littlehammer's

Weekly Tax Exempt Newsletter Questions and Answers and Conference Call Reminder

Copyright (except quotations) © 2000, 2001, 2002 by Paul Leinthall

Tuesday, May 14, 2002

[1] Welcome & Editorial: **Knowing for Sure**

[2] Questions and Answers: **Evidence and Gag Orders**

[3] News Briefs & Comments: Theft BY Deception

"Question & Answer "Call - for New Folks Wednesday NIGHTS - 9 pm EASTERN [4] Conference Call Reminders:

1-620-584-8202, Pin 2974#

"*6" (Star 6) MUTES and UN-MUTES your line

ALSO

Corporation Sole (specific) Conference Call Friday MORNINGS - 10:00 AM EASTERN

Same Number and Pin as Above

PLUS

A CLIENT'S ONLY CALL

Call Your Representative for Number and Time

[5] Contact Information, Legal Notice & Notice of Copyright explanation.

In this section (below), I explain why I use the bracketed phrases [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] to refer to the founder and his company, who achieve the 100% effective results of having the IRS change their internal records to reflect the fact that each client is exempt from income taxes on any income, regardless of amount or source, unless the source of the income is the federal government itself or a trade or business under the sovereign jurisdiction of the government. [THE COMPANY] accomplishes this fully (and only) in accord with the Internal Revenue Code, and thus, none of their clients ever experience adverse IRS confrontation or court proceedings.

-----NOTICE-----

In compliance with Title 17 U.S.C. section 107, this material is distributed freely, without profit or payment, for educational purposes only.

To SUBSCRIBE/UNSUBSCRIBE: If you have received information DIRECTLY from the author (Paul Leinthall), you are already and automatically subscribed. If you are not already subscribed, you may send an email to "TaxExempt@primemail.com," with the word "subscribe" in the subject heading AND your name in the body of the email. I do NOT provide your private information to ANYONE else. To unsubscribe, simply put the word "unsubscribe" in the subject heading.

Dear Friends,

An often-asked question we hear in regards to [THE COMPANY's] services has to do with how a person can KNOW that what we perform is actually based on law - not just some strange interpretation of the law that has no basis in the clear language of the law, itself. This question takes on even greater importance in light of the fact that most CPA's and tax attorneys tend to believe something contrary to what [THE COMPANY] says.

There is not any question that the tax law, as it stands today, is so confusing, even the professionals (Attorneys and CPS) have a difficult time agreeing with each other in many aspects, and virtually no two professionals can give a person the same answer to the same question. The general consensus of their opinions is that every American Citizen is a taxpayer, and that income taxes can not be avoided.

Obviously [THE COMPANY] does not concur with that opinion, regardless of the number of professionals who hold it. The question remains, however: "How Can I KNOW for sure that what [THE COMPANY] does has the full backing of the law and the Internal Revenue Code?"

I received my recently ordered copy of Larken Rose's "Theft By Deception" video on Saturday. I popped it in my VCR, and, in less than an hour and a half, I witnessed one of the clearest, most comprehensive, and easy-to-understand presentations of the answer to that question.

I'm particularly pleased that the video arrived just a few days after I sent out last week's newsletter ("Where's the Beef?"), wherein I was addressing the fact that a true understanding of the law and it's requirements necessitates understanding that the Statutes are one thing, and that the Regulations, which provide the implementing force to the Statutes, are another thing, and that the TWO (the Statutes AND the Regulations) work together to give you the whole picture of what the law is, who it applies to, and what is required in the event a particular law applies in any case. The video clarifies that distinction, and reveals why (and HOW) the TRUTH of what is clearly in the Law and the Code is deceptively "hidden" right in plain sight - hidden so well that many Attorneys and CPA's can't see it when it's right in front of their eyes.

If you're desiring a clear answer to the question of "how can I know for sure?", presented from the point of view of the law itself (Statues and Regulations) - and if you're desiring it in a form that is really EASY to grasp and understand, and if you're wanting something to show your skeptical friends which illustrates the wisdom of your decision to become a client and to be able to enjoy ALL your income, while being free from the liability for paying State and federal income taxes on the money you make at your job or business here in the States - then Larken Rose's video will not leave you disappointed.

