## "It Ain't Me!"

### by David De Riemer

In the previous "Evil Twin" article, I recommended we start our "research engines" to confirm or deny the "Evil Twin" hypothesis. The author of this article has not only "started his research engine" concerning "evil twin" artificial entities, he's actually used his research to ward off government prosecution.

I do not understand everything that Mr. DeRiemer seems to assert in this article, and therefore disagree with some of his conclusions.

A primary difference between Mr. DeRiemer's work and mine is this: Mr. DeRiemer argues that he has absolutely no connection, not even as a representative, to the corporate fiction that bears "his" UPPER-case name. I, on the other hand, suspect that "my" UPPERcase name identifies a trust to which I am tightly bound as "my" trust's trustee. As such I must have a representative capacity but (properly understood and identified whenever we sign our names) that representative capacity may be inconvenient but otherwise relatively harmless since trustees cannot normally be held liable for offenses committed by their trusts.

Nevertheless, Mr. DeRiemer's research and my speculation agree that:
1) each of us is shadowed by an "evil twin"/artificial entity identified with an all UPPER-case name almost identical to our own; and 2) government routinely charges the "evil twin" with violations and crimes, and then tricks the

real, flesh-and-blood entity (who is innocent) into accepting the "evil twin's" punishment.

According to Mr. DeRiemer, "We free <u>real</u> natural flesh and blood People of God (not fiction 'Persons') should learn to avoid their Administrative courts of 'Discretion', and 'Justice' ('Justice' means the 'collection of the just amount of the debt'). In these administrative courts, we are considered already guilty and the court's only obligation is to determine the 'just amount' of debt – same as in the old Star Chamber."

The key to Mr. DeRiemer's argument is "fictions of law." Black's Law Dictionary (4th Edition, Rev'd) explains that concept in part with a definition that is rich with innuendo and ambiguity:

"An assumption or supposition of law that something which is or may be false is true, or that a state of facts exists which has never really taken place. An assumption, for purposes of justice, of a fact that does not or may not exist. A rule of law which assumes as true, and will not allow to be disproved, something which is false, but not impossible. . . . These assumptions are of an innocent or even beneficial character, and are made for the advancement of the ends of justice."

In other words, the courts will use untruths (fictions) to achieve particular results. Your chances of winning a case if you didn't recognize and understand the various "fictions" (lies) that might be used against you, are small. Mr. DeRiemer's strategy seems to be to identify and rebut the fictions used against him in written notices to the court. Without fictions, the courts appear unable to proceed and so cases are simply dropped. Mr. DeRiemer claims that by using the "It Ain't Me Letter" described in this article he avoided \$12,000.00 in fines and/or time in jail.

Over the next year, I expect that Mr. DeRiemer and I will both learn which parts of our theories are correct, which parts are not. In the meantime, consider Mr. DeRiemer's story:

received (all rights reserved/without prejudice to rights) by mail, nine letters/ "Statements of Amounts Due" in nine separate envelopes from the "Court". They said "pay the amount due, or there will be a "Contempt Of Court" hearing, Wednesday at 1:30 PM., for "failure to pay", and I would go to jail. This hearing was to have been about "Contempt of Court" with pending jail time.

However, because government is a *fictional* entity (a corporation) it can only have cognizance of other *fictions* and cannot contact or acknowledge *real* live natural people. They can only contact other fictional "persons" and artificial entities like corporations and trusts. This is why "Taxpayer License/"

Taxpayer I.D.#/ Social Security Numbers" and "Driver Licenses" (etc.) are only issued to fictional entities which have their names spelled in all UPPERcase letters.

For example, the distinction between DAVID S. DEREIMER and David S. Dereimer is that of a fiction as compared to a real live natural flesh and blood man of God.

It is against the law to keep mail which does not belong to you. Therefore, if you are a flesh and blood man or woman (of God) do not steal mail which is intended for a corporate fiction. By keeping mail directed to a corporate fiction, you implicitly admit that you must be (or represent) that corporate fiction. Therefore, by law, I had to return all the court papers addressed to DAVID S. DERIEMER.

