

=====

littlehammer's
Weekly Tax Exempt Newsletter
with
Questions and Answers
and
Conference Call Reminder

Copyright (except quotations) © 2000, 2001 by Paul Leinthall

=====

Tuesday, September 18, 2001

- [1] Welcome: Hearing What You WANT to Hear.
- [2] Questions and Answers: What About the WTC/Pentagon Attack?
- [3] News Briefs & Comments: Postponed Washington Tax Hearings?
- [4] Conference Call Reminder: **Wed, September 19th, 9pm EST, 1-305-503-1874, Pin 940**
- [5] Contact Information, Legal Notice & Notice of Copyright explanation.

In this section (below), I explain why I use the bracketed phrases [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] to refer to the founder and his company, who achieve the 100% effective results of having the IRS change their internal records to reflect the fact that each client is exempt from income taxes on any income, regardless of amount or source, unless the source of the income is the federal government itself or a trade or business under the sovereign jurisdiction of the government. [THE COMPANY] accomplishes this fully (and only) in accord with the Internal Revenue Code, and thus, none of their clients ever experience adverse IRS confrontation or court proceedings. (I also explain how to "unsubscribe" to this newsletter in this section).

=====

[1] Welcome

=====

Hello Friends,

I will intentionally have this edition of the newsletter be not as long as usual. In fact, it will be eleven pages shorter than last week's edition. My sense of it all is that lots of folks are still caught up in the wake of what happened in New York and Washington last week, with the fallout including yesterday's performance in the Stock Market (down over 7% and almost 700 points) and the "hit" being suffered by major industries, first of which are the airlines. In the next section I will address a question someone asked me regarding that, but other than my answer to that question, and a short announcement from "We the People Foundation" in Section 3, and what I'm going to cover in the rest of this Section, that's all we'll talk about this week.

Last week, I was confirmed in an opinion I have regarding the psychology of most peoples' listening and reading, i.e., people hear what they WANT to hear (or see what they WANT to see). I'm speaking now about this newsletter and something that's been present in every

newsletter since at least March 6, 2001. Let me explain what I mean. First, I'm going to pull the first part of a paragraph that has appeared in all editions of this newsletter since March. My guess is, most folks read the whole paragraph only once or twice, and then decide they know what it says, because it never changes, week after week. I should say, it has NOT changed - UNTIL NOW. Here's what the first half of the sentence (under [5] above) USED to say:

In this section (below), I explain why I use the bracketed phrases [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] to refer to the founder and his company, who achieve the money-back guaranteed results of having the IRS change their internal records to reflect the fact that each client is exempt from income taxes...

As you can see, that same part of the sentence (c.f., above) NOW reads:

In this section (below), I explain why I use the bracketed phrases [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] to refer to the founder and his company, who achieve the 100% effective results of having the IRS change their internal records to reflect the fact that each client is exempt from income taxes...

As you can see, I replaced the words "...money-back guaranteed results..." with the words "...100% effective results...".

Did you pick up on that change until I pointed it out? If you did, you're probably wondering why I took out the words "money-back guaranteed". Is it because I'm trying to convey that [THE COMPANY] no longer offers a money-back guarantee? Or am I entertaining some doubt about [THE COMPANY's] effectiveness? NO, neither of these is the reason. In fact, [THE COMPANY] is as strong now, as they ever were, both in producing the results AND in offering the guarantee.

So, why did I change the words?

I received a call from [THE COMPANY] last Thursday. It appears that a number of [THE COMPANY's] clients are calling the office and "claiming" that they were told, or they "read somewhere", that [THE COMPANY] guaranteed the IRS would be refunding their tax monies. What they told me was that the "majority" of people claiming that were "my" clients.

I defy anyone to show me where I have EVER written (said, or implied) that [THE COMPANY] "guarantees" that the IRS will refund all the monies the IRS has "erroneously" collected from a person. In fact, I have gone to some great lengths to be sure that I not only did NOT say that, but that I specifically said "[THE COMPANY] does NOT guarantee it and can NOT guarantee it". What [THE COMPANY] guarantees is that the IRS WILL change the way they view a person, from a "taxpayer" (the way they view almost everyone) to a "Non Taxpayer" (one who is exempt from most, if not all, federal and State income taxes, except for possible income tax liability on federally sourced income).

