1 2	IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF: ATTACHMENT TO: ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE TO MODIFY CHILD SUPPORT CASE NO.:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ATTACHMENTS: 1. Order to Show Cause for Modification of Child Support, FL-300 2. Completed Income and Expense Declaration, form FL-150. 3. Blank Income and Expense Declaration, form FL-150 4. Proof of Personal Service, form FL-330. EXHIBITS: A. History of visitation with the respondent.
11 12 13 14	1. Suffering Patriarchy: An Analytical Exploration of the Forbidden Planet, book by Robert Cheney, free online version. See: http://famguardian.org/PublishedAuthors/Indiv/LindsayRobert/index2.html
15 16 17	This motion to modify child support is undertaken in response to notification by my employer on[employer name] that my employment would be terminated because of[reason for termination].
18 19 20 21 22 23	2. I am requesting that the amount of support be decreased to zero retroactive to the date of filing of the original motion filed on[date] under the authority of Fam.Code 3653(b) since I no longer earn enough money to pay all my bills or support my household, much less my son. My employment with[employer name] was formally terminated as of
24 25 26 27	[date] . The respondent makes a more than adequate amount of money to support [childname] . our sole child, comfortably during my unemployment. There should be no grounds to impute income thus adding insult to injury as a result of her malicious untruths. Anything beyond food, shelter, and clothing are not considered necessities. The massive \$ monthly child support paid to

"Every man has a natural right to the fruits of his own labor, is generally admitted; and no other person can rightfully deprive him of those fruits, and appropriate them against his will..." [The Antelope, 23 U.S. 66; 10 Wheat 66; 6 L.Ed. 268 (1825)]

"For the very idea that one man may be compelled to hold his life, or the means of living, or any material right essential to the enjoyment of life, at the mere will of another [including judges], seems to be intolerable in any country where freedom prevails, as being the essence of slavery itself." [Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 US 356 (1885)]

"Slavery implies involuntary servitude—a state of bondage; the ownership of mankind as a chattel, or at least the control of the labor and services of one man for the benefit of another, and the absence of a legal right to the disposal of his own person, property, and services. This amendment was said in the Slaughter House Cases, 16 Wall, 36, to have been intended primarily to abolish slavery, as it had been previously known in this country, and that it equally forbade Mexican peonage or the Chinese coolie trade, when they amounted to slavery or involuntary servitude and that the use of the word 'servitude' was intended to prohibit the use of all forms of involuntary slavery, of whatever class or name." [Plessy v. Ferguson, 163 U.S. 537 (1896)]

By implication, I, and only I, as a sovereign and the exclusive owner of myself have a right to determine what the fruits of my labor shall be, and to choose that those fruits SHALL NOT be money. It is not up to this court to define what to value, or to prescribe HOW a parent shall support his child, but only to provide a *way* for him or her to contribute fairly and equitably, which is what I have offered

2

voluntarily to do by insisting on an equitable custody and timeshare arrangement up to this point. It also amounts to slavery to be compelled to have minor counsels, court-appointed attorneys, psychologists, or to pay the legal fees of other parties. The state is not my parent, and my religious beliefs dictate that I cannot cooperate in any exercise that facilitates this. The state cannot be my parent and force me to be dependent and subservient to it without making me into a slave. Consequently, that is why I have refused and will continue to refuse to involve myself in any proceeding involving the minor counsel, because it would cause financial and legal slavery and hardship absent my consent, and I never gave my consent to involve him. Hence, his involvement is without moral authority and I will not and cannot cooperate with him in any way, because it violates the Constitution and my religious beliefs. Unless and until this court removes the minor counsel, then I cannot and will not litigate further or choose to work to subsidize and finance such evil and slavery. It is a religious sin to allow the government to run my life, or to be obedient to a government that insists on doing so. This court has also made it impossible for me to even petition to have the minor counsel removed without practicing slavery, because I have to subsidize his involvement in the proceeding to even have him removed.