I want to be clear in what I'm saying here: I have never met Larken Rose, nor have I spoken with him. I simply ordered the video, watched it, and realized that it fully supported the position [THE COMPANY] takes in dealing with each client's issues with the IRS and State taxing agencies. I'm also not "selling" the video, and I don't make anything should you choose to spend the small \$20 fee to purchase it from Mr. Rose. I

am, however, endorsing it fully. Not only am I endorsing it, I'm recommending that everyone get a copy. If you've got a skeptical family, or friends, or employer, or even an accountant or tax attorney with the slightest open-mind, who's willing to sit back and relax for 88 minutes - this is just what you've been looking for. I'll talk more about it, and give you some of Larken Rose's own words pertaining to the video, in Section 3 (News and Comments).

As any client knows, who has read the documents filed each year on his behalf by [THE COMPANY], we stress the fact that the client did not have any "taxable income" (unless, of course he did). The chief means whereby the IRS and State taxing agencies arrive at the conclusion that the income paid to a person is "taxable income," comes via their receipt, each year, of W-2's, 1099's, K-1's, and other supposed "evidence" sent to them in the name of the individual. In the next section, I'll address what [THE COMPANY] is beginning to do this year about that so-called "evidence," and how we are beginning to educate the employers and companies who are sending those W-2's, 1099's, and K-1's, etc. to the government.

So, let's hop right to the questions and answers.

Your Friend, Paul Leinthall 661-822-7889, 9am-8pm, Mon-Fri., PACIFIC time email: littlehammer@primemail.com

[2] Questions and Answers

Paul, Just finished reading your newsletter last night. You state in the letter and I quote "but until there is a clear and evident change in a client's IMF, which specifically states that the client does not have to file tax returns, the company will continue filing each year for that client."

Does that mean once the IMF file is changed for someone he no longer has to have the Company file a statement for him. Therefore, no more \$450 per year for doing that?

And is that different than the file stating one is income tax exempt? In other words being income tax exempt is not the same as saying a client doesn't have to file? I believe I already know the answer.

XXXXX

Hi XXXXX,

The critical issue is that the IRS adds-to (changes) each person's IMF each year, and they have a tendency (habit) of wanting to keep a person classified incorrectly (as a taxpayer) rather than the way most people actually ARE under the law (non-taxpayers); hence, the IMF for most people shows them as having "taxable income" each year - which is one of the things [THE COMPANY] rebuts each year in the annual filing. The IRS gets this "taxable income" information from all the W-2's and 1099's that are presented to them each year from all the income providers.

The truth is, that if income providers actually knew the law, they would not only NOT be withholding money on most W-2 employed people, but they would also NOT be sending W-2's and 1099's to the government each year on those people - since the law does not authorize them to withhold money and submit income reporting forms on any American Citizen, who lives in any of the 50 States, and whose income is not from "revenue taxable sources". The law authorizes employers to serve as "withholding agents" only pertaining to people who are truly liable for paying taxes on their income, such as "resident alien" (non-Citizens living and working in American on a "green card" status), "non resident aliens" (people who live in another country but receive income from within the States), federal employees, federal citizens, or people having income connected directly to a business or trade headquartered or chartered in federal jurisdiction.

Since the "evidence" of these W-2's, 1099, and K-1's, etc. contradicts the idea that a person has NO taxable income, it becomes an on-going battle to insist and ensure not only that the IRS change a person's IMF for a single year, but that they don't change it back to showing a person is a taxpayer the following year. Therefore, UNTIL there is lock-solid evidence in the IMF that the IRS is not continuing to put this contrary evidence into a client's IMF each year, [THE COMPANY] continues to file each year to keep the record straight.

Of course, there's always the possibility that a person, who was not a taxpayer one year, might indeed be a taxpayer in a different year (for example, if that person had "federally connected" income in that different year), in which case a "tax return" would be necessary for that year anyway.

When you put all that together, you can begin to understand why [THE COMPANY] has to file each year for each client; in other words, with the IRS's yearly presumption that every person has taxable income, comes [THE COMPANY's] yearly necessity to rebut that presumption. That's the way [THE COMPANY] keeps people out of trouble.

Obviously, if the point in time comes where a client's IMF shows evidence of having been changed permanently, THEN [THE COMPANY] could begin thinking about not filing. And then, perhaps, there would be no more need for the on-going annual fee.

In the meanwhile, I think that \$450 annual fee is a small price to pay to have someone else -[THE COMPANY] - who knows what they're doing, be taking care of my filing responsibility and keeping me clean before the law, while, at the same time, I go about living my life free from having to pay any State or federal income taxes.