Judge for yourself. Isn't that exactly what I said in my original wording of the above paragraph, i.e., "the...results of having the IRS change their internal records to reflect the fact that each client is exempt from income taxes..."?

So, from here on out, instead of using the adjective words "money-back guaranteed" in front of the word "results", I'm using the adjective words "100% effective" in front of the word "results". (This is with [THE COMPANY's] approval).

[THE COMPANY] still will give a client back ALL of her money if they do not achieve the results of having the IRS change their internal records in her case. The same applies in the case of ANYONE they accept as a client. And [THE COMPANY] still will NOT guarantee that the IRS will refund ANY money which the IRS "incorrectly" collected from a person while they were viewing that person as a "taxpayer". AND, we "expect" that everyone will get her money back.

Does anyone have a question about that? Am I being unclear in any way, shape or form? Let me know if you have ANY question about what I just said.

I ask that because I know that people STILL hear what they want to hear. And you all know that of which I speak. (If you don't, just ask either of the individuals in most "couple" relationships; or ANY relationship, for that matter).

'Nuff said. Thanks for allowing that clarification.

Your Friend,
Paul Leinthall
661-822-7889, Noon-8pm Mon-Fri EASTERN time
email: littlehammer@primemail.com

=====
[2] Questions and Answers
=====

I have, as usual, lots of stuff I could cover. But I'll hold off until next week.

I thought it appropriate, however, to comment on this question from a client:

Date: Sat, 15 Sep 2001 13:01:25 -0400
From: xxxx
To: Paul Leinthall <littlehammer@primemail.com>
Subject: Sept. 11

Paul:

I don't know when you will be resuming business as usual after the events of Tuesday. At some point I hope you can comment on how the company's services relate to patriotism and the events of Tuesday. I don't think one has to do with the other, but it would be nice to get the view of the company in one of your newsletters.

On a more personal note, what are your thoughts on the attacks? Many people claim that they saw something like this coming. I am sure no one could have expected a situation of this magnitude.

Respectfully yours,
xxxx

First, let me clear up a misconception that lots of people seem to have. It's understandable that people might come to the conclusion, but it's not quite accurate, especially in light of this specific question, that I can always be relied upon to present "the view of the

company". While I try to do my best to represent what [THE COMPANY] does regarding the IRS and tax matters, I think I also go to great lengths in this newsletter to express that I attempt to "shield" both [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] from ANYTHING I write in this newsletter, unless it is a DIRECT QUOTE from [THE COMPANY] or [THE FOUNDER], in which case, I make clear even the fact that it is a direct quote. I want to shield the company from ANY ramifications of what I write, whether those ramifications come from something I said that was correct, or something I said that was incorrect; and I rarely "miss" on speaking correctly in regard to the services [THE COMPANY] provides its clients.

However, regarding last Tuesday's events in NYC and Washington, D.C. (and elsewhere), anything I say here is NOT NECESSARILY the view of [THE COMPANY]; and since I have not talked with anyone else in [THE COMPANY], I don't even know if anyone else in [THE COMPANY] will agree with me or even entertain my point of view. If that were my primary concern, I never would have started the newsletter in the first place; and while I represent [THE COMPANY], I've tried to make clear that [THE COMPANY] neither directly "authorizes" this newsletter nor anything I say in it (with the exception of the word change I talked about in Section [1], above). I, alone, take full responsibility for what appears herein, in any edition of this newsletter that has my personal contact information included. I also am not "paid" by [THE COMPANY] for putting out this information. My only "pay" - as it were - comes from the compensation I receive when people choose to become clients of [THE COMPANY] through me, and that "pay" is determined as part of my "contract" with [THE COMPANY] as one of their representatives.

There are actually two questions (although similar and connected, at least from my perspective) in the above questioner's email to me: First, regarding providing my comments on "how the company's services relate to patriotism and the events of Tuesday," and, Second, "... what are your thoughts on the attacks?". Since I pretty much addressed the first question already in what I've said, I'll just answer the second question here.