"The king establishes the land by justice; but he [in government] who receives [compelled] bribes overthrows it." [Prov. 29:4, Bible, NKJV]

The despicable result is that the best interests of the child are not served because his father is *not* allowed by this court to involve himself in the life of his son.

Parental alienation of this kind is not something that this court should condone or authorize.

4. I request that the minor counsel be removed from this case, because if he is not, I will NEVER again involve myself with my son's life while he is a minor, which this court must conclude would NOT be in the best interests of the child. I will be a slave or subordinate of no man or woman, including the minor counsel or this court, and the

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

252627

28

Thirteenth Amendment guarantees that I am not obligated to practice involuntary servitude, except as punishment for a crime, which I have not committed.

- 5. Under equitable principles, it's reasonable and fair that I should contribute equally to the support of my child, and the only thing I consent to voluntarily provide [absent slavery] is a place to live, food, and companionship and discipline for my son. These can be provided to my son without any monetary exchange, and the if I provided them 50% of the time, then our burdens would be equal and equitable. Being compelled to provide anything beyond that is *slavery* as described above. If the thing ordered by this court as a substitute for what I am willing to voluntarily provide is only money, this also causes my former marriage to become a form of legalized prostitution, where the sex comes during the marriage, the money comes after the marriage, and the "marriage license" becomes a prostitution license issued by the state. Since prostitution is illegal and immoral under California Penal.Code 653.22 through 653.23 and in the Bible under Prov. 23:27, then I must conclude that reducing marriage to such exclusively financial terms is immoral and was *never* authorized by the legislature and may *not* be enforced by this court. Since the love of money is the root of all evil according to 1 Timothy 6:10 in the Bible, then I cannot participate in such evil. I have no problem with equal time shares and welcome it as my important and valuable contribution to my son's life. He needs this at his age anyway. It would violate my religious beliefs and the California Penal Code, however, to be ordered to provide anything other than what I voluntarily consent to provide. See also items 8 et seq. below. If the respondent would like me to contribute my "fair share" to the maintenance of my son's life and to his edification, I propose that the only way this court should ensure that result it to demand that she share custody with me, and to stop the parental alienation that she has consistently employed up until now.
- 6. My loss of employment has rendered me unable to afford either legal counsel or the filing fee for this proceeding and I therefore request that the court waive fees related to filing and hearing for this motion. See attached form 982(a)(17).

during the marriage or after the divorce. Neither the state nor the women has any moral or religious authority whatsoever to usurp the God-given authority of a father within the family unit, whether it be in family court or not.

"Do not give your strength to women, nor your ways to that which destroys kings." Prov. 31:3, Bible, NKJV

- 7.2I cannot allow myself to serve government, but rather government is here to serve me. Any other approach amounts to idolatry and slavery in violation of Exodus 20 in the Bible. Slavery to government is prohibited both by the Bible above and the Thirteenth Amendment. That means that the government may not order me to work when I choose not to and have instead chosen to pursue uncompensated Christian ministry and/or personal education.
- 7.31 cannot condone any violations of or rebellion against the Constitution of the United States by any government servant, because I would be cooperating with the government in practicing witchcraft. The fact that this court violates the Seventh Amendment requirement for a jury trial and my natural right to the fruits of my labor (see *The Antelope* above) is evidence that participation in it is strictly voluntary and that I cannot be compelled to comply. I ask that this court explain on the record how it can legally violate the Seventh Amendment and also institute the equivalent of slavery by forcing me to make the fruits of my labor into money or by forcing me to work when I can provide housing, food, and companionship to my son without money or child support or commercial employment. See Luke 12:15.
- 7.41 am not allowed to depend on government to support me. To do so would be to surrender my sovereignty and force me to commit idolatry toward the state, which violates the First Commandment of the Ten Commandments. See 1 Thessalonians 4:9-12.
- 7.51 cannot let myself be cheated or deceived or hurt by the vain philosophy or laws of men, and especially courts and lawyers. See Col. 2:8 above.
- 7.6 Obeying God's laws should be more important than seeking money or employment.