Sincerely, Paul Leinthall																
•••	••	••	••	••	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	• •	•	• •	•	•	•

Paul,

I sent my income information for the 2001 tax year to [THE COMPANY] in early February, and yet, on April 15, I received a copy of the "automatic extension" that [THE COMPANY] filed on my behalf. Why was an extension filed, instead of the annual filing, since our information was there in plenty of time to complete the annual filing?

XXXX XXXXX

Hi XXXX XXXXX,

As the Internal Revenue Service (and State taxing agencies) continue to shift their positions and attitudes regarding certain issues, oft times resulting from the fancies and whims of Congress as they twiddle and fiddle with the Code, [THE COMPANY] finds the necessity to twiddle and fiddle with the procedures of communicating in continued harmony with the Law, the Code and the procedures.

The IRS and State taxing agencies have been getting more aggressive in their insistence that the W-2's, 1099, K-1's, etc. they receive from income providers serve as sufficient evidence of a person having what they call "taxable income". While this continues to NOT be true in the case of most folks, it nevertheless remains the responsibility of [THE COMPANY] to address these issues in ways that allow no wiggle-room on the part of the taxing agencies, apart from what the law provides. To this end, [THE COMPANY] has been preparing further and corresponding documentation, which will be going to the suppliers of those W-2's, 1099's and K-1's at the same time as the annual filing goes to the IRS and State taxing agencies. [THE FOUNDER] has been preparing that documentation, and [THE COMPANY] is now in the process of filing, not only "the annual statement and declaration of material facts", but they're also concurrently sending the new documentation to the income providers. Each client will receive copies of all the material being sent on his behalf, to whomever it is sent. [THE COMPANY's] aim is to have all this completed by the end of July.

The information will document that the income being reported as taxable is, in fact, NOT income from revenue taxable sources, according to the Code. This will serve both to accomplish the regular purpose of fulfilling each client's filing requirements, but will also serve to educate income providers around the country exactly what is (or is NOT) taxable income, and alert them to the fact that the law does NOT require their filing W-2's, 1099's and K-1's etc. except according to specific legal guidelines, which simply don't apply to most Americans.

This continual revision and keeping abreast of the changes in the tax laws and the ever evolving tactics of the taxing agencies is one of the primary benefits a client enjoys. It surely beats a person's having to read and study on his own, and then sleeplessly engage thoughts of "hope" that the tactics he's utilizing actually accomplish the results he's wanting to see. Apart from the service [THE COMPANY] performs for each client, that's about the best that most folks would have.

I'm sure that when you receive the copies of this years filing, along with the letters going to your income providers, you're going to be quite happy that the filing was done in a more powerful way than before - even it it did necessitate the automatic extension having been filed first.

[THE COMPANY's] sending an automatic extension is, in no way, indicative of something going wrong or not working. It simply allows more time to get the job done correctly. The IRS recognizes this necessity, otherwise they would never have "invented" the "automatic" (no permission needed) extension in the first place.

Sincerely, Paul Leinthall

Below is an exchange I had with a knowledgeable associate who helped organize people in attending a offshore seminar in XXXXX this past weekend with someone named XXX XXXXXX as the speaker. This seminar was heavily promoted on the net, and this individual is thought to be an expert on offshore asset planning/strategies.

Do you know which IRS rule he might be quoting here that my associate is referring to? Are you or [THE FOUNDER] familiar with XXX XXXXXX?

Thanx a heap, XX

[Since the "exchange" with "a knowledgeable associate" in the email sent to me referenced ONLY a "rule" that required reporting of offshore income, which the speaker at the offshore seminar had mentioned, but in which the associate who sent the email to this questioner had no cite or legal reference, I had to "assume" some things in my response.

The question, itself, comes from a client who is both an individual "tax exempt" client and the owner/creator of a corporation sole established by [THE COMPANY]. There is a requirement (rule) in the Internal Revenue Code, pertaining to "individuals," that offshore income be reported; however, this rule DOES NOT APPLY when the income accrues to a corporation sole].

Hi XX,

I don't know XXX XXXXXX. I can't speak for [THE FOUNDER] in that regard.

Since I don't know him, and since I don't know what "rule" everyone is talking about, I can only assume some things for the moment, and what is being referred to as a rule (that income must be reported) is TRUE - AND the rule does NOT apply to Corporation Sole. It applies to people and entities who are under the jurisdiction of those particular rules, and Corporation Sole does NOT come within IRS jurisdiction in this regard.