My first reaction upon hearing of last Tuesday's events, when a friend called me just after the first plane had hit the north tower of the WTC, was a mixed reaction of shock and surprise, followed closely with the thought that perhaps I shouldn't send out last week's newsletter, since I'd just finished saying therein something to the effect that most things don't occur "over night" - they take a while to build. I did, in fact, just prior to sending out the newsletter, make one alteration to the last three or four paragraphs of section [3]. I'll repeat those paragraphs here, with the sentence in italics that I added after getting word of the "bombing":

It's time, I believe, to take a couple of deep breaths, and let the "process" occur naturally. *Even in natural processes, of course, when the "time" is right for something to occur that has been building for a long time, that "birth time" is sometimes associated with short, fast and sometimes violent, turbulent, and even painful circumstances.* If something happens quickly, if Congress all of a sudden turns around after the two-day "Truth in Taxation Hearing", or if "NESARA" (the "secret" passing of the National Economic Stabilization and Recovery Act, about which all currently "provable" evidence indicates that it's not even been introduced as legislation yet) — if "NESARA" is actually announced from the White House in the next week, or so...

...well, I'm sure we'll all be able to deal with it. In the meantime, I'd still suggest:

Don't hold your breath; and we'll all still experience what happens. In the meanwhile, [THE COMPANY] has a solution right NOW that handles the issue of being able to

be FREE from most (if not ALL) income tax liability. It's STILL a process, however; and it STILL takes a little bit of time. BUT, it NEVER fails. (At least it has not, in the nearly thirty years we know it's been used).

...and there is STILL a conference call about this subject tomorrow night.

When I wrote that whole section last week, it came from being privy to all sorts of information which "color" my thinking regarding events that are occurring in this country. While I address my writing in terms of how all this affects, or may affect, the concept of income taxes, I am not devoid of opinions in other areas as well.

From my perspective, EVERYTHING is "connected". Did you know that scientists have determined that the air currents 1000 miles away are "affected" by a butterfly's movement through the air? Does that mean the air currents a 1000 miles away are "caused" by the butterfly's movement? No - of course not; although someone reading what I said, for some reason, might want to "hear" that in what I said.

Primarily, I entertain THREE dominant thoughts or ideas in this regard. First, EVERYTHING comes FIRST from thinking. There is, from my perspective, NOTHING that exists, that did not FIRST originate in MIND and begin with the "Thought" of the "thing" that exists or the "event" that occurs. Tied in with that idea, is once similar to it, but more an adjustment or moderation to this first idea, i.e., some "thing" or some "event", when it actually manifests in a physical-sense interpretable way, could be (and probably is) a result of at least several, if not many, thinkers contributing their particular perspectives and ideas (thoughts) to the "manifested" thing or event. For instance, even if a person chooses to think of "God" (or whatever "name" one chooses to give to the "concept" of an Original Thinker/Creator) as the ONE Creator, the Bible uses the words, of this ONE saying, "Let US make man in OUR image, after our likeness; and let THEM have dominion...over all the earth..." (Genesis 1:26).

SECOND, everyone - and I mean every ONE - thinks (and operates) in his own self interest FIRST, that is, from his own "self" reference. In other words, I am the one thinking my thoughts, and even though I can not account for the "source" of any thought ("where" thoughts come from, for instance), and even though I truly don't know what a "thought" actually IS (I just know I have them, whatever they "are"), I know that I always think my thoughts from my own self-reference. I may be thinking of someone else, or thinking of how I might benefit or uplift them, but I continue to think even those thoughts from my own self-reference, making all my own judgments of whether, or not, I enjoy thinking what I'm thinking, or not. I may have even come up with a value system that says I need to think of someone else MORE than myself, but even that thought, or value system, was selected by ME, even if I seemingly first "bought" the idea from someone else. I am the final arbiter of any thought I have or entertain.

THIRDLY: EVERYTHING in the UNIVERSE, including every thought, "exists" as a vibrating/frequency somewhere in the electro-magnetic spectrum, and the ONE LAW, by which the whole Universe operates, is that "like vibrations tend to come together"; in fact, a law (for lack of a better name) called "law of attraction" ensures that like vibrations come together. Therefore, by law of attraction, I attract to me the things I most think about, the things I am most "in harmony with" in my thinking.