8. It will absolutely ruin and destroy my life financially and totally de-motivate me to seek *any* sources of income in the future if this court were to order any amount of child support be paid by me to the respondent, with the very limited income that I have at this time. This is especially true since I am not collecting unemployment and do not intend to because of my religious beliefs. See 1 Thessalonians 4:9-12. I would rather starve to death and live in jail the rest of my life than to submit to or become involved in that kind of injustice and oppression. It would be morally reprehensible for this court to oppress or enslave people such as myself who cannot defend themselves because they do not have the financial resources to do so:

"Like a roaring lion and a charging bear Is a wicked ruler over poor people." [Bible, Prov. 28:15]

- 9. The current court-ordered timeshare is _____% father and _____% mother, which is not being encouraged or supported by the mother. In fact, the respondent has done everything in her power to sabotage involvement with my son's life and I have all but given up hope of an equitable remedy.
- 10. Ref. 1 establishes a very thorough and complete statistical basis for why violating God's laws or the Constitution as documented here:
 - 10.1 Is very harmful to the best interests of children.
- 10.2 Is harmful to society in general.
- 10.3 Is oppressive and prejudicial towards men.
- 10.4 Violates the Constitution.
- 11. Neither this pleading nor my presence at the hearing, nor anything I have requested in this pleading or proceeding should be construed in any way as:
 - 11.1 Conferring any jurisdiction upon the court whatsoever over me or my property, either for past pleadings or this one.
 - 11.2 Indicating my consent to any result other than that indicated in this pleading. Any other result, in fact, is not consensual and renders the jurat statement on this and all other pleadings non-consensual and null and void, ab initio.

- 11.3 Claiming any privilege or benefit of the laws of the state or surrendering any of my natural rights in exchange for any imputed "benefit". The alleged protection of the California Family Code is <u>not</u> a privilege, it is a liability and a threat to the sanctity and security of families throughout California. It is also an affront to God's laws on marriage and divorce found in the Bible.
- 11.4 Surrendering my right to a trial by jury. A trial jury is demanded in this case under the authority of the Seventh Amendment. This is instead a suit at common law and <u>not</u> under the laws of California. The laws cited in this pleading apply to <u>the court</u>, and not to me, since I do not come under the jurisdiction of the California Family Code as a person who resides <u>outside</u> of the "State of" California defined in R&TC §6017 and 17018. Instead, I am domiciled <u>within</u> the California Republic, where my constitutional rights <u>are</u> intact and where this administrative tribunal and "corporate arbitration board" has absolutely no jurisdiction. If this court concludes otherwise, it has the burden of demonstrating so <u>with evidence</u>, and I do not consent to the involvement of the respondent in assisting this court with meeting that burden of proof.
- 12. According to the Declaration of Independence, all just powers of government derive from the *consent* of the governed. Any exercise of jurisdiction by this court not deriving from my direct and voluntary personal consent is unjust and I will not consent to it unless the court can produce an flesh and blood person who has been injured by my actions. In a Republic, there are no crimes against "the state", only crimes against "individuals", who are the only true sovereigns.

```
"The rights of individuals and the justice due to them, are as dear and precious as those of states. Indeed the latter are founded upon the former; and the great end and object of them must be to secure and support the rights of individuals, or else vain is government."

[Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 U.S. (2 Dall.) 419, 1 L.Ed 440 (1793)]
```

13. The perjury statement at the end of each attachment to this pleading and this pleading itself is *conditional* and the condition is that this court must respect and not interfere with my Constitutional rights and religious beliefs as documented here. Absent

1	such respect and absent the equitable results demanded in this pleading, I withdraw my
2	signature and my consent on every previous order of this court that demands any
3	performance out of me other than that which involves me with my son's life or holds the
4	respondent accountable for her failure to allow me to be involved with my son's life.
5	
6	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of <u>Republic</u> of California from <u>without</u> the " <u>State of</u> " California that the foregoing facts and statements made by me are true, correct, and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. All rights reserved,
7	without prejudice, UCC 1-207.
8	Date:
9	[name]
10	Sui Juris In forma pauperis
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	