The best analogy I can think of is that the person who is not under military jurisdiction is not obligated to any of the military rules. So, for example, if this rule being referred to were a military rule, it would not apply to you, since you're not in the military.

There are rules in the Internal Revenue Code; they obviously apply to certain people. But if you are not one of these people, while they're still rules, they don't apply to you, any more than France's driving rules apply here in the United States.

XXX XXXXXX - no matter who he is - can not speak with authority regarding any subject other than those about which he knows. Most asset protection companies follow those particular rules (e.g. of having to report income), and if it is a rule applying to an individual (which it is), then yes, we, too, would encourage following the rules. As an INDIVIDUAL, you have only the choice to follow or not to follow the rule. BUT, the corporation sole is not under that rule, and therefore it has no rule saying it has to report income. When it comes to moving money, particularly when one gets in the offshore realm, corporation sole is the supreme entity to accomplish this purpose with

the greatest security, efficiency and ease (pardon any redundancy, since "ease" is often considered a component of "efficiency").

Sincerely, Paul Leinthall

Hi Paul... I received this...and am sharing/forwarding it to you for your input on the subject in the attached email "gag order on churches" - and will that have any affect on corporate soles, now or later?

Personally in my opinion, the churches brought this upon them selves by "getting in bed" in the first place with the IRS and bowing down to man's law, instead of standing up for God's law. I have yet to find it in the Bible where God instructs the church to be licensed, go into business with the IRS, become government churches rather than churches of God... opening the door to all sorts of government intrusion into religion. I hope this will not become the fate for corporate soles.

XXXXXXXXX

Dr. Kennedy to Testify On Behalf of Houses of Worship Political Speech Protection Act

By Greg Hoadley

Dr. D. James Kennedy, Senior Minister of Coral Ridge Presbyterian Church, and founder of the CENTER FOR RECLAIMING AMERICA, is going to Washington, D.C. on May 14. He will testify before the powerful U.S. House of Representatives' Ways and Means Committee on behalf of the Houses of Worship Political Speech Protection Act, authored by North Carolina Congressman Walter Jones.

Should it become law, this bill would repeal an obscure 1954 law that effectively censors pastors, and forbids them from endorsing candidates from the pulpit. "I am dedicated to doing whatever I can to see our nation's churches and ministries set free from IRS intimidation," said Dr. Kennedy. "Thousands of ministers across America are afraid to speak out at all simply because of the risk of being targeted by the IRS."

"We applaud Dr. Kennedy, as he personally goes to testify before Congress, asking it to restore the First Amendment right for pastors to speak freely from the pulpit," said Janet Folger, national director of the CENTER FOR RECLAIMING AMERICA. "Please be in prayer for him as he goes to our nation's capital in an effort to right this wrong."

Hi XXXXXXXXX,

The churches that fall under this "gag order" are churches that have submitted themselves to IRS jurisdiction by filing for a "non-profit" status, for example, a "501(C)(3)" status.

According to current law, churches who operate via corporation sole have no such gag order to which they must submit or "obey". In fact, they have great liberty and freedom in saying anything they want from the pulpit. (They just are disallowed from actively "campaigning"). The same Constitutional Amendment that provides the protection under law for the corporation sole in the first place, is the very same amendment that provides for "freedom of speech".

A "church," who had no lawful requirement to do so, but who applies for and operates under IRS "permission," according to section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code, is equivalent to an individual, like you or me, who has no lawful requirement to do so, but who signs his/her first 1040 Tax Return, thus bringing him/her under the jurisdiction of the IRS. When it comes to churches and other "non profit" groups who submit to that jurisdiction via Section 501 non-profit status, agree to submit to certain rules, some of which apply to just what they can (or can't) proclaim from the pulpit, particularly when it comes to the government and it's laws.

Sincerely, Paul Leinthall

[3] News Briefs & Comments

I promised, in the first section, that I would include more information about Larken Rose's new video presentation, entitled "Theft By Deception". I figure the best way to do this is to let Mr. Rose speak for himself. I think you'll see how much affinity we have with this gentleman, even though I've not spoken with him.

At the end of this section, I'll provide the link, in the event you're interested in purchasing Mr. Rose's video I've taken his words directly from his site, while I've taken the liberty to **ADD** some **bold** emphasis.

