, Pin 2974#**
"*6" (Star 6) MUTES and UN-MUTES your line
for everyone's ease of listening.
ALSO
Corporation Sole (specific) Conference Call
Friday Morning, January 18th - 10:00 AM EASTERN
Same Number and Pin
- [4] Contact Information, Legal Notice & Notice of Copyright explanation.

In this section (below), I explain why I use the bracketed phrases [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] to refer to the founder and his company, who achieve the 100% effective results of having the IRS change their internal records to reflect the fact that each client is exempt from income taxes on any income, regardless of amount or source, unless the source of the income is the federal government itself or a trade or business under the sovereign jurisdiction of the government. [THE COMPANY] accomplishes this fully (and only) in accord with the Internal Revenue Code, and thus, none of their clients ever experience adverse IRS confrontation or court proceedings. (I also explain how to subscribe or unsubscribe to this newsletter in this last section, below).

=====

[1] Welcome & Editorial

=====

Dear Friends,

I promised last week in my very short version of my newsletter: "...I will be back on track within a week, or two, with this newsletter in its typical format."

This edition will be close to my typical format: however, I won't be including any questions and answers as I normally do. At the same time as I say that, I will include some comments from someone this week who corresponded with me, and my reply to

those comments. This will allow me to introduce what I'm going to talk about here in this section, which I'm calling my "editorial" section.

As you know, if you've had the opportunity to read what I sent you last week, the Florida office of [THE COMPANY], and the Master Representative who ran that office, "parted company" from [THE COMPANY]. Whenever change occurs in any company, it affects all participants to one degree, or another. This is perhaps more so in a company like the one I represent, because [THE COMPANY] deals in an area where there is a lot of skepticism and fear to begin with regarding the subject with which [THE COMPANY's] services exclusively regard, i.e., the issue of the IRS and the so-called "income tax". Add to this mix all the "miss" and "dis" information propagated over the years, and this become a really touchy and delicate subject for many people.

Let me introduce the correspondence I referred to a moment ago at this point; then I'll pick up this discussion afterwards. This dialogue was initiated as a result of my sending the information I normally send to folks who want more information about what [THE COMPANY] does:

=====

"...so I know it is for real, I can not find the IRS form for revocation of election, and neither can my accountant or the IRS. I know there are other forms and procedures, but this form will tell me you have the ability to be real, and the \$2500 won't be a loss. What is the form number?? XXXXXX

Did you read the information in the email? In there, you will see that what [THE COMPANY] does is a PROCESS, not a specific form (although various forms are used); but there is NOT a "revocation of election" FORM. (There are two such forms in the Internal Revenue Service, but they pertain to revocation of corporations).

[THE COMPANY] not only has the ability to do what I claim they can do, [THE FOUNDER] has been in the field of taxation for over 30 years. But of course, you would already have read that in the email I sent you...

We Money-back guarantee the results (not IRS refunds, but that the IRS will ultimately change their records to align with the fact that you are a "non-taxpayer). To my knowledge, no other company does ALL the work for the client, as we do, let alone give a money-back guarantee.

Sincerely,
Paul Leinthall

"I read and heard that all forms used are IRS forms and revocation of election is created by using IRS forms. If you do not use these what do you use?? I do not need all the forms - just enough to satisfy my intellect. I have researched untaxing for over 10 years and nothing seems that easy using IRS forms per your statements...Having a money back guarantee from a company that just about folded is not comforting. If you leave, it is gone, and so is all the money. At \$2500 per and 1500 [clients] to date, that is millions. Easy to leave with no taxes due. And nobody holding the bag so to speak. XXXXXX

Hi XXXXXX,

Where did you get the idea that [THE COMPANY] just about folded or is just about folding. I did not say that, nor imply that, nor indicate that. One of the "protections" which [THE COMPANY] has is that the technology is NOT given to the Representatives, any more than it is given to clients, although ever representative must be a client before he would even be considered for being a representative....

[NOTE added in my writing today: At no time has the wisdom of this protection become more evident than in the events necessitating the recent Company changes I'm discussing in this newsletter.]

....The difference between what [THE COMPANY] does and what most others in the "tax honesty" movement are doing is that we give you all the information about WHAT we do (not "how) for FREE. We don't use any high pressure tactics or ever "sell" our services in the normal pressure and "hype" way - and we guarantee to produce the results, as I've said; in addition, you will, as a client and as required by law, receive a copy of EVERYTHING filed or sent to any taxing agency on your behalf. We do NOT send out "blank" IRS forms (which may or may not be used in any particular case, depending on a particular client and his unique circumstances), nor do we provide potential clients with the proprietary information in the steps of what are the PROCESSES we use. In no way should what we do be considered a "magic bullet," and it is definitely not accomplished with a single form. You will find, should you choose to become a client, that there will be well over 50 pages of "forms" and other material, and that's just for a client who has had absolutely no trouble with the IRS/State taxing agencies.

If you read my information, you see that I was a NON filer for 23 years - and I'm NOW CURRENT with my filing status, without having incurred income tax liability. I've been around the "tax movement" for over 20 years, since 1981, in fact.