Therefore, after photocopying the envelopes (addressed to DAVID S. DERIEMER) and their contents, I marked the envelopes "Opened by Mistake" (per State and U.C.C. Section 1-103 "Underlying fundamental principles of Law"), and marked "Return to Sender" on each envelope, and they were each "returned" by the Post Office.

I then sent Certified Mail (all

rights reserved) the "It Ain't Me" letter (with copies of the nine returned, "marked", numbered envelopes attached as exhibits). Essentially, my letter said that the Defendant DAVID S. DERIEMER is a fiction, but since I am not a fiction, "It ain't me". In other words, I (David S. DeRiemer) am not DAVID S. DERIEMER, I can't accept "his" mail, and I shouldn't be held responsible for "his" offenses.2

### Mistaken identity

The "It Ain't Me" letter denies and challenges "Personam", "Venue", and "Subject Matter" primary elements of primary jurisdiction. This denial destroys their "rebuttable presumption" that you are a fictional entity, and forces them to reveal their fraud in order to refute your written denial (the "It Ain't Me" letter). Apparently, they'd rather "drop" or "dismiss" their case against you than risk publicly exposing their fraud.

In this letter, I notify the "courts" that of all the distinctions that prove the Defendant DAVID S. DERIEMER is not me, David S. DeRiemer and by implication, I cannot receive DAVID's mail or be held accountable for DAVID's offenses.

**Do You Want Effective Privacy???** There's no privacy when you receive mail at home. There's also no

privacy when you have a post office box or private mail drop (i.e. Mail Boxes Etc.) because the government requires that you provide your "residence address," identification, and even a social security number!

### WE HAVE THE SOLUTION:

Join the Free Speech Literary Society, A Trust, and for just \$150 per year you can enjoy all the benefits of membership — including use of our Nevada address for remailing. For more information, please send a self-addressed, stamped 9 x 12 envelope to:

> Free Speech Literary Society, PSC 3885 South Decatur, suite 3010, Las Vegas, Nevada [89103]. 1-800-945-2981

Please help keep our costs down – write for our free brochure before you call. When you write, we will also send you information on obtaining foreign passports, second Citizenships, alternative venues for vehicle registration, private phone lines, opening bank accounts without social security numbers (we can actually do this for you - not a mere theory!), as well as our general corporate, trust, and partner ship services.

The letter also notifies them that if it is me - David S. DeRiemer, the real live natural flesh and blood Man of God - who they wish to contact, they can write to me by using my proper "capitalized" (not UPPER-case) name, and sending the letter to a real, (not fictional) address - just as it appears at the end of this letter:

David S.; DeRiemer, all rights reserved address used without prejudice to rights Care of, 1624 Savannah Road Lewes, Non-Domestic is in real Delaware land (Not Federal Regional District or fiction military Venue "DE") No military fiction Venue zip Code

Court of Common Pleas Sussex County June 9, 1997 address used without prejudice to rights c/o – The Circle, Court House Georgetown, military district fiction The "State Of Delaware" a military/ martial fiction law district venue #19947

Dear Common Pleas Court Clerk,

Enclosed herewith, returned, rejected and refused for fraud are legal documents, postmarked (date) which were delivered fraudulently "without prejudice" in that they were addressed to a fraudulent ALL CAPITAL LET-TER fiction entity with a fraudulent name, opened by "Mistake", and addressed to a military/martial law fiction venue called "DE" and/or "19958", and they are "Returned to Sender" therefor. I do not live in a military/martial law fiction venue.

As I am not a corporate fiction, it is apparently not intended for me.

As I spell my name with small letters, it is not intended for me.

As I do not have "enough information or knowledge upon which to base a responsive answer" - it is apparently not intended for me.

As I am not a trustee/fiduciary or transfer agent, and as I am not a resident or resident agent, it is not intended for me.