© 2002 Larken Rose

The misrepresentation and misapplication of the United States federal income tax constitutes the largest acquisition of wealth by way of deception in history. A handful of government lawyers fabricated an intricate maze of legalese which created a perfectly Constitutional tax (a tax on income derived from certain types of international and foreign commerce), but which at the same time could easily be misread to give the impression that the income of all Americans is subject to the tax.

For decades the American people have been conditioned to believe that the income tax applies to their income, and have been trained to pay "their" taxes. All the while, hidden in the labyrinth of statutes and regulations, the law itself showed that the federal income tax was never "their taxes" at all. (Insisting that

someone pay an "income tax" when that person receives no taxable income is equivalent to insisting that someone pay a whiskey-importing tax when the person does not import whiskey.)

This deception has been so successful for so long that now most people find it difficult to even begin to doubt the "conventional wisdom" on the subject. When one first reads this web page he may instinctively think "that can't possibly be true." However, the average citizen will also admit that he surrenders his hard-earned money to the IRS solely because of an assumption, not because he has ever seen the law for himself.

(Ironically, the flawed "conventional wisdom" has been greatly strengthened by the so-called "tax protestor" movement, which has for decades spread flawed and often nonsensical arguments, usually arguing that there is something wrong with the law, because they too were ignorant about what the law itself actually says.)

In addition to misleading the public, the government lawyers who orchestrated this scheme also misrepresented the law to their own subordinates in the IRS, knowingly allowing them to misapply the law, and allowing Americans who never owed the tax to be harassed, robbed, and even imprisoned. The general public's false assumptions are shared by the vast majority of IRS employees (who demand money from those who do not owe it), and by the tax professionals (who incorrectly tell most Americans that they owe the tax). All the while, the few inside the system who knew the truth allowed the American people to make this trillion-dollar "mistake" year after year.

The federal income tax statutes and regulations were designed to be confusing and deceptive. Due to the intentionally complex web woven by the architects of this deception, even after the "legalese" had been fully deciphered (which occurred only a few short years ago), it was still a challenge to make the truth widely accessible and understandable. Getting the public to pay attention to a message this unconventional is nearly impossible if it cannot be explained (and proven) in a ten-second "sound bite". So even after the proof and extensive supporting evidence were publicly available, the truth was still in a form that took significant time and effort to digest and comprehend. Because of that, until now only a very small percentage of the population has had a glimpse at the truth.

At last **the truth has been put into a form that is** not only thoroughly documented and solidly reasoned, but is also **readily understandable to the average citizen.** Using the medium of video, concepts can be explained in a way that is far more understandable than is possible using the written word alone. The video "Theft By Deception" was **designed specifically to remove all of the inherent difficulties in understanding the truth about the federal income tax.**

Understanding the truth no longer requires the memorization of countless section numbers, or the ability to mentally keep track of a jumble of citations. Many hundreds of hours were put into the making of this video, with the goal being to give maximum understanding and knowledge of the issue, in the minimum amount of time, while minimizing the mental strain often associated with reading legalese.

- 1) This 88-minute video gives a logical, well-documented proof that the federal income tax, while being entirely valid and constitutional, simply does not apply to the income of most Americans, according to many decades of federal income tax statutes and regulations. (The video was designed so that the viewer does not need any prior knowledge about the law to understand the issue.)
- 2) The video shows what the tax professionals (including employees of the IRS) do and do not know about the law, and why they believe that most Americans owe the tax.
- 3) The video explains why Congress did not tax the income of all Americans, and why they instead imposed a far more limited tax (which was then grossly misrepresented to the public).
- 4) The video shows how government lawyers have been trying for decades to obscure and hide the truth about the very limited nature of the tax.

(Note: The video does not teach you to combat the IRS, or give any "how to" lessons on resisting this fraud. The key to ending this injustice is mass education, which is the purpose of the video.)

A Message from Larken Rose

(author of the report "Taxable Income," creator of the video "Theft By Deception")

Dear Readers,

For several years I have distributed my "Taxable Income" report for free, both in hard copy and as file downloads. Tens of thousands of copies of the report have been downloaded from www.taxableincome.net alone. In the past, I never charged for the report or for anything else related to the tax issue. To me, the main goal was (and still is) to spread the truth about the "income tax" deception, in order to end the deception.