If you want an answer to your questions directly from the "horses mouth", why not get on the call Wednesday night, at 9 PM Eastern (620-584-8202, Pin 2974#, at ask them directly...of [THE COMPANY]...

Obviously, a guarantee is only as good as the person (company) giving it. [THE COMPANY] does not even put the guarantee "in writing". I "write" it and say it, because I know it to be true and I know with whom I'm dealing. Nobody in [THE COMPANY], including me, would expect you to apply until you are fully confident; unfortunately, that confidence may have to come as you sit by the sidelines for a while and watch. That's perfectly okay; and I would say the majority of clients (before they become clients) do exactly that.

Sincerely,
Paul Leinthall

=====

Okay - so, one of the Master Representatives parted company with [THE COMPANY] - (actually two representative, if you count his brother). Saying it that way, of course, sounds like the representative left [THE COMPANY]. As many of you know, the full "truth" of the representative's "leaving" (I originally phrased it that way in my last

newsletter in an attempt to leave "blame and criticism" out of the picture) is that the representative was terminated by [THE COMPANY's] Founder/Owner.

Obviously that leads to questions like "Why?" and "What Happened?". Well, I will still refuse to get into specific details in the context of my newsletter, for several reasons, the most important of which is, from my perspective, that they really don't matter to any of us who have chosen to stay with [THE FOUNDER's] Company and neither do they matter to any [THE COMPANY's] clients, since the real service for the clients has ALWAYS been performed by [THE FOUNDER] from the "home office".

Part of the confusion in the minds of some people, however, has arisen because the [THE COMPANY's] name is associated with the name of the State in which the terminated representative(s) live. In fact, one person was shocked that [THE COMPANY] would move from "one corner to the other", that is, from the State in which the representative lived and conducted his marketing organization (of which I was a part), to the State where the home office is located, which is literally as far away from the State where the terminated representative lives as one can get while still being in the United States of America.

Please keep in mind that in the context of this newsletter, I have always "insulated" both [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] from any responsibility for what I say, and I accomplish that by specifically not naming either [THE COMPANY] or [THE FOUNDER] in this newsletter. I thoroughly explain this in the last section, below; but this is why I'm not now naming the differing States involved, although all of my clients, and all of [THE COMPANY] clients, and many who are not clients who receive this newsletter know the "who's" and "what's" and "wherefore's" involved.

The fact is, [THE FOUNDER/OWNER] of [THE COMPANY] has exclusive ownership of the three names by which [THE COMPANY] is known (yes there are three slightly varying names); and ALL the processing, ALL the communication, ALL the correspondence, and ALL of the responses to or with the IRS or any State Taxing Agency have ALWAYS occurred from the "home office," which has only, ever and ALWAYS been where [THE FOUNDER] is located.

The two most important questions, in my mind - and the questions which I think "should" be important to any client - are: "Is [THE COMPANY] financially sound and viable?", and "Will [THE COMPANY's] services on my behalf be adversely affected?"

The answer to the first question is "YES". [THE COMPANY] is financially sound. And to insure that it stays that way is one of the factors involved in the recent changes. As a result, ALL clients will now be receiving ALL communication directly from the home office.

As far as "service" is concerned, rather than being adversely affected, my experience, with my clients is that service AND communication have gotten better, since communication is not being "filtered" via a separate channel (the former representative's office).

Almost all of the representatives (if not all) who were working for the former Master Representative have, for obvious reasons, chosen to work directly for and with [THE FOUNDER]. And that includes "yours truly". I can't express to you how much more satisfying it is to be dealing directly with [THE FOUNDER], and not simply and primarily only have access to him at a training seminar once a year.

The reality is, of course, that it will take several weeks to implement the changes that have been necessitated by the recent events, but from where I am sitting, I can already tell what appears to me as improved service and communication; and I know this can only get better from this point.

As far as the other representatives are concerned, I have detected an increased enthusiasm from all of them, and even more specifically from those with whom I've had the pleasure of speaking - and all but three of them used to work for the former representative. I think we all appreciate being able to work directly with [THE FOUNDER]. After all, he's the one primarily responsible for our success, and specifically for our success in being free from income taxes within the law and the Internal Revenue Code as it stands.

[THE FOUNDER] is desiring to bring on board a CPA, an attorney, and an enrolled agent, to join the "team" - not as marketing agents, but as technicians (which is the function [THE FOUNDER] fills). He's already taking with a CPA who, he expects, will be joining [THE COMPANY] shortly; and we're looking for a couple of people in the other categories. Obviously, this would only appeal to someone who understands what we do and who appreciates the value of our services. Most importantly, perhaps, in the light of recent events, someone who is willing to be a TEAM player.

We're all in this together, folks. Not just our human experience, but specifically, for Citizens of our County, we're in this "game" (which often doesn't feel like a game, particularly when you're on the losing side with the IRS) called "income taxes". Those of us who are [THE COMPANY's] clients have found that there is a way to be FREE, and a way to be really free, meaning, we're not just free as an idea in word-only, but free from having to look over our shoulder, being now outside the jurisdiction of the IRS.