And, there is actually a FOURTH and a FIFTH dominant idea I hold, these perhaps even more important than the first three, although, as I say, "all things are connected": From my perspective, "it" (what I'm talking about here) is not definable (although I think mankind

has eternally tried to define it or give it a name), but rather is best "intuited" in the process of asking the following question: Am "I" the one who is living me now? Am I the one who is beating my heart and pulsing the blood through my veins? Am I the one who is digesting my food? Can I account for these occurrences? Am "I" responsible for tending to those matters. And, even if I think I might, or ought to be able to account for such, can I account for the beating of the hearts of other people? Am I "responsible" for that? Can "I" account for the planets revolving and not crashing into one another? Am I the one who grows tomatoes? Am I that force, or source energy, that energizes all things, in which all things arise, stay awhile, and then pass away?

Lastly, another question, or two, rather than being statements, would not be, as one might suppose, "WHO is that ONE?", but rather, "What is my relationship to that ONE who IS beating my heart and digesting my food, and beating the hearts of all those other folks, and digesting their food? What is my relationship to that ONE?" "WHERE is that One?"

So, even though I can not actually "define" that one, and even though I may have chosen to give that ONE different names at various times, I realize that any "name" I might use obviously does not do a very good job of defining that ONE. And if I ask you to define that one in your terms, you might choose a different term or word or name, but my guess is, we would both be trying to "define" the same ONE. That ONE is of the "same" vibration, the "same, yesterday, today and forever". And THAT is true, as I see it, no matter WHO I am talking with, no matter WHERE that person lives, and no matter where he/she is from (whether in this country or in some other country, including, for example, Afghanistan, Iraq, Russia or Israel); and regardless of who or what or where, it is in that ONE that everyone lives and moves and has his being. That ONE gives life and breath to ALL.

I get a little closer to the "truth" of it for me when I ask: "Where, in relationship to ME, is that ONE who is living me now?" The best "answer" I've ever come up with, is INSIDE me, within me. And call it by whatever name I choose (God, Allah, Jehovah, Elohim, Pure Energy, Inner Being, Higher Self, Source Energy), or call it by what ever name YOU choose, I think you'll agree we are all talking about that same ONE.

Finally, one last important IDEA, based on my own observation of these ideas, is that I have within me (and this applies to each person, from his own self-reference point) a mechanism that unfailingly lets me know whether any particular thought or attention focus is "in harmony" with "me" and in harmony with my own value system and in harmony with my own desires and in harmony with that ONE within me (whoever it IS) WHO is living me now. That mechanism is what I refer to as my "emotions" - my "feelings". I have learned that when I am in harmony with me, when I am in harmony with the kinds of thoughts and ideas that work for me, in any moment of such harmony, I FEEL good; and in any such moment when I am thinking thoughts or focusing my attention on things that are NOT in harmony with me, not in harmony with that ONE within me (whoever it IS - that ONE WHO IS living me now), I feel "bad" (not bad in a "right/wrong" moral sense, but bad in the sense of negative emotions, those emotions we name by all sorts of various names, like, fear, doubt, anger, hate, jealousy, irritation, irritability, frustration, depression).

So, this inner mechanism, which is my emotion-feeling system, is actually an ever-present "guidance system" that lets me know when I'm thinking the kinds of thoughts that are "good" for me; AND when I'm thinking the kinds of thoughts that are not good for me.

While it may appear I've gone astray from the questioner's question, let me bring it all together. How does what I've just been writing, apply to what my thoughts are on the subject of the attacks?

While my first reaction to the news was shock and surprise, I quickly discovered a deeper feeling underneath - one of fear. I believe at that point, I was entertaining the idea of my own physical "vulnerability". I've been in the World Trade Center. I've been in the Empire State Building. I've been on "top" (as far to the top as one can go). I was there the day before the last attempt (1993) to take down the WTC. I lived only twelve miles away at the time. ALL those thoughts and memories at that time IMMEDIATELY came rushing through my mind, particularly as I watched the second plane plow into the South Tower and then, a little later, as I watched both of the Towers, in turn, collapse; but it was my own feeling of "fear" that caught my attention. And why was I feeling fear? Because I was entertaining thoughts in my mind that said something like: "I'm vulnerable. It could be me. What if it were me? What will happen now? Will this interfere with my well being? Will this interfere with my freedom? Will it somehow prevent some of my good coming to me? Are we headed for a third world war?"