As I am not "Trading As" DAVID S. DERIEMER, it is not meant for me.

As I do not have a permanent "address", but only a temporary "mail location" in real geography Delaware, it is apparently not intended for me.

As the "Unliquidated Debt" (Res) is not within the territorial jurisdiction of the Court, it is apparently not able to attach to me.

As it is directed to a military/martial law <u>fiction</u> district titled "DE", and as I have no nexus or connection to the military/martial law <u>fiction</u> venue "DE" or <u>fiction</u> Venue "19958" [aka "zip code"], the papers were fraudulently delivered, so it is apparently not intended for me. I receive Non- Domestic mail "without prejudice" in Delaware <u>real</u> geography, but not in a political entity.

As it is illegal for me to knowingly accept or keep mail or papers – particularly legal papers – that are fraudulently delivered, they are returned to you for fraud, fraudulent venue, and no valid subject matter as pertains to me, an actual live flesh and blood American man.

Any mail or other (legal) papers intended for my attention may be directed as shown:

David S.; DeRiemer, all rights reserved

address used without prejudice to rights

Care of, - 1624 Savannah Road Lewes, Non-Domestic is in <u>real</u> Delaware No zip Code Sincerely yours,

David S. DeRiemer, real natural man not corporate fiction person subject

### From the jaws of victory?

After I sent the "It Ain't Me" letter, I still mistakenly suspected that if I "failed to appear" they'd send out a "SWAT Team" to arrest me and forcibly bring me in. So on Wednesday, I walked into the courtroom at 1:30 PM. Expecting to be arrested, I was armed with an "In Forma Pauperis" petition which essentially said I had no money and therefore could not be jailed for failure to pay the alleged debt.3 (At the time, I didn't realize that by voluntarily "appearing" in the court after I'd returned their paperwork and sent the "It Ain't Me" letter, I was again "volunteering" to be tried as DAVID S. DERIEMER.)

Fortunately, the courtroom was dark and empty. I went down to the

Court Clerk's Office to inquire. They said, "Wait a minute" and telephoned to the *Chief* Clerk. I waited out in the lobby and when she saw me, she said, "Oh, Mr. DeRiemer, what are *you* doing here?"

I said, "Well, you mailed nine Notices that stated there was to be a *hearing* here at this time".

She said, "But, you sent the Notices *back*." (She implied that since I returned the notices, they did *not* obtain Service of process.)

Not knowing when I was well off, I replied, "Well, now that I'm here, I'd like to talk to the Judge."

She asked, "What about?"

"I have this 'In Forma Pauperis' Form here and I'd like to talk to him about it."

"Can I see it?".

"Sure."

"Well, if you want him to look at it, we better 'clock it in'."

"How about 'clocking in' my copy too, to prove that I was here at the appointed hour and date. Also, I'd like a written statement on Court Stationery that I was here, and no Capias [bench warrant] will be issued later today."

She said "OK, but how will we notify you when the In Forma Pauperis hearing is scheduled, as you don't get your mail."

"Sure I do. Just spell my name "Capitalized" with mostly *lower case* letters, use 'Care of' before the "Mail Location", fully spell out "Delaware", and use *no* Zip Code Number – and it'll get to me just fine.

She gave me one of those sickening-sweet, government-employee allknowing "smiles", and went down the Hall toward her office. I began to follow, but she said "Just wait out here, and I'll be right back."

Twenty-three minutes later, she reappeared with a single sheet of Court letterhead paper with just two sentences on it. The first entire sentence was in all *upper-case* letters, and said, "DAVID S. DERIEMER HAD APPEARED AND NO CAPIAS WOULD BE ISSUED, AT THIS TIME." (This first sentence offered no address or "temporary Mail location".)