Now, all that having been said, perhaps next week, we can get on with business as usual, at least as regards this newsletter. (As it was, I had to let my answering machine take all my calls this afternoon so I could get the newsletter written for distribution tonight, Tuesday). Thanks for your time and attention. Obviously, if you have any questions, I'm as close as your nearest phone, as is the representative or person who referred you, which, for my clients, at least, continues to be I. (It sounds weird to use "proper" English, doesn't it?)

In the meanwhile, I think you'll enjoy reading the next section. And don't forget the details about the conference call tomorrow night in the section coming after the next.

Your friend,

Paul Leinthall
661-822-7889, 9am-8pm, Mon-Fri., PACIFIC time
email: littlehammer@primemail.com

PS: I almost forget. In addition to the TWO conferences call mentioned above, including the "new" Corporation Sole specific call, there will be a **CLIENT'S ONLY Conference call every Monday Morning. CALL ME, OR YOUR REPRESENTATIVE, for the PHONE NUMBER and TIME**, if you're a client of and want to be on the call.

=====

[\[2\] News Briefs & Comments](#)

=====

Due to the wide dissemination of information on the internet, which has led to an increased distribution of books, materials and seminars dealing with the subject of the IRS and income taxes, more and more folks, these days, are becoming aware that things pertaining to the so-called "income tax" may not actually be as they have long assumed.

One of the areas where the IRS has caused specific grief and hurtful consequences to Citizens of this Country is in area of "Levies" or "Garnishment" - apparently secured without producing evidencing of proper authority, and almost always, without a valid court order, as the law requires.

In this newsletter, I have occasionally used as grist for my (newsletter) mill the words or media events of other "people in the tax honesty movement," which is a term by which many "tax protesters" would rather be referred. Even though the preponderance of evidence seems to indicate that they are not successful all the time (sometimes with no evidence of success at any time, albeit with good sounding rhetoric), I trust I have not given the impression that I think that everything that others propose as a solution to the income tax issue is invalid. That simply would not be the case. They often have quite valuable information in the midst of methods which can get them or their customers in trouble.

One gentleman I have taken issue with a couple times has been Irwin Schiff. While I credit him with having been the first person to alert me to the fact - at least his version of the fact, as indicated by the title of his first book - of "How Anyone Can Stop Paying Income Taxes," it's clear, on close examination, that there are some inconsistencies in what he says, particularly when he says the IRS does not come after people who follow his methods, as he said in the "20/20" interview by John Stossel last year. (You can view that video on his website, as well as view and listen to some interesting events, like the Las Vegas 11:00 News report of the IRS auditor at the IRS Office in Las Vegas physically abusing a man who was acting under power of attorney for a couple). You can see this both the Stossel interview and the two-minute video of the news report, and even listen to the whole 30 minute interview with the IRS agent at Mr. Schiff's web site: <http://www.paynoincometax.com/irsagentman.htm>.

If it were actually the case, that the IRS does not come after people using his methods, then he wouldn't have been placed in jail twice (I first met him in his Manhattan apartment, between his two jail terms), and the IRS would not have gone to Bank of America in Las Vegas recently to levy his account.

The fact that he may have inconsistencies in his presentation, however, is not the point of what I'm including in this newsletter. What you'll be reading next, is Irwin Schiff addressing the issue of this "illegal levy" at the place where the real criminal action takes place (under the law): that is, as in this case, with Bank of America, where the bank took \$8000 out of a his account and gave it to the IRS (Robbery is the term, I believe), based on a communication from an IRS Revenue Agent.

I'll think you'll find this interesting reading:

Will banks hand over your money without a warrant?

NOV. 25, 2001 THE LIBERTARIAN,

by Vin Suprynowicz

Vin Suprynowicz is assistant editorial page editor of the Las Vegas Review-Journal. To receive his longer, better stuff, subscribe to his monthly newsletter by sending \$72 to Privacy Alert, 561 Keystone Ave., Suite 684, Reno, NV 89503 -- or dialing 775-348-8591. His book, Send in the Waco Killers: Essays on the Freedom Movement, 1993-1998, is available at 1-800-244-2224, or via web site.

Las Vegas resident Irwin Schiff contends the Internal Revenue Service (whatever that is -- no record can be found that the U.S. Congress ever authorized the creation of any such agency, as even the IRS admits) doesn't even adhere to its own code book of rules and procedures, instead depending on the inappropriate application of the law as they struggle to keep America's workers and employers buffaloes into paying an "income tax" (actually a wage withholding tax) which is mandatory only for aliens working on these shores and Americans working overseas.

Most members of the burgeoning truth-about-taxation movement contend that when various IRS commissioners have said the flow of tax revenues is dependent on "voluntary compliance," they meant just that -- we all "volunteer" to take part in a government Ponzi scheme promising us various benefits when we sign a W-4 form, requesting our employers to withhold from our paychecks ... and are thus equally free to "not volunteer," if we so choose.

Individual IRS employees seem to look at this a bit differently, of course, occasionally charging folks with "failure to file," while demonstrating a puzzling reluctance to point to the individual statute that makes it mandatory for any individual American, earning wages on these shores, to do so.