Now, I am aware, that I am the chooser of whatever I put my attention upon. Since the "fear" (my emotional guidance system) was quickly telling me that in that moment I was focusing on the situation with the kinds of thoughts that were not "good for me", I had a choice: I could continue thinking in that vein; or I could change the direction of my thoughts, and start looking (start "feeling", if you will) for thoughts about the whole thing that felt better to me. So I started thinking about the vast number of people on the planet (including myself) who were NOT actually being affected adversely in "reality" in that moment. That though FELT better in that moment. I started doing some calculations in my mind. I thought if 100,000 people were destroyed in that incident, which I expected was way, way too many people to be affected, it would be only 1% of the population of the whole city itself; I figured that the total loss would probably be less than one-tenth of 100,000. Gruesome, tragic event? Yes? Overwhelming the vastness of well-being on the planet? By no means. That type of thinking made me feel better, as I chose different thought than the ones accompanied by fear or a sense of vulnerability.

My guess is that anyone viewing the scenes went through their own process, with varying results. For myself, I know that any thoughts of fear, or anger or retaliation (although I briefly entertained them all) are not good FOR ME. (I can't judge what feels good for someone else).

This process is a TOTALLY individual thing. I know NOBODY can actually know exactly what I am feeling in any moment, just as I can never know EXACTLY what someone else is feeling. I only knew, in that moment, I wanted to feel better. I wanted to feel confident; I wanted to feel fearless, but rather than simply fear-LESS, I wanted to feel strong, I wanted to feel a sense of well-being. So that's what I did in those first few moments, until I regained my own sense of equilibrium, or "balance". I won't go into more specifics here, because I believe each person has his own set of "reference points", his own choice of thoughts, that he can choose (or not choose) to feel better. I realize, many folks believe they can not choose to feel good in the light of someone else obviously feeling not so good; but I think that anyone who closely examines that philosophy (which I used to hold) will see how fruitless it is, and will realize he can be of no real value to himself or anyone else when he are feeling bad or if he chooses to join someone else in a negative vibrational feeling. (I'm not talking about singing and dancing and hopping around for joy at the misfortune of someone else, here; I'm talking about having my own balance and general good feeling, so I can be of most value to myself and to someone else).

Oh, that reminds me: People have been talking about CNN showing footage of, I believe, Palestinians dancing in the streets; I heard later, someone in an email say he had footage of

that very scene, which was taken back in 1991? I wonder whose "self-reference" point the decision was made to air that particular footage, to grieving Americans, regardless of when it was taken. Did someone have an agenda of trying to INSTILL a sense of anger and retaliation? Why? For What Purpose? For WHOSE purposes?

Then I thought: Which is more important to me? To feel good and be in balance with myself? Or to enroll in someone's else's agenda, one where the thoughts connected to it I already know do NOT feel good to me?

Each must decide for himself. Each of us literally creates our reality by our thoughts and by the vibration of our thoughts (which we can "tell" by our feelings) we attract to ourselves. Law of attraction matches to each of us our own vibrational feeling. The Universe is like a gigantic radio dial. There are any number of stations. You can have any station you choose to "tune in to". (You can think any thought you choose to think. That is where our REAL FREEDOM lies). Some stations are playing the music you like; many are not. If you don't like the music on a certain station, any person knows that the answer is to tune into something you like; something that feels good. EVERYTHING is vibrational in the same way. By the way, ALL those various radio stations' music from your town exists in the very room in which you read these words. The only way they affect you (to your liking or disliking) is if you tune into them. It's totally your choice. You don't have to go to war against any station you don't like; you know the simple answer is to simply tune in elsewhere on the dial. It's ALL vibration, and it's ALL within your own power to choose.

(Incidentally, in Genesis, Chapter One, in the Hebrew Scriptures, where it says, "And the Spirit of God moved upon the surface of the waters," the actual Hebrew meaning of the word "moved" is "VIBRATED")

But one thing I believe (and you'll see this fits in with my own "philosophy" here): I believe EVERYONE involved with last Tuesday's events was acting from his own self-reference; and I believe everyone was doing the absolute best he/she could in that regard. Do I actually KNOW what any one person was feeling? NO. The best I could do was to IMAGINE. But, there again, if my imagination was accompanied by emotional feelings that did not feel good to me (as I said, I know that my negative emotions are ALWAYS the signal from my own Source Energy that something about my imaginings in that moment is not "good for ME"), then, in my own integrity with myself, I was NOT in that moment of such imagining, in harmony with myself. So, again, my choice, was to either continue in that vein (in which case, the negative feelings would increase), or to change the direction of my thought to something that felt better, which would be the indication from within my own being that I am tending to be creating more of what I'm wanting to create in my life.