Selling my report would have invited accusations from naysayers that I am some sort of "scam artist." To deprive the would-be detractors of the ability to paint me as just some con artist trying to make money, I chose not to charge anything for tax-related issues in the past. With the release of my new video, "Theft By Deception," I am for the first time selling something related to the federal income tax deception. The cost is not for the raw information, since my written "Taxable Income" report will remain free to download from

http://www.taxableincome.net. The cost is for the visual presentation of the information (which is the result of many hundreds of hours of work), in a form that I believe will be understandable to almost anyone. I am quite pleased with the results of this project, and I think (and hope) that anyone who sees the video will not be disappointed.

Sincerely,

Larken Rose www.theft-by-deception.com P.O. Box 653 Huntingdon Valley, PA 19006

This link, http://www.theftbydeception.com/index.html, will take you right to his site. The price for the video is a flat \$20.00 (except for residents of Hawaii and Alaska, where \$5.00 is added for mailing). You can get the video for as little as \$13.00 (as long as you order 99 others along with yours).

As I said earlier, my sole motive is to expose you and your friends to the clearest presentation of "taxable income" I've ever seen. I think the profit should go to Mr. Rose. I believe the "laborer is worthy of his hire/reward" [Luke 10:7; I Timothy 5:18].

When you understand this issue of "taxable income", you'll understand the underlying basis of success in what [THE COMPANY] does for each client. Obviously, the benefit for [THE COMPANY's] clients is that they don't have to figure out how to implement this truth and in getting it to the IRS in such a way as to actually be FREE from most (if not ALL) State and federal income taxes, and without having to be looking over their shoulders for fear of not handling it correctly.

And this clip, from an email just in from Larken Rose. (I'm on HIS email list):

....I'm pleased to report that the video is making "converts" out of people who don't at all fit the "patriot" or pro-freedom stereotype. Though it is spreading like wildfire inside the movement (thanks to the kind reviews of a few individuals), it is those OUTSIDE of the pro-freedom movement that we must convince.

The Great Deception WILL end. It's only a matter of time. I'd rather it end sooner than later, and what will make that happen is getting the word out everywhere we can, however we can. I realize there is a natural tendency to not want to discuss these things with those who shy away from such "activist" things. I even have to make myself speak up, when I'm around people who I expect might not be very receptive to the idea. The way I think of it is this: all those people are being DEFRAUDED of thousands of dollars every year. Those of us who KNOW that ought to be telling those who don't. They have a right to know what's being done to them. If they CHOOSE to close their eyes and ears to it, that's their decision. But we should still give them the chance to learn the truth.

I guess that's enough preaching from me for today. The next few weeks should be very interesting.

In the meantime, [THE COMPANY] continues to enjoy what I believe is unequalled success in this realm. Fortunately, clients of [THE COMPANY] don't have to wait for that time - whenever it may reveal itself to be - when "the Great Deception will end". We're already FREE from the income taxes, utilizing the very principles revealed in Mr. Rose's video. I encourage you to examine it for yourself. It makes quite a difference when the person at the helm of [THE COMPANY] has not only been familiar with the truth in the law for almost 15 years, but who has over 32 years of experience in dealing with the IRS and State

[4] Call Reminder

The TAX EXEMPT Conference Call, for "new" folks, takes place EVERY Wednesday NIGHT at 9 PM EASTERN time. The number is: 620-584-8202, pin 2974#.

The CORPORATION SOLE (specific) Conference Call, is on Friday MORNINGS, at 10 AM EASTERN time. The number is the same as above

Also, there is a CLIENT'S ONLY Conference Call available (obviously) for Clients Only. If you're already a client, and you would like to be on that call, CALL YOUR REPRESENTATIVE for the phone number and time,]

I want to mention something to new readers and to folks who have never been on the [THE COMPANY] Conference Calls. The calls are NOT what you may be expecting from a typical "conference call" these days. A lot of people are used to big sales-hype conference calls, with a lot of "Rah-Rah-Rah". The conference calls are NOT "sales" calls. No one is trying to get you to enroll in something, or asking or suggesting that you try to get your friends to enroll. These are ALL TEACHING calls. They consist almost entirely of questions and answers, after a brief introduction. They're a great place to hear other folks ask all sorts of questions and get any questions of your own answered, and they provide you the opportunity to get a pretty well-rounded understanding of what this is all about in 60 to 90 minutes. I think you'll find they're one of the best \$3 to \$5 values you can find today. (The telephone long distance charges for most people).