They'll even send your bank a "Notice of Intent to Levy," which any bank employee calling the IRS will be told means they're supposed to bundle up your money and send it to the IRS, because you "failed to pay your taxes."

But that's not true. All that a bank employee has to do is ask why "paragraph A" of the legal code citation is always deleted from the "authorizing" fine print on the back of the IRS' standard "seize-his-paycheck" Form 668W. The reason the citation instead starts with "paragraph B" is that paragraph A specifies that said law authorizes the use of such onerous methods only against employees of the federal government.

("Sec. 6331. Levy and distraint. TITLE 26, Subtitle F, CHAPTER 64, Subchapter D, Sec. 6331, STATUTE: (a) Authority of Secretary: If any person liable to pay any tax neglects or refuses to pay the same within 10 days after notice and demand, it shall be lawful for the Secretary to collect such tax (and such further sum as shall be sufficient to cover the expenses of the levy) by levy upon all property and rights to property (except such property as is exempt under section 6334)

belonging to such person or on which there is a lien provided in this chapter for the payment of such tax. Levy may be made upon the accrued salary or wages of any officer, employee, or elected official, of the United States, the District of Columbia, or any agency or instrumentality of the United States or the District of Columbia, by serving a notice of levy on the employer (as defined in section 3401(d)) of such officer, employee, or elected official. ..."

(See the web site <<http://www.getawarrant.com>> -- which contains a citation from Clark County (Nevada) Magistrate Victor Miller's historic opinion on this matter in the case Williams vs. Boulder Dam Credit Union.)

Furthermore, in its wise decision in Gould vs. Gould, 245 US 151, <<http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/scripts/getcase.pl?court=us&vol=245&invol=151>>, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that "In the interpretation of statutes levying taxes it is the established rule not to extend their provisions by implication beyond the clear import of the language used, or to enlarge their operation so as to embrace matters not specifically pointed out. In case of doubt, they are construed most strongly against the government and in favor of the citizen."

Thus, the paragraph above means paycheck and bank account levies can be made only against "any officer, employee, or elected official, of the United States, the District of Columbia, or any agency or instrumentality of the United States or the District of Columbia."

Furthermore, under Title 26, United States Code, the Social Security tax is imposed in chapter 21, subtitle C, in which Section 3121 defines "employment" as "any service, of whatever nature, performed (A) by an EMPLOYEE for the person employing him, irrespective of the citizenship or residence of either, (I) WITHIN THE UNITED STATES" ... and then continues in Section 3121(e)(2) to declare that, for purposes of this specific statute, "The term 'United States' when used in a geographical sense includes the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam and American Samoa."

Which means, according to Gould vs. Gould: "Includes only."

So, under the bizarre definition of "United States" adopted to purposely mislead us in this part of the Internal Revenue code, this law could not possibly apply to wages earned by Las Vegas resident Irwin Schiff, if he is neither a resident nor a citizen of "the Virgin Islands, Guam and American Samoa."

Besides which, the tax regulations to enforce the aforementioned paycheck seizure statute -- applying only to federal employees -- are not promulgated under 26 CFR (the Code of Federal Regulations for Title 26 -- the "Internal Revenue Code"), but appear instead under 27 CFR "Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms." Thus, they could apply only to taxes due on those excise taxable products. And no one has so far contended Mr. Schiff is either a brewer or machine gun manufacturer.

#

So, when Las Vegas IRS agent James Gritis sent a "Notice of Intent to Levy" to the local Bank of America, urging the bank to send him some \$8,000 contained in Irwin Schiff's bank account, and the bank did so, Mr. Schiff filed suit against the

bank (case A-440323), contending they had violated their fiduciary responsibility and private contract to hold his funds safe, turning them over to third party without demanding to see a valid court Warrant of Distrainment -- the court order required by law before the government can take the property of a citizen.

Representing the bank, attorney Kirk Lenhard of the Howard Hughes Parkway law firm Jones Vargas, filed a motion to dismiss.

On Nov. 13, Clark County District Court Judge Allan R. Earl threw out that motion, instead stating he was anxious to see Mr. Schiff depose IRS Agent James Gritis, to determine if such seizures really comply with the law, and whether Mr. Gritis has a proper, written delegation of authority from the Secretary of the Treasury to grab people's bank accounts.

"I think they're going to ship him out of the country so I can't depose him," Mr. Schiff told me last week from his Freedom Foundation office on East Sahara Avenue in Las Vegas, from which he sells \$38 books purporting to teach folks how to "set themselves free" from the IRS.

"Judge Earl was a very nice judge. ... Judge Earl asked me, 'You're saying the IRS has no authority to attach or seize property?' I said yes; I offered to give him the (IRS) code book. The law says it shall be lawful for the Secretary to do these things. Judge Earl asked, 'You mean to say the Secretary has to go around in person?' I said, 'No, he can delegate it, but he never delegated it to J.L. Gritis.'

"He said I was in the wrong court, I ought to be in tax court. I pointed out to him that tax court has to do with determining how much you owe in taxes; this is a simple case of breach of contract. In the depositor's agreement, Bank of America says that they will comply with all federal and state law. I said, 'In my view, Gritis doesn't have the delegation of authority.'