What I'm talking about here, although supposedly having "nothing" to do with income taxes, is something that I know any person can observe for himself. I'm sure that most folks who are reading this have already observed something akin to this. I certainly can't tell anyone what to think. Oh, I "could" of course, but really, that would do no good. I can only encourage a person to begin to notice more the "feelings" that are occurring within him/her at any moment, and then, from THAT, look at the thoughts or attention focus in that same moment, and notice the correlation between your negative emotions and the fact that your attention is focused on something that obviously matters to you (because if something doesn't "matter" you don't have ANY emotions, good or bad, about it), but with negative emotion, your thoughts in that moment of negative emotion are focused on something that matters from the perspective of not having it, or being blocked from having it, or the having of it being "threatened", or where you're focused on the opposite of what you really want; whereas, when your focused on something, while at the same time experiencing

positive emotions (love, joy, peace, excitement, anticipation, passion, well-being, basking, relaxing, flowing, a feeling of ease, etc.), you are focused on a subject you want and that matters to you from the point of view of having it, or anticipating having it, or imagining having it. And in THAT positive feeling mode, you are in the "faith" of it and the "having" of it, which Jesus said is so necessary to the having of what you want. (Mark 11:24)

That's why you'll hear me say (or see me write), regarding this subject of income taxes and of having the feeling of freedom from the burden of income taxes and feeling free from the "fear" of the IRS, and regarding becoming a client of [THE COMPANY], that one should only choose to become involved when that "good gut feeling" is present in her being, because THAT is the person's own inner guidance system telling her when (and if) the time is "right" for her.

I know I strayed from the questioner's direct question about the attack on the WTC and the Pentagon. And my best "answer" is that I encourage any person to think more about what feels good, and to think less about what doesn't feel good. Beyond that, think what ever you choose. There are certainly a whole bunch of viewpoints from which one can choose to think about it all. Just remember, that one who espouses a particular viewpoint is, himself, coming from his own self-reference; and what may feel good to that person or group - no matter who he is, or who "they" are, or what his apparent "station" in life or what powerful group authority they may exert - may not feel good to you; and if it doesn't feel good to YOU, that negative feeling in your own gut is the indication from your OWN Source Energy (Higher Being, Higher Self, Greater Intelligence, God) signaling you that that particular thought or attention focus is not good for YOU. (It may be fine for that other person or group; you are NOT anyone's judge; and when you try to judge, even THAT does not generally feel good, and that negative feeling, often associated with judging or criticizing is also telling you something about YOU, and your own creative alignment with that ONE who flows in you and through you. Believe me, Law of Attraction does a masterful job of sorting all this stuff out; and each gets what each sows in thought/feeling vibration. That law is more powerful than the law of gravity, and it NEVER misses).

If you will notice, there are a LOT - and I mean a LOT - of people trying to influence you with what you "should" or "ought to" be thinking about; and everyone has his particular slant. And, regardless of any person's agenda of thinking, or any groups' agenda - you might ask yourself what their own self-reference is "pushing" in that regard. Most importantly, however, after you peruse all the things that are available, I would encourage you to think your own thoughts and choose the focus of attention that has you feeling the way YOU want to feel. And if NONE of the possible choices of focus, regarding the subject of last weeks attacks, offer you anything that feels good, I would suggest your own guidance system is suggesting to you to keep looking - perhaps from within your own being - for the kinds of thoughts that you have no doubt make you feel good, or at least, feel better. If nothing on the "news" makes you feel good, who says you have to keep looking and listening?

When do you want to choose for yourself the kinds of thoughts and attention focus that work for YOU? When will you start treating yourself with as much respect and love and adoration as some folks suggest you give to them?