The second sentence was "capi-

# The Ultimate Survival Food!

You can purchase a oneyear food storage program for \$1,800.00-\$4,000.00, and hope you can keep it when you are raided by hungry robbers or by government for felony hoarding. The average storage space required for this type of program is:

3¼ Feet x 3¼ Feet x 4 Feet 600 Pounds

Or purchase this 1-year supply of **Bee Natural** total food, with total nutrition, for \$240, which can fit in one side pocket of your backpack. All you need to add is something for fiber, and water. You can find grasses, tree bark, etc. for the fiber, if necessary,


9½" x 7½" X 5"
Weight 4 Pounds
You can elect to carry 2-3
days of conventional food on
your back, or you can carry a
year's supply of Bee Natural.

The choice is yours.

Bee Natural has all of the vitamins and minerals you need, plus more protein than beef, 22 amino acids and all of the living enzymes. It is the perfect food. Made from freezedried honey, bee pollen, bee propolis, royal jelly and chromium, bound in natural niacin. Honey is in the Bible over 60 times. It must be good. It was part of the "Manna" which Israel survived on for 40 years.

Don't risk your family's life by depending upon what you have in your garage or what you can carry on your back. Make sure you can carry enough, AND HAVE HEALTH. Order From:

Financial Enterprises *CIO* [Route 1, Box 275] Muld row [74948] Oklahoma



talized" (written primarily in all *lower* case letters, with a few capital letters at the beginning of the sentence and to identify proper nouns), and read that the hearing on the "In Forma Pauperis" form, would be held two weeks from that date Monday morning at 9:30 AM.

### I'm only human

This second hearing would consider my "In Forma Pauperis" form. It's similar to a "Counter-Complaint" since a Defendant can't do the impossible (pay bills since there is no "money" in circulation), and a Court cannot be "unreasonable" by ordering the impossible or penalizing one for failure to perform the impossible. In other words, since I had no real money or assets, the court could not jail me for a debt I could not possibly pay.

Two weeks passed. On Friday afternoon at 2:30 PM, my wife received a telephone call from the judge's *personal* secretary (not just the Clerk) saying, "Your husband need *not* come to court Monday morning, because the judge has decided to 'take it under advisement'."

We suspected that they wanted to

trick me into "failure to appear" so they could dismiss my "In Forma Pauperis." So I called her back and asked her to repeat the message, which she did. I then asked for a letter of written confirmation of the phone call, which she sent.

That's been almost one year ago, and we have not heard anything about either the "Contempt of Court" hearing or the "In Forma Pauperis" hearing since.

Conclusions: The Judge was in a "Catch 22" situation. They didn't obtain Service of Process on the *fiction* defendant (DAVID S. DEREIMER) so they couldn't proceed against it, and they had a "Petition for In Forma Pauperis" from David S. DeRiemer who is *not* the Defendant, and is not privy to the case/suit. Apparently, the Judge *couldn't* hear the Petition from a non-party to the case.

### Second chances

After I returned the court papers in the mail, they might have sent a Constable or Sheriff to personally serve the "court papers" on real live me, but even that could be stopped. Here's how:

On a recent Friday afternoon, a Georgia Deputy Sheriff served "court papers" to a local chiropractor informing him that he was a defendant in a court hearing to be held on the following Monday morning at 9:30 AM. The Deputy thought that since time was so short, the chiropractor couldn't act on the papers before the Monday hearing.

True, the chiropractor didn't have time enough to *mail* the "papers" back to the court. Therefore, he had a neighbor act as his personal "process server" and personally "return the papers" along with a "It Ain't Me" letter to the Deputy Sheriff that Friday afternoon.

The neighbor asked for a receipt that the court papers had been returned for improper service. The Deputy refused. So the neighbor simply said, "That's O.K. – I'll just sign an affidavit to the court for the chiropractor, that the 'papers' have been returned. Have a nice day!"