"The bank, in its motion to dismiss, didn't even claim he had the delegation of authority. I said, 'If Gritis has the delegation of authority, the bank wins, and the bank can go after me for court costs. But if he can't produce it, then I win.' Gritis won't be able to produce any delegation of authority; by statute he can't even have it. I'm suing the bank for triple damages. I even sent the bank a sample of what a delegation notice looks like. I even sent them a draft letter they could send him asking for his delegation of authority.

#

"The Bank of America, along with banks all over the country, honors these phony notices of levy. I think what really persuaded the judge is, I said that on the notice of levy that the bank got, Gritis is shown to be a revenue officer. Suppose it showed he was a custodian, would the bank still have honored it? Ask the defendant if they would have honored the notice of levy if it said he was a custodian. He (The judge) never asked them that question, so I just took the bull by the horns. What evidence does the bank have that revenue agents have any more authority than IRS custodians? They have no proof.

"We'll take a deposition of Gritis, and he won't be able to present a delegation of authority; he has no delegation of authority," Mr. Schiff asserts. "As a matter of fact it was Gritis, some years ago, who sent me a summons to bring all my books

and records in. I went down and told him to show me his authority to subpoena my books and records. I asked to see his job description, and he got it, and there was nothing in it that showed that authority. That was more than two years ago, and they've never done anything about it.

"There is no statute in the IRS code that requires anyone to keep books and records. Go to any tax attorney, and he'll tell you to go in with a truck, if you have to, to show them all your books and records (as ordered), but there's no such requirement in the law."

#

I asked Schiff how he believes the case will end up -- don't our courts these days always find a way to prop up the IRS, no matter what the law actually says?

"I wouldn't be surprised if (the Bank of America) calls me, because if word gets out they took my money illegally, do you have any idea how much they've turned over in the past six months? Every one of those people can sue them.

"But I'm suing them for triple damages plus the eight grand, and I'm not going to settle till I get to depose Gritis.

"But this is how ridiculous this IRS thing is. Why should the Bank of America be put in a position where they have to incur costs? ... Once I get the money, I'll offer to sue the IRS on the bank's behalf, because the notice of levy was a fraud; on the back of the form they purport to reproduce the authorizing statute, but they leave out paragraph A; that's fraud.

"They say, 'anyone who fails to turn over the property after a levy may be liable,' but it's not a levy. A levy means to seize. On the back of the notice of intent to levy, when they say you have to respond to a levy, it doesn't say respond to a 'Notice of Levy.' But the average person doesn't know the difference. If an IRS agent seizes a boat or a car, obviously they have to turn it over to the government. A levy only involves the boat [or car] owner and the IRS; there is no third party involved. ...

"They (the IRS) should be charged with mail fraud; they leave off the back of the form those sections of Section 6331 which would inform the recipient that that law applies only to federal employees, and then they put on provisions which (start it all, but) don't (end it all) apply. I would love to sue the federal government on the bank's behalf."

No date has yet been set for the deposition of IRS agent James "J.L." Gritis, who could not be reached for comment at his Oakey Drive office at noontime last Wednesday (he's away till the 28th.) Multiple attempts to reach an attorney who could explain the bank's position at the Jones Vargas law firm between Nov. 15 and 21 also proved unsuccessful.

Here is the press release from the paper on November 16, 2001, the day after the Judge's ruling:

PRESS RELEASE

Judge Refuses to Dismiss Tax Payer Lawsuit Against Bank of America

Irwin Schiff who is regarded by many as being the Nation's leading authority on the income tax is suing the Bank of America for triple damages for turning over approximately \$8,000 of his money to the IRS in response to a Notice a Levy. Third parties, such as banks and employers, that turn over bank accounts and wages to the IRS in response to IRS Notices of Levy are used to having suits brought against them for doing so summarily dismissed. That was not the case on November 13, 2001 in Clark County District Court, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Judge Earl refused to grant the Bank of America's motion to dismiss this lawsuit. In his lawsuit, Schiff claimed that James Gritis the IRS agent who sent the Notice of Levy had no authority to do so. Schiff pointed out, to the surprise of the Court, that federal law does not give the IRS authority to seize property in payment of income taxes.

Holding up the Internal Revenue Code to the bench, Schiff said. "If you can show me in this Internal Revenue Code where it gives the IRS any authority to seize property, I'll withdraw my lawsuit." Judge Earl declined Schiff's offer. Schiff claimed that by turning over his money pursuant to a Notice of Levy - the Bank of America violated both federal and Nevada law.

The money at issue is based on traditional returns Schiff was coerced into filing during a 1990 probation hearing and has nothing to do with years in which he has filed his popular "zero" return - as explained in his latest book, The Federal Mafia: How the Government Illegally Imposes and Collect Income Taxes. The "zero" return allows taxpayers to file 1040's, reporting "zero" income (regardless of how much money they might have earned for that year) and even claim a refund of all the income taxes they might have paid in that year.