Jesus said, "The Kingdom of God is INSIDE YOU. BE EVER FOCUSING ON THAT FIRST, and all the other things about which you are concerned, will sort themselves out. Delight and luxuriate yourself in THAT, and the Universe (God), by LAW OF ATTRACTION, will give you the desires of your heart". [My free translation of Luke 17:21 and Matthew 6:33 and Psalms 37:4]. Or you can be like Job, in the Hebrew Scriptures, who learned about law

of attraction the "opposite" way, which he voiced when he said, "For the thing which I greatly feared is come upon me, and that which I was afraid of is come unto me." (Job 3:25) Law of attraction ALWAYS answers "YES" to whatever vibration you present. The choice is ALWAYS yours. Each person has the power RIGHT NOW, in THIS MOMENT, to change his/her vibration; and his/her own emotions will indicate which vibration that is. And you have the power in THIS moment, too. And now THIS moment...and THIS...and THIS.

How GOOD are you WILLING to have it be?

=====
[3] News Briefs & Comments
=====

It should probably come as no surprise that this announcement from the "We The People Foundation" should come out in the light of the proximity of the planned IRS/DOJ hearings being so closely connected on the calendar with the WTC and Pentagon "bombings" in New York and Washington. It speaks for itself. It also addresses my implied question from last week, i.e., why wait to be free from federal and State income taxes simply on the basis of a "hoped for" magic bullet that will do away with the IRS?

From: "Bob Schulz" <bob@givemeliberty.org>
To: <littlehammer@primemail.com>
Subject: Schulz Requests Delay for Tax Hearing
Date: Fri, 14 Sep 2001 05:44:36 -0800

Schulz Requests Delay for Tax Hearing

In the shadow of Tuesday's dark events, Bob Schulz, Chairman of the We The People Foundation, communicated his request to Rep. Roscoe Bartlett Wednesday, that the tax hearing be postponed until sometime in October.

Schulz remarked, "Although we have worked long and hard for our right to be formally answered on our petition for a redress of grievances, We The People does not want to hinder, in any way, the government's response to the terrorist attacks or to be perceived as a source of divisiveness during this critical hour for our nation. The Department of Justice officials must, without distraction, tend to the immediate security of our country.

"There should be no doubt about the potential impacts that may arise from the tax hearing. It is a very public and direct challenge of the legal jurisdiction of the IRS and the unlawful enforcement of U.S. tax laws.

"At this time, we feel that to proceed with a public interrogation of officials from the Department of Justice and the Internal Revenue Service under the current circumstances would not be appropriate. At best, it may be counterproductive to the Foundation's educational objectives and at worst, perceived as a hindrance, or discordant voice during this time of need for national unity.

"We have waited many years for answers to these questions. We The People can wait a few more weeks."

Within the next few days, Bartlett's office will propose new dates, pending a review of October schedules and the availability of Capitol Hill facilities. Webcast package purchases will still be honored, and notice will be sent when the dates are announced. <<http://www.givemeliberty.org/>><http://www.givemeliberty.org/>

=====
[4] Call Reminder
=====

The TAX EXEMPT Conference Call, takes place Wednesday night, September 19, 2001, (and every Wednesday) at 9 PM EASTERN time. The number is: 305-503-1874, pin code 940 (No # required).

I want to mention something to new readers and to folks who have never been on THIS conference call. This call is NOT what you may be expecting when I talk about a "conference call". A lot of people today are used to big sales-hype conference calls, with a lot of "Rah-Rah-Rah". This conference call is NOT a "sales" call. No one is trying to get you to enroll in something, or asking or suggesting that you try to get your friends to enroll. This is a TEACHING call. It consists almost entirely of questions and answers. It's a great place to hear other folks ask all sorts of questions, and get any questions of your own answered, and it provides you the opportunity to get a pretty well-rounded understanding of what this is all about in 45 to 90 minutes. I think you'll find it's one of the best \$3 to \$5 values you can find today. (The telephone long distance charges for most people).

May I ask, that when you call, you use a regular "connected-to-the-wall telephone", not an internet phone, a cellular phone, or even a cordless phone. Also, please, not a speaker phone, either, because often speaker phones seem to disrupt the quality of the call. Pressing the number 5 on your phone will mute your end of the line, so everyone can hear better; then, when you want to ask a question, you can press the number 4 to go off mute. If you can hear the noises, conversations, kids-playing, dishes clanging, and phones & faxes ringing where you are, we can hear it, too, and it makes it much more difficult to hear whoever is speaking at the moment. Thanks for your consideration.