The neighbor left the Deputy's office. But while getting into their car, the chiropractor and neighbor noticed both the Sheriff and the Deputy *running* over to the Court House before closing time. They had earlier signed



### DISCOUNT PRICES

PREMIUM QUALITY FOOD STORAGE RESERVES

### MOUNTAIN HOUSE FREEZE-DRIED FOODS

GOURMET FLAVOR / CONTAINS REAL MEATS "NO COOKING-JUST ADD WATER"

&

### NITRO-PAK 'LOW-MOISTURE' FOODS

THE FRESHEST & LARGEST SELECTION AVAILABLE

\* AVOID THE RUSH PREPARE FOR Y2K NOW \*

### 21st Century Outfitters

Authorized Mountain House and Nitro-Pak Dealer

Phone: 214-342-8173

E-MAIL: info@21stcenturyoutfitters.com WEB SITE: www.2lstcenturyoutfitters.com

a Return Of Service to the court clerk, stating that the papers were served on the chiropractor. But now, they had to remove their signatures somehow from the official court records, because the "papers" were back on their desk.

Two weeks later, the chiropractor met the would-be plaintiff who initiated the case against him and asked what happened at the Monday morning hearing.

The plaintiff said, "You didn't show up, and when they called the case, their attorneys, the judge, and my attorney had a side bar consultation. Then my attorney told me to go home and he'd be in touch with me later by letter."

In other words *nothing happened*. They failed to obtain Service Of Process on the chiropractor and he's never heard another word about it. In his "It Ain't Me" letter, the chiropractor declared that he (the *real* live, natural man) was not the *fictional* "person" named as the Defendant with an all upper-case name. The lawyers and judge didn't want the "real" vs. "fictional person" issue to be raised "On the Record" in their court. So they dropped the case.

Another man had an IRS "problem". The IRS sent him a series of "papers" and envelopes. First, he marked "Return to Sender - No such Party at this location" on each of the original envelopes. Then he made photocopies of all the IRS papers and envelopes, kept one set of photocopies for himself, and sent all of the original IRS "Papers" and envelopes back to the IRS with an attached set of photocopied letters and marked envelopes and attached the "It Ain't Me" cover letter.

Next time he checked – certain "Liens" which had previously been "On The Record" were marked discharged!

#### Criminal cases, too

When they accuse one of something criminal, they never accuse you of violating a "law". They can't. Their private "lawyer club meetings" (we call 'em hearings in courts) are convened "in the interest of justice", and/or "discretion" under the "administration (col-

lection of debt) of code".

That's why – even if you flat-out kill someone – they will *not* accuse you of "murder". They *will* accuse you of violation of some "*code* title number and section number" which *stands for* murder.

What they refer to as "criminal" is actually "civil-criminal." It is really a "contract penalty" or "penal code violation," being "administered" to fiction persons under presumption that you are enjoying some "Benefit, Privilege, Title of Nobility, or opportunity offered" by the government corporation. The "It Ain't Me" letter raises the "rebuttable presumption" that you (the real live natural man) are not the fiction person Defendant despite the similar name. The important issue is the distinction between "real" versus "fiction". The fact that the fiction's name is spelled in all UPPER-case letters, is only prima facie evidence that it is the fiction.

The main issue is that you are not the fiction Defendant *of* (created by) a *Legislature*. You are real flesh and blood natural man created by and "of" *God*.

For example, one man went to court and argued the *spelling* of his name ("Capitalized" name vs. UPPERcase name). When they called his case, our man *should have* said, "Which one? The *real* man or the fiction?" and repeated that question over and over, regardless how many times and different ways they tried to call him. He should not have answered any question of the judge, until the judge had first answered his question.

However, the tricky lawyer-judge finally said, "Well then, what is your name?" He gave his name verbally (and thereby traversed to the court's jurisdiction). The judge then said "Let the record show the Defendant is Mr. Blank 'also known as' Mr. BLANK." By tricking him into answering her question, she bypassed his question about the "real vs. fiction" issue.

Therefore, do *not* get hung-up on the UPPER-case/lower-case "spelling". That is secondary. The main issue is:

Are you *real* natural flesh and blood of God, or a *fiction* corporate "person", a creation of government and therefore a government "subject"?