Schiff believes that by winning this lawsuit and collecting triple damages as a result of the Bank's negligence, he will put an end to the IRS' ability to extort billions from the American public with these fraudulent notices. "The only reason that the IRS has been able to get away with it, is because of the culpability of America's lawyers, who allow the IRS to do it." While Schiff is not a lawyer, he has over 500,000 books in circulation on the income tax and related subject.

Numerous students of Mr. Schiff filled the Courtroom, including some who came from out of State to witness the proceedings. Case No. A-440323. Irwin Schiff v. Bank of America. Clark County District Court. Department 19. 200 South Third Street. Las Vegas, NV. 89101



On a separate note, this advertisement came to me the other day from "We The People Foundation". Readers may recall that the hearings (or "trial," as they're now calling it) was to be held last year, several weeks after the "911 event", and was postponed at that time due to "911".

With the additional four or five months they've had, due to the postponement, these folks have certainly had more time to prepare for the "trial", where supposedly, the IRS and the DOJ (Department of Justice) will be held to account for the legality and authority for the so-called "income tax".

If - and I say "if" - this comes off as they appear to be purporting it will, I believe it will be a very good thing, and certainly enlightening for the public. There is a reason I use the word "if," however, and I'll tell you why I use that word before you read the advertisement.

I received this email from a friend the other day, soon after I received the advertisement. I haven't taken the time to check out what you're about to read, and it might be something that others of you may want to verify, because if what my friend sent me is correct (and since I haven't taken the time to verify for myself, so the word "if" is important in this context, too), it throws a different light on what appears to be such a good thing represented in the advertisement. Here's what my friend sent me:

From: XXXX
To: littlehammer@primemail.com
Subject: Bob Schulz letter incorrect
Date: Mon, 14 Jan 2002 11:10:42 -0700

Dear XXXX,

Thanks for sending me the We The People announcement of the upcoming hearing on February 27-28th.

It appears that Robert is fudging on the truth a little. I called Congressman Roscoe Bartlett's office and it appears that only he will be attending the co-called public hearing and that NO IRS or government officials will be attending.

Another friend of mine at XXXXXXXX also heard about the hearing and confirmed that no IRS representatives will be attending.

So much for an "historic event" ?

Thanks anyway!
XXXXXXX

With that in mind, here's the advertisement/announcement from "We The People":

Wait to File Until the Trial!

The We The People Foundation for Constitutional Education has launched Operation "Wait to File Until the Trial." This effort is to inform as many Americans as possible that:

The very legality of the U.S. income tax system goes on trial February 27th at a congressionally sponsored public hearing on Capitol Hill. This historic event is

the result of a three-year effort by the We The People Foundation For Constitutional Education and thousands of supporters nationwide.

At the 2-day hearing, we expect to prove conclusively that the IRS does not have the legal authority to force employers to withhold taxes from the paychecks of their employees, or to force most Americans to file a return or pay the income tax.

If the research is confirmed publicly, most Americans may be entitled to a refund of 100% of the income tax paid or withheld in 2001.

All Americans should wait until the public hearing before they file their tax returns. Hear the facts. Judge the truth.

Over the next several weeks we intend to announce Operation "Wait to File Until the Trial" to tens of millions of Americans. To accomplish this objective, we will conduct a comprehensive nationwide media and direct mail campaign on several different fronts concurrently. Efforts are currently under way to place high-impact "Wait to File" ads in USA TODAY and other major newspapers. Our direct mail initiative will be targeted at a broad cross-section of individuals, professional associations, political groups and institutions.

Our media and direct mail campaign is expected to generate a critical mass of awareness about the February 27th hearing, and promote heightened nationwide interest and discussion of the event. The initial direct mail effort will target several hundred thousand individuals and numerous professional and political organizations nationwide, including but not limited to the following:

- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Considering that it is tax season and these folks have a vested interest in keeping the IRS and income tax system alive and well, our ad should create quite a reaction from this group-and possibly some free media attention.
- Tax section of the American Bar Association. Another IRS-aligned group that should react vocally to the ad.
- National Federation of Independent Businesses
- Libertarian Party national member list.
- Every U.S. Senator, Congressman, Supreme Court Justice, Federal Court Judge,
- Executive Cabinet Member. Every State Representative in all 50 states.
- Every talk radio show host in America.
- The editors of major newspapers across America.
- The editors of major magazines and nationally distributed print media.

To succeed in this unprecedented effort to inform the American people about the unlawful income tax system, and to conduct the hearing in Washington DC on February 27th, we need the support of tens of thousands of citizens. Freedom is

not a spectator sport. For all those who love our country and the principles of truth, justice and personal liberty, we now have a choice. We can remain forever enslaved to this unjust, unlawful income tax system, or, WE THE PEOPLE can change it.

To view a copy of the "Wait to File" newspaper ad, click here:

<<http://www.givemeliberty.org/features/taxes/WeThePeopleHIGHRES.pdf>>

To view a copy of the letter being mailed with the ad to approximately 300,000 citizens, click here:

<<http://www.givemeliberty.org/features/taxes/LtrDirectMail1-14-02.pdf>>

How You Can Help

Make a donation.