If you like what you hear on the call, and you want to talk further to someone (including the call presenter) or ask more "personal" questions, remember how you heard about the call. No contact numbers are given out on the call, not because anyone is trying to hide anything, but because various representatives of [THE COMPANY] bring folks to the call. The call itself is not a "sales" forum and doesn't get involved in the sales "hierarchy".

See you on the call. Tell your friends about it, too.

=====
[5] Contact Information
=====

Paul Leinthall
Phone: 661-822-7889, Mon. - Fri. NOON to 8 PM (Eastern)
Email: littlehammer@primemail.com

-----NOTICE-----

In compliance with Title 17 U.S.C. section 107, this material is distributed free without profit or payment for non-profit research and educational purposes only.

=====

To SUBSCRIBE to this newsletter: Simply send an email to "taxexempt@primemail.com" (minus quotation marks) with the word "SUBSCRIBE" in the subject heading. If you want, you can put your name in the body of the email, so I have have a name to attach to the email address; but I do NOT provide this private information to ANYONE ELSE.

You can remove your e-mail address from this list by submitting an e-mail to:
"Taxexempt@primemail.com" (minus quotation marks). Put the word
"UNSUBSCRIBE" in the subject heading.

You may notice that I refer to [THE COMPANY] or to the founder of the company [THE FOUNDER] in various places throughout the Newsletter. I choose those expressions, instead of providing the actual names of the company or it's founder, for a couple of reasons...reasons which you'll also find reflected in my explanation of the copyright notice (below). I want to insulate [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] from undue and unwarranted attention (especially negative attention or reaction), whether from a casual reader or from any taxing agency or authority, their attorneys, or representatives. Therefore, it is my desire that the reader be absolutely clear who is responsible for what appears in this newsletter. This newsletter is NOT sponsored directly by [THE COMPANY] or [THE FOUNDER], and while I believe I am being representative of [THE COMPANY's] and [THE FOUNDER's] philosophy, goals, ideals and the truth in law and in fact on which [THE COMPANY] stands to perform its valuable service for its clients (of which I am one), and while I may quote [THE FOUNDER], or someone else, I always seek to maintain each person's privacy, unless their words are already in the public (published) domain; thus I will take the heat for any negative attention, response or reaction.

Also, this allows anyone, including other representatives of [THE COMPANY], who find this information valuable, and who want to share it with others, to substitute their name and contact information for mine, and not have to worry about potential clients of the company going over their heads and bypassing them. Since [THE COMPANY] sponsored conference call and Joe Lansing, the conference call presenter, follow this same philosophy of client protection for their representatives, the information in this newsletter can, then, be more widely disseminated for the value and education of others. In the newsletter, I may occasionally use the name of the conference call presenter, Joe Lansing; but that's because he is also out in the public forum with his conference call.

About the copyright notice: The copyright notice covers all the contents herein, except quotations, if any. I value my (and the reader's) freedom, integrity and responsibility, and I desire to maintain an environment where I (and the reader) can utilize and distribute this written material. From the point of view of copyright law, if I don't first copyright this material, someone else could; and then, by law, they could disallow me (and the reader) from using or distributing it. Given that fact, copyright is the best avenue I know to continue allowing freedom for all of us regarding this matter.

Therefore, the reader is free to copy, print, use and distribute this material by personal email, fax, or handout (including substituting her own contact information), as long as BOTH the copyright notice AND this explanation of the copyright notice remain in the material. **However, I do NOT, nor does [THE COMPANY], in its own philosophy and ideals, authorize or condone ANY mass media distribution of COMPANY writing or materials, including (and especially) posting to any web sit. However, material written solely by the herein named copyright owner MAY be posted to a web site or some other media - but ONLY with the copyright owner's express, written, prior permission, in each instance.** The responsibility for the words contained herein resides with the copyright owner. The copyright notice makes absolutely clear who is responsible for what appears here; that way, the buck stops with me, should anyone question or challenge what is written herein.

This material is not intended to be interpreted as legal or financial advice. The copyright owner is neither an attorney nor CPA and has no license to offer legal and financial advise. I encourage the reader to study and think for herself and to make her own informed decisions, based on her own desires and beliefs, in harmony with her own inner sense and self-interested, positive and comfortable, good-gut feeling. For THAT, each reader is, himself/herself, entirely responsible.