This may be one of the most important books you'll ever read. This is the story of a young engineer who uncovers a plot to seize control of the world. Using well-documented research material as his foundation, the author outlines a plan to utilize the United Nations, the radical environmental movement, Y2K, the failing educational system, and the expunging of our Constitutional liberties to bring about a Federation of the World under the control of a wealthy elite.

The Master Plan is unique because of its theological interpretations, Biblical implications, and the fact that it is politically incorrect. The author weaves an intricate, fast-moving, adventure story that will hold you transfixed from the opening pages until the final, *shocking* climax.

240 powerful pages \$13.00 + \$3 P&H

MasterCard & Visa orders call Toll Free: 1-877-221-0161

or send your check or money order to:

Ambassador House

P. 0. Box 1153 Westminster, Colorado 80030

### **Y2K** and . . . . .

Computerized Government
Computerized Power Grid
Computerized Banking
Computerized Transportation
Computerized Communications

### If any of these system fail:

Will you have a paycheck?
Will you have electricity?
Will you have a means to travel?
Will you have food and water?

We can help you answer & resolve these questions.



Three month subscription to NETWORK NEWSLETTER plus a packet of preparedness information.

Grapevine Publications
P. 0. Box 45057; Boise, Idaho 83711
(208) 345-3669
www. grapevinepublications. com

For further information, Mr. DeRiemer provides free seminars or send \$5.00 for "Home Study" Course Data to:

Peoples Rights Association address used "without prejudice to rights" c/o, - 1624 Savannah Road, ALES Lewes, is in <u>real</u> land Delaware Not <u>fiction</u> zone Venue "DE" Not fiction zone Venue "19958"

<sup>1</sup> Contracts are any promise of *future* performance in ink on paper. Contracts are "commerce". "Notes" (promises to pay) are "commerce" (Federal Reserve Notes, etc.). Corporations, trusts, and associations are fictions on paper – commerce. All Insurance is "Admiralty" and *future* promise, and therefore "commerce". All fictions are commerce. The Courts have jurisdiction over all fiction entities and all "commerce"

<sup>2</sup> When one returns or "rejects" all government papers, refuses to enter a "Plea", and refuses to "Post Bail or Bond" (for 48 hours *Riverside County vs. McLaughlin*), and denies by *written affidavit* that he is the fiction Defendant, they can *not* proceed and can *not* hold you beyond that 48 hours. And, yes, afterwards, you can sue for false imprisonment for the 48-hour "unlawful detention" of a *non-*fiction subject.

<sup>3</sup> The "In Forma Pauperis" form said that because of irregularities in Federal Reserve Notes and our current money system, I didn't own the house that I thought I owned; I didn't own the car that I thought I owned; I didn't own any cash in my pocket that I thought I owned; I didn't own the money that I thought was in the bank; I didn't\_own my physical body which I thought I owned — or my wife, or my children, or anything. It was signed "without prejudice" in case I had made a "mistake", so it couldn't be introduced into evidence in any court proceeding anyway.

### More than just a Y2K book!

How to Prosper During the Hard Times Ahead gives you everything you need to know to weather a stock market crash, to protect your assets during spiraling inflation, and to take the Y2K crisis in stride.

How to Prosper During the Coming Bad Years — with over 3 million copies in print. He is uniquely qualified to explain that our culture is teetering on the edge of a crash, and that the Y2K crisis may be a triggering event. The immoral "Era of Clinton" has implications far beyond a political crisis, and the blind confidence of investors in the stock market may come back to haunt all but the most prepared. This book tells us what we need to do to get prepared.



Call Now: 1-888-219-4747

Have your credit card ready and mention code RSP129.

Or

Mail orders to:

Regnery Publishing, Inc. P.O. Box 97199 Washington, DC 20090-7199 (Fax orders to 202-216-0611) Please include check, money order, or if paying by credit card, account number, expiration date, and daytime telephone number. Please indicate code RSP129