Please help us in this historic fight for freedom. The personal income tax has no place in a society that believes in equality, fairness and the rule of law. The personal income tax has destroyed the integrity of our Constitutional form of government and undermined our birthright as Americans-our right to life, liberty and property. With courage and determination, we can, together cause the bell of freedom to ring once again in America. No person associated with We The People Foundation For Constitutional Education receives any form of compensation for our work. Your financial contribution to this cause will go directly to the "Wait To File" national media campaign, newspaper ads, web ads, costs to produce the Washington Hearing on February 27th, etc. We must stand united in this cause. If you do not help, who will? Time is of the essence. Please consider a wire transfer or credit card donation.

Get the word out: WAIT TO FILE UNTIL THE TRIAL!

- Print, copy, e-mail and distribute the "Wait To File" ad.
- Tell your co-workers, friends and family about the February 27th Hearing.
- Call your local radio talk show hosts and news desks.
- Call financial columnists in your local papers. Ask them to write a story.
- Run the ad yourself in your local shopper or paper.
- Run small ads in the messages section of your classified advertising (example: "Wait to File Until the Trial"! www.givemeliberty.org)
- Put copies out in supermarkets, truck stops, etc.
- Hand out copies in front of tax preparation services.
- Be imaginative!
- Encourage people to visit our web site for details: <www.givemeliberty.org>.

Contact the media.

Ask them to cover the trial. Write a letter to the editor.

Go to the website: <<<http://capwiz.com/washtimes/>>

<<http://capwiz.com/washtimes/>>. Under "Guide to the Media", fill in your zip code. The media contacts in your area will appear + Letters to the Editor.

Contact your Congressmen. Ask them to attend the hearing, then fix the tax problem. Phone the Capitol: 800-648-3516. Ask to be connected to your congressman's office.

Go to the website: <<http://capwiz.com/washtimes/>>
<<http://capwiz.com/washtimes/>>. Under "Elected Officials", fill in your zip code. All contact information for your Senators and Representative will appear: phone, fax, addresses, and e-mail links. CALL, WRITE and FAX (e-mails are largely ignored).

Contact the President.

His "Comment Line" number is 202-395-3000.

Speak to a live operator. Tell her you expect straight answers from the government at the income tax trial on Feb 27th and 28th.

Fax The President: Fax: 202-456-2461.

Write the President: The White House, Washington, D.C. 20500.

E-Mail the President:

<<mailto:president@whitehouse.gov>>president@whitehouse.gov

Contact the IRS and the Department of Justice

Demand that they "Show you the law!" at the hearing!

Demand that they uphold their oaths of office and the Constitution!

DOJ E-Mail <<mailto:web@usdoj.gov>> web@usdoj.gov Web Site

<<http://www.usdoj.gov/>> www.usdoj.gov Phone (202) 514-2000 Address 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW

Washington, DC 20530 Treasury E-Mail

<<mailto:opcmal@do.treas.gov>>opcmal@do.treas.gov Web Site

<<http://www.ustreas.gov/>>www.ustreas.gov Phone (202) 622-2000 Fax (202) 622-6415 Address 1500 Pennsylvania Ave NW

Washington, DC 20220 IRS Web Site <<http://www.irs.gov/>> www.irs.gov

Phone (800) 829-1040 Address 1111 Constitution Ave NW

Washington, DC 20224

Watch the Hearing Yourself - Get the Evidence!

Much expense has gone into producing a live, gavel-to-gavel webcast of the 2-day Washington hearing. You will be able to see and hear the IRS and DOJ witnesses as well as print out copies of the evidence as it is presented. VHS and CD-ROM copies of the hearing will also be made available. For details visit <<<http://www.givemeliberty.org/>> www.givemeliberty.org>.

Remember: Phone calls, faxes & mail to public officials are much more effective than e-mail.

We value and respect your privacy. To unsubscribe from our mailing list, enter your email address and click the Remove button below: OR Click here and enter your email address:

<<http://www.givemeliberty.org/listserve/process.asp>><<http://www.givemeliberty.org/listserve/process.asp>>

=====
[3] Call Reminder
=====

The **TAX EXEMPT Conference Call**, takes place **Wednesday night, January 16, 2002**, (and every Wednesday) at **9 PM EASTERN** time. The number is: **620-584-8202, pin 2974#**.

The **CORPORATION SOLE (specific) Conference Call**, takes place **Friday MORNING, January 18, 2001**, (and every Friday) at **10 AM EASTERN** time. The number is the same as above: **620-584-8202, Pin 2974#**

[There is a **CLIENT ONLY Conference Call** on **Monday MORNING, January 21, 2001** (and every Monday). **CALL YOUR REPRESENTATIVE for the phone number and time**, if you're already a client, and you would like to be on that call.]

I want to mention something to new readers and to folks who have never been on the Wednesday conference call. This call is NOT what you may be expecting when I talk about a "conference call". A lot of people today are used to big sales-hype conference calls, with a lot of "Rah-Rah-Rah". This conference call is NOT a "sales" call. No one is trying to get you to enroll in something, or asking or suggesting that you try to get your friends to enroll. This is a TEACHING call. It consists almost entirely of questions and answers. It's a great place to hear other folks ask all sorts of questions, and get any questions of your own answered, and it provides you the opportunity to get a pretty well-rounded understanding of what this is all about in 45 to 90 minutes. I think you'll find it's one of the best \$3 to \$5 values you can find today. (The telephone long distance charges for most people).