Pressing "*6" (Star 6) on your phone will mute your end of the line, so everyone can hear better; then, when you want to ask a question, you can press "*6" again to go off mute. If you're having a hard time hearing, with various noises in the background from other folk's lines, such as: conversations, kids-playing, dishes clanging, and phones & faxes ringing, then be assured, everyone else can hear the ambient sounds from your environment. It simply makes it much more difficult to hear whoever is speaking at the moment. Thanks for your consideration in this regard.

May I suggest, if possible, that when you call, you use a regular "connected-to-the-wall telephone", rather than a cellular phone (particularly when driving), or even a cordless phone. Also, please, not a speaker phone, either, unless it has a "mute" button, because speaker phones amplify the ambient sounds in your environment. And PARTICULARLY NOT an Internet phone, a true "killer" of conference call Quality.

If you like what you hear on the call, and you want to talk further to someone (including the call presenter) or ask more "personal" questions, remember how you heard about the call. No contact numbers are given out on the call, not because anyone is trying to hide anything, but because various representatives of [THE COMPANY] bring folks to the call. The call itself is not a "sales" forum and doesn't get involved in the sales "hierarchy".

See you on the call. Tell your friends about it, too.

[5] Contact Information

Paul Leinthall

Phone: 661-822-7889, Mon. - Fri. 9 AM to 5, PM (Pacific)

Email: littlehammer@primemail.com

You may notice that I refer to [THE COMPANY] or to the founder of the company [THE FOUNDER] in various places throughout the Newsletter. I choose those expressions, instead of providing the actual names of the company or it's founder, for a couple of reasons...reasons which you'll also find reflected in my explanation of the copyright notice (below). I want to insulate [THE COMPANY] and [THE FOUNDER] from undue and unwarranted attention (especially negative attention or reaction), whether from a casual reader or from any taxing agency or authority, their attorneys, or representatives. Therefore, it is my desire that the reader be absolutely clear who is responsible for what appears in this newsletter. This newsletter is NOT sponsored directly by [THE COMPANY] or [THE FOUNDER], and while I believe I am being representative of [THE COMPANY's] and [THE FOUNDER's] philosophy, goals, ideals and the truth in law and in fact on which [THE COMPANY] stands to perform its valuable service for its clients (of which I am one), and while I may quote [THE FOUNDER], or someone else, I always seek to maintain each person's privacy, unless their words are already in the public (published) domain; thus I will take the heat for any negative attention, response or reaction.

Also, this allows anyone, including other representatives of [THE COMPANY], who find this information valuable, and who want to share it with others, to substitute their name and contact information for mine, and not have to worry about potential clients of the company going over their heads and bypassing them. Since [THE COMPANY] sponsored conference call follows this same philosophy of client protection for their representatives, the information in this newsletter can, then, be more widely disseminated for the value and education of others.

About the copyright notice: The copyright notice covers all the contents herein, except quotations, if any. I value my (and the reader's) freedom, integrity and responsibility, and I desire to maintain an environment where I (and the reader) can utilize and distribute this written material. From the point of view of copyright law, if I don't first copyright this material, someone else could; and then, by law, they could disallow me (and the reader) from using or distributing it. Given that fact, copyright is the best avenue I know to continue allowing freedom for all of us regarding this matter.

Therefore, the reader is free to copy, print, use and distribute this material by personal email, fax, or handout (including substituting her own contact information), as long as BOTH the copyright notice AND this explanation of the copyright notice remain in the material. However, I do NOT, nor does [THE COMPANY], in its own philosophy and ideals, authorize or condone ANY mass media distribution of COMPANY writing or materials, including (and especially) posting to any web sit. However, material written solely by the herein named copyright owner MAY be posted to a web site or some other media - but ONLY with the copyright owner's express, written, prior permission, in each instance. The responsibility for the words contained herein resides with the copyright owner. The copyright notice makes absolutely clear who is responsible for what appears here; that way, the buck stops with me, should anyone question or challenge what is written herein.

This material is not intended to be interpreted as legal or financial advice. The copyright owner is neither an attorney nor CPA and has no license to offer legal and financial advise. I encourage the reader to study and think for herself and to make her own informed decisions, based on her own desires and beliefs, in harmony with her own inner sense and self-interested, positive and comfortable, good-gut feeling. For THAT, each reader is, himself/herself, entirely responsible.