May I ask, that when you call, you use a regular "connected-to-the-wall telephone", not an Internet phone, a cellular phone, or even a cordless phone. Also, please, not a speaker phone, either, because often speaker phones seem to disrupt the quality of the call. Pressing "*6" (Star 6) on your phone will mute your end of the line, so everyone can hear better; then, when you want to ask a question, you can press "*6" again to go off mute. If you can hear the noises, conversations, kids-playing, dishes clanging, and phones & faxes ringing where you are, we can hear it, too, and it makes it much more difficult to hear whoever is speaking at the moment. Thanks for your consideration.

If you like what you hear on the call, and you want to talk further to someone (including the call presenter) or ask more "personal" questions, remember how you heard about the call. No contact numbers are given out on the call, not because anyone is trying to hide anything, but because various representatives of [THE COMPANY] bring folks to the call. The call itself is not a "sales" forum and doesn't get involved in the sales "hierarchy".

See you on the call. Tell your friends about it, too.

=====
[4] Contact Information
=====

Paul Leinthall

Phone: 661-822-7889, Mon. - Fri. 9 AM to 5, PM (Pacific)

Email: littlehammer@primemail.com

-----NOTICE-----

In compliance with Title 17 U.S.C. section 107, this material is distributed free without profit or payment for non-profit research and educational purposes only.

=====

To SUBSCRIBE to this newsletter: Simply send an email to "taxexempt@primemail.com" (minus quotation marks) with the word "SUBSCRIBE" in the subject heading. If you want, you can put your name in the body of the email, so I have have a name to attach to the email address; but I do NOT provide this private information to ANYONE ELSE.

You can remove your e-mail address from this list by submitting an e-mail to: "Taxexempt@primemail.com" (minus quotation marks). Put the word "UNSUBSCRIBE" in the subject heading.

You may notice that I refer to [THE COMPANY] or to the founder of the company [THE FOUNDER] in various places throughout the Newsletter. I choose those expressions, instead of providing the actual names of the company or it's founder, for a couple of reasons...reasons which you'll also find reflected in my explanation of the copyright notice (below). I want to insulate [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] from undue and unwarranted attention (especially negative attention or reaction), whether from a casual reader or from any taxing agency or authority, their attorneys, or representatives. Therefore, it is my desire that the reader be absolutely clear who is responsible for what appears in this newsletter. This newsletter is NOT sponsored directly by [THE COMPANY] or [THE FOUNDER], and while I believe I am being representative of [THE COMPANY's] and [THE FOUNDER's] philosophy, goals, ideals and the truth in law and in fact on which [THE COMPANY] stands to perform its valuable service for its clients (of which I am one), and while I may quote [THE FOUNDER], or someone else, I always seek to maintain each person's privacy, unless their words are already in the public (published) domain; thus I will take the heat for any negative attention, response or reaction.

Also, this allows anyone, including other representatives of [THE COMPANY], who find this information valuable, and who want to share it with others, to substitute their name and contact information for mine, and not have to worry about potential clients of the company going over their heads and bypassing them. Since [THE COMPANY] sponsored conference call and Joe Lansing, the conference call presenter, follow this same philosophy of client protection for their representatives, the information in this newsletter can, then, be more widely disseminated for the value and education of others. In the newsletter, I may occasionally use the name of the conference call presenter, Joe Lansing; but that's because he is also out in the public forum with his conference call.

About the copyright notice: The copyright notice covers all the contents herein, except quotations, if any. I value my (and the reader's) freedom, integrity and responsibility, and I desire to maintain an environment where I (and the reader) can utilize and distribute this written material. From the point of view of copyright law, if I don't first copyright this material, someone else could; and then, by law, they could disallow me (and the reader) from using or distributing it. Given that fact, copyright is the best avenue I know to continue allowing freedom for all of us regarding this matter.

Therefore, the reader is free to copy, print, use and distribute this material by personal email, fax, or handout (including substituting her own contact information), as long as BOTH the copyright notice AND this explanation of the copyright notice remain in the material. **However, I do NOT, nor does [THE COMPANY], in its own philosophy and ideals, authorize or condone ANY mass media distribution of COMPANY writing or materials, including (and especially) posting to any web sit. However, material written solely by the herein named copyright owner MAY be posted to a web site or some other media - but ONLY with the copyright owner's express, written, prior permission, in each instance.** The responsibility for the words contained herein resides with the copyright owner. The copyright notice makes absolutely clear who is responsible for what appears here; that way, the buck stops with me, should anyone question or challenge what is written herein.

This material is not intended to be interpreted as legal or financial advice. The copyright owner is neither an attorney nor CPA and has no license to offer legal and financial advise. I encourage the reader to study and think for herself and to make her own informed decisions, based on her own desires and beliefs, in harmony with her own inner sense and self-interested, positive and comfortable, good-gut feeling. For THAT, each reader is, himself/herself, entirely responsible.